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Abstract. — We consider the steady Navier-Stokes equations in a bounded domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) with smooth boundary \( \Gamma \). As boundary conditions we require that the normal velocity component and the tangential stress components vanish on \( \Gamma \). Problems of this type arise as subproblems when dealing with fluid flows subject to surface tension. The continuous problem is discretized using a non-conforming mixed finite element method with quadratic elements for the velocities and linear elements for the pressure. For sufficiently small data both the continuous and the discrete problem have unique solutions. We obtain \( O(h^{3/2}) \) error estimates for the \( H^1 \)-norm of the velocities and the \( L^2 \)-norm of the pressure and an \( O(h) \) error estimate for the \( L^2 \)-norm of the velocities. The suboptimality of the error estimates is due to the non-conformity of the method. However, this cannot be avoided as is shown by a Babuška-type paradox.

1. INTRODUCTION

The flow inside a volume \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) of fluid governed by an exterior force \( f \) and surface tension is described by the Navier-Stokes equations

\[
- \nu \Delta u + \nabla p + (u, \nabla) u = f \\
\text{div } u = 0
\]

in \( \Omega \) \hspace{1cm} (1.1)
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with the mixed boundary conditions
\[ u \cdot n = n \cdot T(u, p) \cdot \mathbf{\tau}_k = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma := \partial \Omega, \quad k = 1, 2, \] (1.2)
(cf. [2, 3, 9]). Here \( n \) denotes the outward normal to \( \Omega \), \( \mathbf{\tau}_k, k = 1, 2 \), orthonormal vectors spanning the tangent plane and
\[ T(u, p) := - p \delta_{ij} + \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \]
the stress tensor. The boundary \( \Gamma \) of the fluid is not known a priori. It is determined by the condition that its mean curvature is proportional to the normal stress component:
\[ 2 \kappa H = n \cdot T(u, p) \cdot n \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma. \]
The existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions to this problem are investigated in [2, 3].

As a first step towards error estimates for finite element approximations of this problem, we consider problem (1.1) with boundary conditions (1.2) in a fixed bounded domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) with three times continuously differentiable boundary \( \Gamma \). In order to simplify the notation we assume in addition that \( \Omega \) is convex.

We consider a non-conforming mixed finite element method. For sufficiently small data \( \nu^{-2} f \) both the continuous and the discrete problem have unique solutions. We obtain \( O(h^{1/2}) \) error estimates for the \( H^1 \)-norm of the velocities and the \( L^2 \)-norm of the pressure and an \( O(h) \) error estimate for the \( L^2 \)-norm of the velocities. The suboptimality of the error estimates is due to the non-conformity. However, this cannot be avoided as is shown in the last section by a Babuška-type paradox.

2. FINITE ELEMENT DISCRETIZATION

Denote by \( H^k(\Omega), k \geq 0 \), and \( L^2(\Omega) := H^0(\Omega) \) the usual Sobolev and Lebesgue spaces equipped with the seminorm
\[ | v |_k := \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| = k} \left| D^\alpha v(x) \right|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \] (2.1)
and norm
\[ \| v \|_k := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k \| v \|_{l_i}^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \] (2.2)
Since no confusion can arise, we use the same notation for the corresponding norm and seminorm on $H^k(\Omega)^3$. The inner product of $L^2(\Omega)$ and $L^2(\Omega)^3$ will be denoted by $(u, v)_0$.

Let

\[ \mathcal{S} := \text{span} \{ u(x) = \beta \wedge x : \beta \text{ is an axis of symmetry of } \Omega \} \]  
(2.3)

where $\wedge$ denotes the vector product. Put

\[ X := \{ u \in H^1(\Omega)^3 : u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \} / \mathcal{S}, \]
\[ M := \left\{ p \in L^2(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} p \, dx = 0 \right\} \]
(2.4)

and denote by

\[ D(u)_{ij} := \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}, \quad 1 \leq i, \ j \leq 3, \]
(2.5)

the deformation tensor. We introduce the following three bilinear resp. trilinear forms for $u, v, w \in H^1(\Omega)^3, p \in L^2(\Omega)$:

\[ a(u, v) := \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} D(u) D(v) \, dx, \]
\[ b(u, p) := -\int_{\Omega} p \, \text{div} \, u \, dx, \]
\[ N(u, v, w) := \int_{\Omega} \left[ (u, \nabla) v \right] \, w \, dx. \]
(2.6a)
(2.6b)
(2.6c)

The weak formulation of problem (1.1), (1.2) to which we will refer as Problem $(\mathcal{N})$ then is:

Find $(u, p) \in X \times M$ such that

\[ a(u, v) + b(v, p) + N(u, u, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in X, \]
\[ b(u, q) = 0 \quad \forall q \in M. \]

The corresponding linear problem without the non-linear term $(N(u, u, v)$ will be referred to as Problem $(\mathcal{S})$. Problem $(\mathcal{S})$ always has a unique solution and the regularity estimate

\[ \| u \|_2 + \| p \|_1 \leq c \| f \|_0 \]
(2.7)
holds (cf. [2, 3, 9]). If the data $v^{-2} \| f \|_0$ are sufficiently small, Problem $(N)$ also has a unique solution and the regularity estimate (2.7) holds (cf. [2, 3, 9]).

Let $\Omega_h \subset \overline{\Omega}$ be a family of polyhedrons satisfying the assumptions:

(A1) each vertex of $\Omega_h$ lies on $\Gamma$.

(A2) the length of all edges of $\Omega_h$ can be bounded from below and from above by $\epsilon h$ and $\bar{c} h$ resp. with constants $\epsilon$, $\bar{c}$ independent of $h$.

We divide each $\Omega_h$ into tetrahedrons with edges of length $O(h)$ such that the resulting family $T_h$ satisfies the usual regularity assumptions for finite elements (cf. [6]). For simplicity we assume:

(A3) each face of $\Omega_h$ is the face one $T \in T_h$.

Denote by $P_h$ the set of vertices of $\Omega_h$. Let $S_h^r$ be the space of continuous finite elements corresponding to $T_h$ which are piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$. Put

$$X_h := \{ u \in (S_h^2)^3 : u \cdot n = 0 \ \forall Q \in P_h \} / \mathcal{S},$$

$$M_h := \left\{ p \in S_h^1 : \int_{\Omega_h} p \, dx = 0 \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that $X_h \subset H^1(\Omega_h)^3$, but $X_h \notin X$ and $u \cdot n_h \neq 0$ on $\Gamma_h := \partial \Omega_h$ where $n_h$ is the outer normal to $\Omega_h$.

We denote the seminorm and norm of $H^k(\Omega_h)$ by $| \cdot |_{k,h}$ and $\| \cdot \|_{k,h}$ resp. The inner product of $L^2(\Omega)$ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,h}$. Finally, we introduce discrete analogues of the forms $a$, $b$ and $N$:

$$a_h(u, v) := \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{D(u)}{2} \cdot \frac{D(v)}{2} \, dx, \quad (2.9a)$$

$$b_h(u, p) := -\int_{\Omega_h} p \, \text{div} \, u \, dx, \quad (2.9b)$$

$$N_h(u, v, w) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_h} [(u, \nabla) v] w - [(u, \nabla) w] v \, dx, \quad (2.9c)$$

for all $u, v, w \in H^1(\Omega_h)^3$, $p \in L^2(\Omega_h)$.

The discrete approximation of Problem $(N)$ to which we will refer as Problem $(N)_h$ then is:

Find $(u_h, p_h) \in X_h \times M_h$ such that

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) + b_h(u_h, p_h) + N_h(u_h, u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h)_{0,h}, \quad \forall v_h \in X_h,$$

$$b_h(u_h, q_h) = 0, \quad \forall q_h \in M_h.$$
The corresponding linear problem without the non-linear term \( N_h(u_h, u_h, v_h) \) will be referred to as \( \text{Problem} (\mathcal{S}_h) \).

In the sequel, \( c, c_0, c_1, \ldots \) denote various constants which are independent of \( h \) but have different values depending on the context. Moreover, we will often use the Green's formula

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left\{ -v \nabla u + \nabla p \right\} v = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{D(u) D(v)}{D(p)} - p \, \text{div} \, v \right\} - \int_{\Gamma} nT(u, p) v \quad (2.10)
\]

(cf. (2.7) in [2]) which holds for \( v \in H^1(\Omega)^3, p \in H^1(\Omega) \) and \( u \in H^2(\Omega)^3 \) with \( \text{div} \, u = 0 \).

### 3. Error Estimates for the Linear Problem

In this section we want to establish error estimates for the linear Problems \((S)\) and \((S_h)\). Recall that \( \Gamma := \partial \Omega, \Gamma_h := \partial \Omega_h \) and that \( n \) and \( n_h \) denote the normal to \( \Omega \) and \( \Omega_h \), resp.

**Lemma 3.1**: There is an \( h_0 > 0 \) such that the boundary estimates

\[
\| u \cdot n_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \leq c \cdot h^{1/2} \| u \|_{1,h}, \quad \forall u \in X_h, \quad (3.1)
\]

and

\[
\| u \cdot n_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \leq c h \| u \|_1, \quad \forall u \in X, \quad (3.2)
\]

hold for all \( 0 < h \leq h_0 \).

**Proof**: Let \( S \) be a face of \( \Omega_h \) and \( Q \) be a vertex of \( S \). Denote by \( T \in \mathcal{C}_h \) the tetrahedron which has \( S \) as face and by \( n_Q \) the normal to \( \Omega \) in \( Q \). Since \( \Gamma \in C^2 \) and all vertices of \( \Omega_h \) lie on \( \Gamma \), we have \( |n_h - n_Q| \leq c h \) and thus

\[
\int_S |u \cdot n_h|^2 \leq c h^2 \int_S |u|^2 + \int_S |u \cdot n_Q|^2. \quad (3.3)
\]

Let \( \varphi : T \to \hat{T} \), be the linear transformation which maps \( T \) into the tetrahedron \( \hat{T} \) with vertices \((0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1)\) such that \( \varphi(Q) = 0 \). Put

\[
v(x) := u \cdot n_Q(\varphi^{-1}(x)), \quad \forall x \in \hat{T}.
\]

If \( u \in X_h \), then \( v \) belongs to the space of quadratic polynomials on \( \hat{T} \) vanishing in the origin. On this space, \( | \cdot |_{H^1(\hat{T})} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{H^1(\hat{T})} \) are equivalent norms.
The trace theorem and a homogeneity argument therefore imply
\[ \int_S |u \cdot n_Q|^2 \leq c h^2 \int_{\Omega_h} |v|^2 \leq c h^2 |v|_{H^1(T)}^2 \leq c h |u|_{H^1(T)}^2. \]

Recalling (3.3) and summing over all faces of $\Omega_h$ yields (3.1). To prove (3.2), denote by $\Delta$ the part of $\Omega \setminus Q_h$ which has $S$ as face. Associate with $Q \in S$ the point $\mu(Q) \in \Gamma$ which lies on the line through $Q$ with direction $n_h$. Since $u(\mu(Q)). n(\mu(Q)) = 0$ we conclude
\[ \int_S |u \cdot n_h|^2 dQ \leq \max_{Q \in S} |n_h - n(\mu(Q))|^2 \int_S |u|^2 dQ \]
\[ + \int_S |u \cdot n(\mu(Q))|^2 dQ \]
\[ \leq c h^2 \int_S |u|^2 dQ \]
\[ + \int_S \int_0^1 \left| u(Q + s(\mu(Q) - Q)). n(\mu(Q)) \right| ds \]
\[ \leq c h^2 \int_S |u|^2 dQ + c h^2 \|u\|_{H^1(\Delta)}^2 \]

where we have used $|Q - \mu(Q)| \leq 0(h^2)$. Summing over all faces of $\Gamma_h$ and recalling the continuous imbedding $H^1(\Omega_h)^3 \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma_h)^3$ this implies (3.2). Note that the norm of the above injection depends on $\text{meas}(\Omega_h)$ and $\text{diam}(\Omega_h)$ and can therefore be bounded independently of $h$ for sufficiently small $h$. □

Since $X_h$ contains all continuous, piecewise quadratic finite elements which vanish on $\Gamma_h$, we conclude from [4] with the same arguments as in [10] that
\[ \inf_{p_h \in M_h \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{y_h \in X_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{b_h(u_h, p_h)}{\|y_h\|_{1,h} \|p_h\|_{0,h}} \geq \beta > 0 \quad (3.4) \]
holds with a constant $\beta$ independent of $h$. The second Korn inequality, the generalized Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality (cf. [9]) and Lemma (3.1) imply
\[ c_0 \|u\|_{1,h}^2 \leq \int_{\Omega_h} |D(u)|^2 + (c_0 + c_1) \|u\|_{0,h}^2 \]
\[ \leq (1 + c_0 c_2 + c_1 c_2) \int_{\Omega_h} |D(u)|^2 + (c_0 + c_1) c_2 \int_{\Gamma_h} |u|_{1,h}^2 \]
\[ \leq c_3 \int_{\Omega_h} |D(u)|^2 + c_4 h \|u\|_{1,h}^2, \quad \forall u \in X_h. \]
Hence there is an $h_0 > 0$ and a constant $\alpha > 0$ independent of $h$ such that

$$a_h(u, u) = \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega_h} |D(u)|^2 \geq \alpha v \|u\|_{1,h}^2, \quad \forall u \in X_h, \quad 0 < h \leq h_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)$$

The continuity of $a_h$, $b_h$ equations (3.4), (3.5) and standard results on mixed problems (cf. [5]) imply the unique solvability of Problem $(S_h)$ for all $0 < h \leq h_0$.

**Theorem 3.2**: Let $(u, p) \in X \times M$ and $(u_h, p_h) \in X_h \times M_h$, $0 < h \leq h_0$, be the unique solution of Problem $(S)$ and $(S_h)$ resp. Then the error estimate

$$\|u - u_h\|_{1,h} + \|p - p_h\|_{0,h} \leq ch^{1/2} \|f\|_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)$$

holds.

**Proof**: Let $p_h^*$ be the best approximation of $p$ in the $\|\cdot\|_{0,h}$-norm by elements of $M_h$. Standard approximation results (cf. [6]) and equation (2.7) imply

$$\|p - p_h^*\|_{0,h} \leq ch \|f\|_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)$$

Denote by $u_h^*$ the interpolating function of $u$ by elements of $(S_h^2)^3$. Since $u \in H^2(\Omega)^3 \subset X$, $u_h^*$ is well defined, lies in $X_h$ and satisfies

$$\|u - u_h^*\|_{1,h} \leq ch \|u\|_2 \leq ch \|f\|_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)$$

The functions $u \in H^2(\Omega)^3$, $p \in H^1(\Omega)$ are solutions of

$$-\nu \Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\text{div } u = 0$$

$$u \cdot n = n \cdot D(u) \cdot t_k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \text{ on } \Gamma.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)$$

Multiplying (3.9) with $v_h \in X_h$, integrating over $\Omega_h$ and using (2.10) yields

$$(f, v_h)_{0,h} = a_h(u, v_h) + b_h(v_h, p) - \int_{\Gamma_h} n_h T(u, p) v_h.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)$$

Hence, we have

$$a_h(u - u_h, v_h) + b_h(v_h, p - p_h) = \int_{\Gamma_h} n_h T(u, p) v_h, \quad \forall v_h \in X_h,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)$$

where the right hand side is due to the non-conformity. To simplify the notation, put

$$R := \sup_{v_h \in X_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\|v_h\|_{1,h}} \int_{\Gamma_h} n_h T(u, p) v_h.$$
First, we estimate $\| p - p_h \|_{0,h}$. From (3.4), (3.7), (3.10) and (3.11) we conclude

$$\beta \| p_h - p_h^* \|_{0,h} \leq \sup_{\| v_h \|_{1,h} = 1} \frac{1}{\| v_h \|_{1,h}} b_h(u_h, p_h - p_h^*)$$

$$\leq c_h \| f \|_0 + \sup_{\| v_h \|_{1,h} = 1} \frac{1}{\| v_h \|_{1,h}} b_h(u_h, p_h - p)$$

$$\leq c_h \| f \|_0 + c \| u - u_h \|_{1,h} + R$$

and thus

$$\| p - p_h \|_{0,h} \leq c_h \| f \|_0 + c \| u - u_h \|_{1,h} + R. \quad (3.12)$$

Put $w_h := u_h - u_h^*$. From (3.5), (3.8) we get

$$\| v_h \|_{1,h}^2 \leq a_h(w_h, w_h) \leq$$

$$\leq c \| f \|_0 \| v_h \|_{1,h} + a_h(u_h - u, w_h)$$

$$\leq \left\{ c \| f \|_0 + R \right\} \| v_h \|_{1,h} + b_h(w_h, p - p_h)$$

$$\leq \left\{ c \| f \|_0 + R \right\} \| v_h \|_{1,h} + c \| f \|_0 \| p - p_h \|_{0,h}$$

$$+ b_h(u_h - u, p - p_h)$$

$$\leq c' \left\{ c \| f \|_0 + R \right\} \left\{ \| v_h \|_{1,h} + c \| f \|_0 \right\}$$

$$\quad (3.13)$$

where we have used (3.12) and

$$b_h(u - u_h, q_h) = 0, \quad \forall q_h \in M_h.$$ 

Next, we estimate $R$. Let $v \in X_h$. The trace theorem, eq. (2.7) and Lemma (3.1) imply

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} n_h \cdot T(u, p) v_h \leq$$

$$\leq \| n_h \cdot T(u, p) \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \| v_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^2 \| n_h \cdot T(u, p) \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \| v_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$$

$$\leq c_h^{1/2} \| f \|_0 \| v_h \|_{1,h} + c \| v_h \|_{1,h} \sum_{k=1}^2 \| n_h \cdot D(u) \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}.$$ 

$$\quad (3.14)$$

Here, $\tau_{hk}, k = 1, 2$ are orthonormal vectors spanning the tangent space at $\Gamma_h$. Let $S$, $\Lambda$ and $\mu(Q)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Since $nD(u) \tau_k = 0$ on $\Gamma$ and $| n_h - n(\mu(Q)) | = 0(h)$, $| \tau_{hk} - T_k(\mu(Q)) | = 0(h)$ and $| Q - Q(\mu(Q)) | = 0(h^2)$,
we conclude with the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.1

\[ \int_{S} | n_h D(u) \cdot \tau_{hk} |^2 \leq c h^2 \int_{S} | D(u) |^2 + \int_{S} | n(\mu(Q))^* (T(u, p) \cdot \tau_{hk}(\mu(Q))) |^2 dQ \leq \]

\[ \leq c h^2 \int_{S} | D(u) |^2 + c h^2 \| u \|^2_{H^2(\Delta)^3}. \]

Summing over all faces of \( \Gamma_h \) and using the trace theorem, this implies

\[ \| n_h D(u) \cdot \tau_{hk} \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \leq c h \| f \|_0. \]  

(3.15)

Combining equations (3.12)-(3.15), we finally obtain the desired error estimate.

Note, that — regardless of the polynomial degree of the finite elements — the estimate

\[ R \leq c h^{1/2} \| f \|_0 \]  

(3.16)

can only be improved by requiring \( u_h \cdot n_h = 0 \) on \( \Gamma_h \) for all \( u_h \in X_h \). The example of § 5 shows that this assumption is not appropriate for the problem under consideration.

By a standard duality argument one can prove the error estimate

\[ \| u - u_h \|_{0,h} \leq c h \| f \|_0. \]  

(3.17)

We omit the proof here, since we give a detailed proof of the corresponding error estimate for the non-linear problem in the next section.

4. ERROR ESTIMATES FOR THE NON-LINEAR PROBLEM

The aim of this section is to prove:

**Theorem 4.1**: There is an \( h_0 > 0 \) and a constant \( K > 0 \) which does not depend on \( h \) such that Problems (N) and \( (N_h) \), \( 0 < h \leq h_0 \), have unique solutions \((u, p) \in X \times M \) and \((u_h, p_h) \in X_h \times M_h \) resp., provided \( \nu^{-2} \| f \|_0 < K \). Moreover, the error estimate

\[ \| u - u_h \|_{0,h} + h^{1/2} \| u - u_h \|_{1,h} + h^{1/2} \| p - p_h \|_{0,h} \leq c h \| f \|_0 \]  

(4.1)

holds.

**Proof**: Using Sobolev's imbedding theorem one easily proves that the trilinear forms \( N \) and \( N_h \) are continuous on \( X^3 \) and \( X_h^3 \) resp. (cf. Lemma 2.1 in Chap. IV of [7]). The norm of \( N_h \) can be bounded independently of \( h \) for
sufficiently small values of $h$. A standard fixed point argument (cf. [8] and Chap. IV of [7]) then yields the unique solvability of Problems $(N)$ and $(N_h)$ for sufficiently small data $\nu^{-2} \| \mathbf{f} \|_0$. Together with Theorem 3.2 it also implies the error estimate for the $H^1$-norm of the velocities. Since $\mathbf{u} \in H^2(\Omega)^3$, $p \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.1), we may multiply (1.1) with $\nu_h \in X_h$, integrate over $\Omega_h$ and use (2.10) to obtain
\[
(f, \nu_h)_{\Omega,h} = a_h(u, \nu_h) + b_h(\nu_h, p) + N_h(u, u, \nu_h) - \int_{\Gamma_h} \left\{ n_h \mathbf{T}(u, p) \nu_h - \frac{1}{2} (u \mathbf{n}_h) (u \mathbf{n}_h) \right\}.
\]
Hence, we have
\[
a_h(u - u_h, \nu_h) + b_h(\nu_h, p_p - p_h) = \int_{\Gamma_h} \left\{ n_h \mathbf{T}(u, p) \nu_h - \frac{1}{2} (u \mathbf{n}_h) (u \mathbf{n}_h) \right\} + \\
+ N_h(u_h - u, u, \nu_h) + N_h(u, u_h - u, \nu_h) + N_h(u_h - u, u_h - u, \nu_h), \quad \forall \nu_h \in X_h \quad (4.2)
\]
and
\[
b_h(u - u_h, q_h) = 0, \quad \forall q_h \in M_h. \quad (4.3)
\]
The boundary integrals are due to the non-conformity.

To simplify the notation, put
\[
\varepsilon := u - u_h, \quad \varepsilon := p - p_h,
\]
\[
R := \sup_{\nu_h \in X_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\| \nu_h \|_{1,h}} \int_{\Gamma_h} n_h \mathbf{T}(u, p) \nu_h,
\]
\[
R_1 := \sup_{\nu_h \in X_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{2 \| \nu_h \|_{1,h}} \int_{\Gamma_h} (u \mathbf{n}_h) (u \mathbf{n}_h)
\]
and denote by $p_h^*$ the best $L^2$-approximation of $p$ in $M_h$ and by $u_h^*$ the interpolant of $u$ in $(S_h^2)^3$. Recall that $u_h^* \in X_h$ and $R \leq ch^{1/2} \| \mathbf{f} \|_0$ (cf. (3.16)). The trace theorem implies
\[
\int_{\Gamma_h} |(u \mathbf{n}_h) (u \mathbf{n}_h)| \leq \| u \mathbf{n}_h \|_{L^4(\Gamma_h)} \| u \|_{L^4(\Gamma_h)^3} \| \nu_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)^3} \leq \\
\leq c \| u \mathbf{n}_h \|_{L^4(\Gamma_h)} \| u \|_2 \| \nu_h \|_{1,h}. \quad (4.4)
\]
With the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we conclude
\[
\| u \mathbf{n}_h \|_{L^4(\Gamma_h)} \leq ch \| u \|_{W^{1,4}(\Omega)^3} \leq c' h \| u \|_2. \quad (4.5)
\]
Equations (4.4) and (4.5) imply
\[
R_1 \leq ch \| \mathbf{f} \|_0. \quad (4.6)
\]
From equations (3.4), (3.7), (3.16), (4.2), (4.6) and the $H^1$-error estimates of the velocities we get

$$\beta \| p_h - p_h^* \|_{0,h} \leq \sup_{p_h \in X_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\| p_h \|_{1,h}^2} b_h(u_h, p_h - p_h^*) \leq c \| p - p_h^* \|_{0,h} + c \| u - u_h \|_{1,h} + c \| u - u_h \|_{1,h}^2 + R + R_1 \leq ch^{1/2} \| f \|_0.$$ 

Together with (3.7) this implies the error estimate (4.1) for the pressure.

The $L^2$-error estimate for the velocities follows from a standard duality argument. Let $(\mu, \rho) \in X \times M$ be the weak solution of

$$-\nu \Delta \mu + \nabla \rho = D(\mu) u + \frac{1}{2} \{ (\nabla u)' \mu + (\nabla \mu)' u \} = \varepsilon \chi_{\Omega_h} \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4.7)$$

$$\text{div } \mu = 0$$

$$\mu H = n \cdot D(\mu) \tau_k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \text{ on } \Gamma.$$ 

Here $\chi_{\Omega_h}$ is the characteristic function of the set $\Omega_h$. From [9] it follows that (4.7) has a unique solution and that the regularity estimate

$$\| \mu \|_2 + \| \rho \|_1 \leq c \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h} \quad (4.8)$$ 

holds. Multiplying (4.7) with $\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega_h)^3$, integrating over $\Omega_h$ and using (2.10), we obtain

$$\| \varepsilon \|_{0,h}^2 = a_h(\mu, \varepsilon) + b_h(\varepsilon, \rho) + N_h(\varepsilon, u, \mu) + N_h(\mu, \varepsilon, \mu) -$$

$$- \int_{\Gamma_h} \left\{ u_h T(u, \rho) \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} (un_h)(\mu \varepsilon) \right\}.$$ 

Let $\rho_h^*$ be the best $L^2$-approximation of $\rho$ in $M_h$ and $\mu_h^*$ be the interpolate of $\mu$ in $(S_h^2)^3$. Equations (4.2), (4.3), (4.9) and standard approximation results for finite elements [6] imply

$$\| \varepsilon \|_{0,h}^2 = a_h(\mu - \mu_h^*, \varepsilon) + b_h(\varepsilon, \rho - \rho_h^*) + b_h(\mu - \mu_h^*, \varepsilon) + N_h(\varepsilon, u, \mu - \mu_h^*) +$$

$$+ N_h(\mu, \varepsilon, \mu - \mu_h^*) + N_h(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \mu_h^*)$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_h} \left\{ u_h T(u, \rho) \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} (un_h)(\mu \varepsilon) - u_h T(u, \rho) \mu_h^* - \frac{1}{2} (un_h)(\mu \mu_h^*) \right\}$$

$$\leq \left\{ c h \| \varepsilon \|_{1,h} + c h \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h} + c \| \varepsilon \|_{1,h}^2 \right\} \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h}$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_h} \left\{ | u_h T(u, \rho) \varepsilon | + \frac{1}{2} | un_h | | \mu \varepsilon | + | u_h T(u, \rho) \mu_h^* | + \frac{1}{2} | un_h | | \mu \mu_h^* | \right\}.$$ 

$$\quad (4.10)$$
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Next, we estimate the four boundary integrals in (4.10) separately. Lemma 3.1 and equation (3.15) imply

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} | u_h \frac{T(u, p)}{u_h} \mu^*_h | \leq$$

$$\leq \| u_h \frac{T(u, p)}{u_h} u_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \{ \| (u - u^*_h) u_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} + \| \mu u_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \}

+ \sum_{k=1,2} \| \mu^*_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)^3} \| u_h \frac{T(u, p)}{u_h} \xi_{hk} \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$$

$$\leq c \{ \| u \|_2 + \| p \|_1 \} \{ \| u - u^*_h \|_{1,h} + h \| u \|_{1,1} \} + c h \| \mu^*_h \|_{1,h} \| \frac{f}{r} \|_0$$

$$\leq c h \| \frac{f}{r} \|_0 \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

Similarly, we get

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} | u_h \frac{T(u, p)}{u_h} \varepsilon | \leq$$

$$\leq \| u_h \frac{T(u, p)}{u_h} u_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \{ \| u - u^*_h \|_{1,h} \| u \|_{1,1} \} + \| (u^*_h - u_h) u_h \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1,2} \| \varepsilon \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)^3} \| u_h \frac{T(u, p)}{u_h} \xi_{hk} \|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$$

$$\leq c \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h} \{ \| u - u^*_h \|_{1,h} + h^{1/2} \| \varepsilon \|_{1,h} \} + c h \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h} \| \varepsilon \|_{1,h}$$

$$\leq c h \| \frac{f}{r} \|_0 \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h} + c h^{1/2} \| \varepsilon \|_{1,h} \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.12)

Lemma 3.1 and Sobolev's imbedding theorem imply

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_h} \| u_{nh} \|_{\mu \phi^*_h} \| \leq c h \| u \|_{1} \| u \|_{W^{1,4}(\Omega_h)} \| \mu^*_h \|_{W^{1,4}(\Omega_h)}$$

$$\leq c h \| \frac{f}{r} \|_0 \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

Finally, equation (4.5) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_h} \| u_{nh} \| \mu \varepsilon \| \leq c h \| \frac{f}{r} \|_0 \| \mu \|_{W^{1,4}(\Omega_h)} \| \varepsilon \|_{1,h} \leq c h \| \frac{f}{r} \|_0 \| \varepsilon \|_{1,h} \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.14)

Equations (4.10)-(4.14) together with the error estimates for \( \| \varepsilon \|_{1,h} \) and \( \| \varepsilon \|_{0,h} \) now complete the proof. \( \square \)
5. A BABUŠKA-TYPE PARADOX

The proof of (3.16) shows that the error estimates of Theorems 3.2 and 4.1 could only be improved by requiring \( \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h = 0 \) on \( \Gamma_h \) for all \( \mathbf{u} \in X_h \). However, the solutions of Problem \((S_h)\) with \( X_h \) replaced by

\[
X_h := \{ \mathbf{u} \in (S_h^2)^3 : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_h \}
\]  

(5.1)
do not converge in general to the solution of Problem \((S)\).

**Example 5.1:** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) be the interior of the unit circle with centre in the origin.

Denote by \( w \in C^\infty ([0, 1]) \) a function with

\[
w(r) = \begin{cases} 
0, & 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{3}{2}r, & \frac{2}{3} \leq r \leq 1 
\end{cases}
\]  

(5.2)

and put

\[
\mathbf{u}(x, y) := w(r)(- \sin \varphi, \cos \varphi)^T
\]  

(5.3)

where \((r, \varphi)\) are polar coordinates in \( \Omega \). Obviously, \( \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})^2 \) and \( \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \) on \( \Gamma := \partial \Omega \). An easy calculation yields

\[
\text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad \mathbf{n}_D(\mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma.
\]

Let \( \Omega_h \) be as in § 2 and assume that \( h \) is small enough such that \( \Gamma_h := \partial \Omega_h \) lies inside the annulus around the origin with radii \( \frac{2}{3} \) and 1. Denote by \((\mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in X_h \times M_h \) the unique solution of

\[
a_h(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, p_h) = (- \Delta \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_h)_{0, h}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in X_h, \\
b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, q_h) = 0, \quad \forall q_h \in M_h.
\]  

(5.4)

(The arguments in the proof of (3.4) and Korn's first inequality [9] imply that (5.4) fits into the abstract framework of [5].) Inserting \( \mathbf{u}_h \) as test function in (5.4) and using Korn's first inequality we get

\[
\| \mathbf{u}_h \|_{1, h} \leq c \| \mathbf{u} \|_1
\]

with a constant \( c \) independent of \( h \). Let \( S_1, S_2 \) be two adjacent faces of \( \Omega_h \) with common vertex \( Q \) and denote by \( \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \) the normal to \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) resp. The continuity of \( \mathbf{u}_h \) and \( \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_i = 0 \) on \( S_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \), imply \( \mathbf{u}_h(Q) = 0 \).
Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma (3.1) this yields
\[ \| U_h \|_{L^2(\Omega_h)^2} \leq c_0 \, h^{1/2} \, \| U_h \|_{1,h} \]  
and thus
\[ \| U - U_h \|_{1,h} \geq c_1 \| U - U_h \|_{L^2(\Omega_h)^2} \geq \]
\[ \geq c_1 \| U \|_{L^2(\Omega_h)^2} - c_1 \, c_0 \, h^{1/2} \| U_h \|_{1,h} \geq c_2 - c_3 \, h^{1/2} \| U \|_1. \]
Since the constants \( c_0, \ldots, c_3 \) can be bounded independently of \( h \), there is a constant \( c > 0 \) independent of \( h \) such that
\[ \| U - U_h \|_{1,h} \geq c > 0 \]
for sufficiently small \( h > 0 \). Indeed, the \( U_h, p_h \) approximate the solution of a Stokes equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. To see this, let \( \tilde{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^2, \tilde{p} \in M \) be the unique solution of
\[ \begin{align*}
a(\tilde{u}, v) + b(v, \tilde{p}) &= (- \Delta \tilde{u}, v_0) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)^2, \\
b(\tilde{u}, q) &= 0 \quad \forall q \in M.
\end{align*} \]
By standard regularity results we have
\[ \| \tilde{u} \|_2 + \| \tilde{p} \|_1 \leq c \| \Delta u \|_0 \leq c' \| u \|_2. \]
Replacing \( U_h^* \) in the proof of Theorem 3.2 by the interpolant \( \tilde{u}_h \) of \( \tilde{u} \) by linear finite elements corresponding to \( \mathcal{T}_h \) and noting \( \tilde{u}_h \in \mathcal{X}_h \), we conclude
\[ \| \tilde{u} - U_h \|_{1,h} + \| \tilde{p} - p_h \|_{0,h} \leq ch \| u \|_2 + R_2, \]
where
\[ R_2 := \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{X}_h \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\| \nu_h \|_{1,h}} \int_{\Gamma_h} \nu_h \, T(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}) \, v_h. \]
Since (5.5) holds for all \( \nu_h \in \mathcal{X}_h \), we have
\[ R_2 \leq ch^{1/2} \{ \| \tilde{u} \|_2 + \| \tilde{p} \|_1 \} \leq c' h^{1/2} \| U \|_2. \]
Finally, let us remark that a similar result is well known as Babuška-paradox in plane elasticity ([11]). The above example, however, seems to be new in fluid dynamics. It shows that problems with mixed boundary conditions behave essentially different from those with Dirichlet boundary conditions.
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