

RAIRO

MODÉLISATION MATHÉMATIQUE ET ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE

ALEXANDER ŽENÍŠEK

How to avoid the use of Green's theorem in the Ciarlet-Raviart theory of variational crimes

RAIRO – Modélisation mathématique et analyse numérique,
tome 21, n° 1 (1987), p. 171-191.

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=M2AN_1987__21_1_171_0

© AFCET, 1987, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « RAIRO – Modélisation mathématique et analyse numérique » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>



HOW TO AVOID THE USE OF GREEN'S THEOREM IN THE CIARLET-RAVIART THEORY OF VARIATIONAL CRIMES (*)

Alexander ŽENÍŠEK (¹)

Communicated by R. TEMAM

Abstract. — *The paper generalizes the theory developed in [1] and [2, Section 4.4] to the case that the solution u of the given variational problem belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$ only. Mixed boundary conditions, approximation of a curved boundary and numerical integration are taken into account. The considerations are restricted to the two-dimensional case.*

Résumé. — *Dans cet article, nous généralisons la théorie développée dans [1] et [2, section 4.4] au cas où la solution u du problème variationnel se trouve dans $H^1(\Omega)$ seulement. Nous considérons des conditions aux limites mixtes, l'approximation de la frontière curviligne, et l'intégration numérique. Les considérations sont faites pour les problèmes de deux dimensions.*

The foundations of the theory mentioned in the title of this paper are given in Ciarlet, Raviart [1] and Ciarlet [2, Section 4.4]. Some extensions of this theory (which will be briefly denoted as the CR-theory) to the case of boundary value problems with various stable and unstable boundary conditions were done in Ženíšek [9], [10]. In all these papers the maximum rate of convergence is proved; thus the assumed smoothness of the exact solution u is unrealistic in the majority of problems appearing in applications. The smoothness of u allows us to use the Green's theorem in estimating the third term on the right-hand side of [2, (4.4.21)] — see also the first term on the right-hand side of (35). This simplifies very much considerations.

In this paper we consider the variational problem corresponding to a general elliptic boundary value problem with combined Dirichlet's and Neumann's boundary conditions. We assume only that the solution u of the variational

(*) Received on July 1985.

(¹) Computing Center of the Technical University Obránců míru 21, 602 00 Brno, Czechoslovakia.

problem exists, i.e. $u \in H^1(\Omega)$. Thus we cannot transform the term $\tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w)$ (defined by (21)) to the form (50) by means of Green's theorem. Instead of it our main tool becomes Zlámal's ideal curved triangular element (see Zlámal [7]) which is considered simultaneously with the associate curved triangular element used in applications. As $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ the complete result of this paper will be only the proof of convergence (without any rate of convergence). The considerations of this paper are based on some results from [9]; thus we use some notions and symbols introduced in [9] without any deeper explanation and with reference to [9] only.

The notation of Sobolev spaces, their norms and seminorms is the same as in the book [2] and other references of this paper.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in E_2 with a Lipschitz-continuous boundary Γ . Let $a(v, w) : H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow R$ be a bilinear form which is bounded and V -elliptic,

$$|a(v, w)| \leq M \|v\|_1 \|w\|_1 \quad \forall v, w \in H^1(\Omega), \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha \|v\|_1^2 \leq a(v, v) \quad \forall v \in V, \quad (2)$$

where α, M are positive constants and

$$V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \text{mes}_1 \Gamma_1 > 0, \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma\}, \quad (3)$$

and let $L(v) : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow R$ be a bounded linear form,

$$|L(v)| \leq K \|v\|_1 \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega), \quad (4)$$

where K is a positive constant. (In (1)-(4) and in what follows we write for a greater simplicity $\|\cdot\|_1$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{1,\Omega}$.)

Remark 1 : If $\text{mes}_1 \Gamma_1 < \text{mes}_1 \Gamma$ and Ω is a simply connected domain we consider only the case that Γ_1 consists of a finite number of disjoint arcs. The end-points of these arcs belong (by definition) to Γ_1 . Thus $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma - \Gamma_1$ consists of a finite number of arcs without end-points. In the case of a multiply connected domain Ω the situation is similar.

Problem P : Let

$$W = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = \bar{u} \text{ on } \Gamma_1\}, \quad (5)$$

where $\bar{u} \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$ is a given function. Find a function $u \in W$ such that

$$a(u, v) = L(v) \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (6)$$

The Lax-Milgram lemma implies that Problem *P* has just one solution $u \in W$.

In what follows we shall consider $a(v, w)$ and $L(v)$ of the forms

$$a(v, w) = \iint_{\Omega} k_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} dx \tag{7}$$

and

$$L(v) = L^{\Omega}(v) + L^{\Gamma}(v) \equiv \iint_{\Omega} vf \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_2} qv \, ds, \tag{8}$$

respectively, where $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma - \Gamma_1$. In (7) and in what follows a summation convection over repeated subscripts is adopted.

We assume that the following sufficient conditions for the validity of (1), (2), (4) hold :

$$k_{ij} \text{ are measurable and bounded functions on } \Omega, \tag{9}$$

$$k_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \mu_0 \xi_i \xi_i \quad \forall \xi_i, \xi_j \in R \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \tag{10}$$

where μ_0 is a positive constant,

$$f \in L_2(\Omega), \quad q \in L_2(\Gamma_2). \tag{11}$$

In the case of the use of numerical integration we shall have additional requirements concerning the smoothness of the functions k_{ij} , f and q .

Similarly as in [1], [2], [10] we shall consider three following variational crimes (the notion « variational crime » is due to Strang (see [4], [5])) :

1. Approximation of the space V and the manifold W by a finite dimensional space V_h and manifold W_h , respectively.
2. Approximation of the domain Ω by a domain Ω_h with a boundary Γ_h which is simpler than Γ .
3. Approximation of the forms $a(v, w)$, $L(v)$ by forms $a_h(v, w)$, $L_h(v)$ which are obtained by means of numerical integration.

Combining these three basic variational crimes we can obtain various situations ; we shall consider the most general case.

Let us choose a sequence $\{ h_m \}$ of real numbers with the following properties :

$$1 > h_m > 0, \quad h_m > h_{m+1}, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_m = 0. \tag{12}$$

For every m let us construct an ideal triangulation τ_m^{id} of the domain Ω and its approximation τ_m^n (where n is a given integer) in the following way : Let us

choose a finite number of nodal points on Γ ; each corner of Γ (if any) and each end-point of arcs forming Γ_1 (if $\Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma$ — see Remark 1) belong to these points; the distance between two neighbouring nodal points is not greater than h_m . The triangulation τ_m^{id} is chosen in such a way that two different arcs, in which the boundary Γ is divided by the nodal points, are sides of two different boundary triangles. Further, the interior triangles of τ_m^{id} have only straight sides. Finally,

$$\hat{h}_m \leq h_m, \quad \bar{h}_m \geq c_0 h_m, \quad \vartheta_m \geq \vartheta_0, \quad (13)$$

where c_0, ϑ_0 are positive constants and

$$\hat{h}_m = \max_{T \in \tau_m} h_T, \quad \bar{h}_m = \min_{T \in \tau_m} h_T, \quad \vartheta_m = \min_{T \in \tau_m} \vartheta_T. \quad (14)$$

In (14) h_T and ϑ_T are the length of the greatest side of T and the magnitude of the smallest angle of T , respectively, and τ_m is the triangulation, which arises from τ_m^{id} if we substitute triangles with one curved side by triangles with straight sides. (If Ω has a polygonal boundary then $\tau_m = \tau_m^{id}$.)

If Ω has not a polygonal boundary we obtain the triangulation τ_m^n associated with τ_m^{id} in the following way: Let us choose an integer $n \geq 1$ and on each curved side of τ_m^{id} let us choose $n - 1$ nodal points with the coordinates

$$[\varphi(i/n), \psi(i/n)] \quad (i = 1, \dots, n - 1),$$

where

$$x_1 = \varphi(t), \quad x_2 = \psi(t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1) \quad (15)$$

is the local parametric representation of the considered curved side (in more detail see [9, eqs. (6)], where the symbols $\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}$ are used instead of φ, ψ). The side (15) is then approximated by the arc

$$x_1 = \varphi^*(t), \quad x_2 = \psi^*(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad (16)$$

where $\varphi^*(t)$ and $\psi^*(t)$ are the Lagrange interpolation polynomials of degree n of the functions $\varphi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$, respectively, uniquely determined by the relations

$$\varphi^*(i/n) = \varphi(i/n) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

$$\psi^*(i/n) = \psi(i/n) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

The arcs of the type (16) form curved sides of the boundary triangles of the triangulation τ_m^n and the union of closed triangles $\bar{T} \in \tau_m^n$ forms the approximation $\bar{\Omega}_m^n$ of $\bar{\Omega}$.

Now we choose the remaining nodal points of τ_m^n and τ_m^{id} . If $n = 1$ then they are formed by the vertices of the triangles of τ_m^n or τ_m^{id} . If $n = 2$ then they are formed by the vertices of the triangles and by the mid-points of the straight sides. If $n = 3$ then they are formed by the vertices of the triangles, by the points dividing the straight sides of the triangles into thirds and by the « centres of gravity » P_T^0 of all triangles $T \in \tau_m^3$ (or $T \in \tau_m^{id}$). (In the case of a triangle T with straight sides the point P_T^0 is the center of gravity of T , in the case of a curved triangle T the point P_T^0 is the image of the point $(1/3, 1/3)$ in the transformation mapping the well-known standard triangle T_0 (see [6]-[10]) onto T).

On every triangle with straight sides function values prescribed at the nodal points determine uniquely a polynomial of degree n . On every curved triangle (both an ideal one and an approximating one) function values prescribed at the nodal points determine uniquely a function which is on both straight sides a polynomial of degree n in one variable. (Details are omitted ; they can be found in [2], [6]-[8].)

Piecing together just mentioned finite elements we obtain N -dimensional spaces X_m^n and Y_m^n of continuous functions on τ_m^n and τ_m^{id} , respectively, where N is the number of nodal points in both triangulations τ_m^n and τ_m^{id} .

Let Γ_{m1} be the approximation of Γ_1 defined by the triangulation τ_m^n ; we set

$$\begin{aligned} V_m &= \{ v \in X_m^n : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{m1} \} \\ &\equiv \{ v \in X_m^n : v(P_k) = 0, P_k \in \Gamma_{m1} \}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where P_k are the nodal points. In order to define suitably the finite element approximation W_m of W we shall assume that the function \bar{u} is so smooth that there exists a function $z \in H^2(\Omega)$ such that $z = \bar{u}$ on Γ_1 . Then we can set

$$W_m = \{ v \in X_m^n : v(P_k) = \bar{u}(P_k), P_k \in \Gamma_{m1} \}. \tag{18}$$

Remark 2 : In the definitions of V_m and W_m we need the space X_m^n only. The space Y_m^n will be used in (52)-(54).

In what follows we assume (similarly as in the CR-theory) that there exists a bounded domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ such that

$$\tilde{\Omega} \supset (\Omega \cup \Omega_m) \quad \forall m \tag{19}$$

and that k_{ij}, f are continuous and bounded functions on Ω having continuous and bounded extensions $\tilde{k}_{ij}, \tilde{f}$ onto $\tilde{\Omega}$. As to the functions \tilde{k}_{ij} we further assume that there exists a constant $\tilde{\mu}_0$ such that

$$\tilde{k}_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \tilde{\mu}_0 \xi_i \xi_i \quad \forall \xi_i, \xi_j \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall x \in \tilde{\Omega}. \tag{20}$$

Thus every bilinear form

$$\tilde{a}_m(v, w) = \iint_{\Omega_m} \tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} dx \quad (21)$$

has the property

$$\tilde{a}_m(v, v) \geq \tilde{\mu}_0 |v|_{1, \Omega_m}^2 \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega_m). \quad (22)$$

Further we define

$$\tilde{L}_m(v) = \tilde{L}_m^\Omega(v) + \tilde{L}_m^\Gamma(v) \quad (23)$$

where

$$\tilde{L}_m^\Omega(v) = \iint_{\Omega_m} \tilde{f}v \, dx, \quad \tilde{L}_m^\Gamma(v) = \int_{\Gamma_{m2}} q_m v \, ds \quad (24)$$

with $\Gamma_{m2} = \Gamma_m - \Gamma_{m1}$. The symbol q_m denotes the function which is obtained by « transferring » the function q from Γ_2 onto Γ_{m2} (see [10]), i.e. if c is a part of Γ_2 with parametric representation (15) and c_m its approximation with parametric representation (16) then

$$\int_c qv \, ds = \int_0^1 q(\varphi(t), \psi(t)) v(\varphi(t), \psi(t)) \rho(t) \, dt, \quad (25)$$

$$\int_{c_m} q_m v \, ds = \int_0^1 q(\varphi(t), \psi(t)) v(\varphi^*(t), \psi^*(t)) \rho^*(t) \, dt \quad (26)$$

where

$$\rho(t) = [(\dot{\varphi}(t))^2 + (\dot{\psi}(t))^2]^{1/2}, \quad (27)$$

$$\rho^*(t) = [(\dot{\varphi}^*(t))^2 + (\dot{\psi}^*(t))^2]^{1/2}. \quad (28)$$

Using quadrature formulas on the triangles with integration points lying in $\bar{\Omega} \cap \bar{\Omega}_m$ we replace the forms $\tilde{a}_m(v, w)$ and $\tilde{L}_m^\Omega(v)$ by the forms $a_m(v, w)$ and $L_m^\Omega(v)$, respectively. (Details can be found in [1], [2] or [8].) Further, computing numerically the integral on the right-hand side of (26) for each $c_m \subset \Gamma_{m2}$ (see [10]) we obtain a linear form $L_m^\Gamma(v)$. Denoting

$$L_m(v) = L_m^\Omega(v) + L_m^\Gamma(v) \quad (29)$$

we can formulate the following discrete problem :

Problem P_m : Find a function $u_m \in W_m$ such that

$$a_m(u_m, v) = L_m(v) \quad \forall v \in V_m. \tag{30}$$

First we must prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution u_m of Problem P_m . This is solved (besides other problems) in Theorems 1-3.

THEOREM 1 : *Let the boundary Γ of the domain Ω be piecewise of class C^{n+1} . Then*

$$\|v\|_{1, \Omega_m}^2 \leq K \|v\|_{1, \Omega_m}^2 \quad \forall v \in V_m \quad \forall h_m < \tilde{h} \tag{31}$$

where \tilde{h} is sufficiently small fixed number and K a positive constant independent of v and h_m .

Theorem 1 is proved in [9] in a more general form.

Remark 3 : If Γ is piecewise of class C^{n+1} then it has a finite number of points of C^{n+1} -discontinuity. These points are nodal points of all triangulations τ_m^n and τ_m^{id} ($m = 1, 2, \dots$).

THEOREM 2 : *Let $\tilde{k}_{ij} \in W_\infty^{(n)}(\tilde{\Omega})$ ($i, j = 1, 2$) and let the quadrature formula on the standard triangle T_0 used for calculation of $a_m(v, w)$ be of degree of precision $d = \max(1, 2n - 2)$. Then for all $v, w \in V_m$ we have*

$$|\tilde{a}_m(v, w) - a_m(v, w)| \leq C \tilde{B}_n h_m \|v\|_{1, \Omega_m} \|w\|_{1, \Omega_m} \tag{32}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of \tilde{k}_{ij} , v, w and h_m and the constant \tilde{B}_n is defined by

$$\tilde{B}_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \|\tilde{k}_{ij}\|_{n, \infty, \tilde{\Omega}}. \tag{33}$$

Theorem 2 follows from [8, Theorem 7] (see also [2, Chapter 4]).

THEOREM 3 : *Let the assumptions of Theorems 1 and 2 be satisfied. Then for $h_m \leq \hat{h}$ the bilinear forms $a_m(v, w)$ are uniformly V_m -elliptic, i.e. there exists a positive constant β independent of V_m such that*

$$\beta \|v\|_{1, \Omega_m}^2 \leq a_m(v, v) \quad \forall v \in V_m \quad \forall h_m \leq \hat{h}, \tag{34}$$

and Problem P_m has just one solution u_m .

Proof : Relations (22) and (31) imply

$$\tilde{a}_m(v, v) \geq \tilde{\mu}_0 K^{-1} \|v\|_{1, \Omega_m}^2 \quad \forall v \in V_m.$$

Theorem 2 gives

$$a_m(v, v) - \tilde{a}_m(v, v) \geq -C\tilde{B}_n h_m \|v\|_{1, \Omega_m}^2 \quad \forall v \in V_m.$$

Adding both inequalities up we obtain (34) with $\beta = \tilde{\mu}_0/(2K)$ for $h_m \leq \tilde{\mu}_0/(2C\tilde{B}_n K)$.

Now we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of Problem P_m . As relation (30) represents a system of linear algebraic equations for the unknowns $u_m(P_k)$, where $P_k \notin \Gamma_{m1}$, it is sufficient to prove the uniqueness, i.e. to prove that the problem "find $u_m \in V_m$ such that $a_m(u_m, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V_m$ " has only the trivial solution. This follows immediately from (34) if we set $v = u_m$. Theorem 3 is proved.

Now we are ready to formulate an abstract error theorem which is the starting point of the CR-theory and all its modifications.

THEOREM 4 : *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 and 2 be satisfied. Then there exists a positive constant C independent of V_m and W_m such that for all $h_m < \hat{h}$ we have*

$$\| \tilde{u} - u_m \|_{1, \Omega_m} \leq C \left\{ \sup_{w \in V_m} \frac{|L_m(w) - \tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w)|}{\|w\|_{1, \Omega_m}} + \inf_{v \in W_m} \left[\| \tilde{u} - v \|_{1, \Omega_m} + \sup_{w \in V_m} \frac{|\tilde{a}_m(v, w) - a_m(v, w)|}{\|w\|_{1, \Omega_m}} \right] \right\} \quad (35)$$

where $\tilde{u} \in H^1(\tilde{\Omega})$ is the Calderon's extension of the solution $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ of Problem P from the domain Ω onto the domain $\tilde{\Omega}$.

The proof of Theorem 4 follows the same lines as the proof of [2, Theorem 4.4.1] and thus it is omitted. (Of course, if $u \in H^k(\Omega)$, $k > 1$, then $\tilde{u} \in H^k(\tilde{\Omega})$ in Theorem 4.)

Before introducing the first application of Theorem 4 we remind two theorems from the theory of numerical integration in the finite element method and prove a theorem on approximations of \tilde{u} in the sets W_m .

THEOREM 5 : *Let $1 \leq r \leq n$. Let $\tilde{f} \in W_\infty^{(r)}(\tilde{\Omega})$ and let the quadrature formula on the standard triangle T_0 used for calculation of $L_m^\Omega(v)$ be of degree of precision $d = \max(1, r + n - 2)$. Then for all $v \in V_m$ we have*

$$|L_m^\Omega(v) - \tilde{L}_m^\Omega(v)| \leq Ch_m^r \|\tilde{f}\|_{r, \infty, \tilde{\Omega}} \|v\|_{1, \Omega_m} \quad (36)$$

where the constant C is independent of h_m , v and \tilde{f} .

The proof of Theorem 5 is very similar to the proofs of [2, Theorems 4.1.5 and 4.4.5].

THEOREM 6 : *Let the part Γ_2 of the boundary Γ be piecewise of class C^{n+1} and let the function $q(x_1, x_2)$ belong to the space $C^n(\bar{U})$, where U is a domain containing Γ_2 . Let the quadrature formula used on the segment $[0, 1]$ for calculation of $L_m^\Gamma(v)$ be of degree of precision $d = 2n - 1$. Then for sufficiently small h_m and for all $v \in V_m$ we have*

$$|L_m^\Gamma(v) - \tilde{L}_m^\Gamma(v)| \leq Ch_m^n \|v\|_{1, \Omega_m}, \tag{37}$$

where the constant C does not depend on h_m and v .

Theorem 6 is proved in the proof of [10, Theorem 5].

THEOREM 7 : *Let \bar{u} be so smooth that there exists a function $z \in H^2(\Omega)$ such that $z = \bar{u}$ on Γ_1 . Then there exists a sequence $\{v_m\}$, where $v_m \in W_m$, such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{u} - v_m\|_{1, \Omega_m} = 0. \tag{38}$$

Proof : According to [3], $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap V$ is dense in V . Let $\{\epsilon_k\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers with properties

$$\epsilon_k > 0, \quad \epsilon_k > \epsilon_{k+1}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_k = 0. \tag{39}$$

Let us set

$$w = u - z. \tag{40}$$

Then $w \in V$ and for every k there exists a function $w_{\epsilon_k} \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap V$ such that

$$\|w - w_{\epsilon_k}\|_{1, \Omega} \leq \epsilon_k / (3\tilde{C}), \tag{41}$$

where \tilde{C} is the constant from inequality (42).

Let \tilde{v} be the Calderon's extension of $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ into $H^1(E_2)$. Then we have

$$\|\tilde{v}\|_{1, E_2} \leq \tilde{C} \|v\|_{1, \Omega} \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega), \tag{42}$$

where the constant \tilde{C} does not depend on v . Similarly, if \tilde{v}^* denotes the Calderon's extension of $v \in H^2(\Omega)$ into $H^2(E_2)$ then

$$\|\tilde{v}^*\|_{2, E_2} \leq \tilde{C}^* \|v\|_{2, \Omega} \quad \forall v \in H^2(\Omega), \tag{43}$$

where the constant \tilde{C}^* does not depend on v .

Relations (41), (42) imply

$$\|\tilde{w} - \tilde{w}_{\epsilon_k}\|_{1, \tilde{\Omega}} \leq \tilde{C} \|w - w_{\epsilon_k}\|_{1, \Omega} \leq \epsilon_k / 3. \tag{44}$$

Let $I_m v \in V_m$ be the interpolate of $v \in H^2(\Omega)$ (i.e. the function from V_m which has the same function values as v at the nodal points of τ_m^n). Owing to the definition of nodal points we have

$$I_m \tilde{v}^* = I_m v \quad \forall v \in H^2(\Omega). \tag{45}$$

Relations (43), (45) and the finite element interpolation theorems (see [2] or [8, Theorem 5]) imply

$$\| \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k}^* - I_m w_{\varepsilon_k} \|_{1, \Omega_m} \leq Ch_m \| \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k}^* \|_{2, \Omega_m} \leq \tilde{C}^* Ch_m \| w_{\varepsilon_k} \|_{2, \Omega}.$$

Thus, according to (12), there exists m_k^1 (depending on k) such that

$$\| \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k}^* - I_m w_{\varepsilon_k} \|_{1, \Omega_m} \leq \varepsilon_k/3 \quad \forall m \geq m_k^1. \tag{46}$$

Finally, using the relation

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \{ \text{mes}(\Omega_m - \Omega) \} = 0$$

we find, according to the theorem on the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral,

$$\| \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k} - \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k}^* \|_{1, \Omega_m} = \| \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k} - \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k}^* \|_{1, \Omega_m - \Omega} \leq \varepsilon_k/3 \quad \forall m \geq m_k^2. \tag{47}$$

Both inequalities (46) and (47) hold for $m \geq m_k = \max(m_k^1, m_k^2)$. It can be easily arranged that $m_k < m_{k+1}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$).

Now we can construct a sequence $\{ w_m \}$, $w_m \in V_m$, such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \| \tilde{w} - w_m \|_{1, \Omega_m} = 0. \tag{48}$$

If $m_k \leq m < m_{k+1}$ then we set $w_m = I_m w_{\varepsilon_k} \in V_m$. The inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \| \tilde{w} - I_m w_{\varepsilon_k} \|_{1, \Omega_m} &\leq \| \tilde{w} - \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k} \|_{1, \Omega_m} + \\ &+ \| \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k} - \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k}^* \|_{1, \Omega_m} + \| \tilde{w}_{\varepsilon_k}^* - I_m w_{\varepsilon_k} \|_{1, \Omega_m} \end{aligned}$$

and relations (39), (44), (46), (47) imply then relation (48).

Now let us set

$$v_m = w_m + I_m z.$$

Then, according to (40), (45) and (48),

$$\begin{aligned} \| \tilde{u} - v_m \|_{1, \Omega_m} \leq & \| \tilde{w} - w_m \|_{1, \Omega_m} + \| \tilde{z} - \tilde{z}^* \|_{1, \Omega_m - \Omega} + \\ & + \| \tilde{z}^* - I_m z \|_{1, \Omega_m} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } m \rightarrow \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7 is proved.

In the case of a polygonal domain Ω the preceding theorems imply the following general result :

THEOREM 8 : *Let Ω be a bounded domain with a polygonal boundary Γ . Let the assumptions of Theorems 2, 5, 6 and 7 be satisfied, where $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega$. Then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \| u - u_m \|_{1, \Omega} = 0, \tag{49}$$

where u and u_m are the solutions of Problems P and P_m , respectively.

Proof : As $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega$ we have $\tilde{u} = u$ and $q_m = q$. Thus

$$\tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w) \equiv a(u, w) = L^\Omega(w) + L^\Gamma(w) \equiv \tilde{L}_m^\Omega(w) + \tilde{L}_m^\Gamma(w).$$

This result and Theorems 5, 6 imply

$$| L_m(w) - \tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w) | \cdot \| w \|_{1, \Omega}^{-1} = \mathbf{O}(h_m^r) + \mathbf{O}(h_m^n).$$

Thus the first term on the right-hand side of (35) tends to zero if $m \rightarrow \infty$.

As to the second term we have, according to Theorem 7,

$$\inf_{v \in W_m} \| \tilde{u} - v \|_{1, \Omega} \leq \| u - v_m \|_{1, \Omega} \rightarrow 0.$$

Inspecting the proof of [8, Theorem 7] (and taking into account that we consider C^0 -elements only) we see that relation (32) is valid for all $v, w \in X_m^n$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{v \in W_m} \sup_{w \in Y_m} \{ | \tilde{a}_m(v, w) - a_m(v, w) | \cdot \| w \|_{1, \Omega}^{-1} \} & \leq \\ & \leq \inf_{v \in W_m} \{ C\tilde{B}_n h_m \| v \|_{1, \Omega} \} \leq C\tilde{B}_n h_m \| v_m \|_{1, \Omega} = \mathbf{O}(h_m) \end{aligned}$$

because the sequence $\{ v_m \}$ is bounded, according to Theorem 7. Relation (49) follows now from Theorem 4. Theorem 8 is proved.

In the case of non-polygonal domains the situation is not so straightforward. Thus the CR-theory and its modifications assume the solution of Problem P sufficiently smooth and use the Green's theorem in order to find a more

convenient expression for $\tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w)$:

$$\tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w) = \int_{\Gamma_{m2}} \left(\tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x_i} v_{mj} \right) w ds - \iint_{\Omega_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x_i} \right) w dx \quad (50)$$

(see [2, p. 268] or [10]). The symbols v_{m1}, v_{m2} denote the components of the unit outward normal to Γ_m . The solution u is so smooth that it satisfies the equation

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

If $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma$ then (50) can be written in the form

$$\tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w) = \iint_{\Omega_m} \tilde{f} w dx \equiv \tilde{L}_m^\Omega(w)$$

where the extension \tilde{f} of f is defined by the relation

$$\tilde{f} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

In this case the estimate of the first term on the right-hand side of (35) follows immediately from Theorem 5. (As to the case $\Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma$ see [10].)

Remark 4 : It should be noted that the sufficient smoothness of u enables the CR-theory to use finite element interpolation theorems instead of Theorem 7 and to obtain the optimum error estimates.

Our assumptions guarantee only $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ and the use of Green's theorem is forbidden for us. In order to estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (35) in the case of $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ let us define first some notions and notation.

The symbols ω_+ and ω_- have the following meaning :

$$\omega_+ = \Omega_m - \Omega, \quad \omega_- = \Omega - \Omega_m. \quad (51)$$

The symbols ω_+^1 and ω_+^2 denote the parts of ω_+ which lie along Γ_1 and Γ_2 , respectively. (In other words, the boundary of ω_+^1 is formed by parts of Γ_1 and Γ_{m1} ; similarly the boundary of ω_+^2 is formed by parts of Γ_2 and Γ_{m2} .) The symbols ω_-^1 and ω_-^2 denote the parts of ω_- which lie along Γ_1 and Γ_2 , respectively.

The symbol T^* will denote a triangle belonging to τ_m^n and approximating a corresponding ideal curved triangle $T^{id} \in \tau_m^{id}$. (In [9] the ideal curved triangles are denoted simply by T .)

The symbol $T^{id} : \Gamma_1$ denotes an ideal triangle $T^{id} \in \tau_m^{id}$ whose curved side lies on Γ_1 . The symbol $T^* : \Gamma_1$ denotes a triangle $T^* \in \tau_m^n$ whose one side approximates a curved part of Γ_1 .

Similarly as in [9], the symbol \bar{w} denotes the natural extension of a function $w \in V_m$ from the domain Ω_m to the domain $\Omega_m \cup \omega_-$. (The definition of « natural extension » is given in [9, p. 271].)

Finally, the symbol \hat{w} denotes a continuous function belonging to V which corresponds to a function $w \in V_m \subset X_m^n$ and is defined by the following relations :

$$\hat{w} = \bar{w} \quad \text{on } \Omega - \Lambda, \quad \hat{w} = w^* \quad \text{on } \Lambda, \tag{52}$$

where

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{T^{id} : \Gamma_1} T^{id} \tag{53}$$

and where w^* is the function from Y_m^n which is uniquely determined by the values

$$w^*(P_k) = w(P_k) \quad (k = 1, \dots, N), \tag{54}$$

P_1, \dots, P_N being the nodal points of the triangulation τ_m^{id} . (The definition of the space Y_m^n is introduced in the text between relations (16) and (17).)

As $\hat{w} \in V$ we can write, according to (6) and (24)₂,

$$L_m(w) - \tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w) = \int_{\Gamma_{m^2}} q_m w \, ds - \tilde{L}_m^\Gamma(w) + \{ L_m(w) - L(\hat{w}) \} + \{ a(u, \hat{w}) - \tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w) \}. \tag{55}$$

This relation is the starting point for estimating the first term on the right-hand side of (35) without using the Green's theorem. Now we express the terms in brackets in a suitable way. We have

$$L^\Gamma(\hat{w}) = \int_{\Gamma_2} q \bar{w} \, ds, \tag{56}$$

$$L^\Omega(\hat{w}) \equiv \iint_{\Omega} f \hat{w} \, dx = \iint_{\Omega_m} \tilde{f} w \, dx + \iint_{\omega_2} f \bar{w} \, dx - \iint_{\omega_2} \tilde{f} w \, dx + \sum_{T^* : \Gamma_1} \left\{ \iint_{T^{id}} f \hat{w} \, dx - \iint_{T^*} \tilde{f} w \, dx \right\}, \tag{57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{T^{1d}} f\hat{w} \, dx - \iint_{T^*} \tilde{f}w \, dx = \\
& = \iint_{T^{1d}} f\hat{w} \, dx - \iint_{T^*} \tilde{f}w \, dx - \iint_{T^{1d}-T^*} f\bar{w} \, dx + \iint_{T^{1d}-T^*} f\bar{w} \, dx \\
& = \iint_{T^{1d}} f\hat{w} \, dx - \iint_{T^{1d}} f\bar{w} \, dx - \iint_{T^*-T^{1d}} \tilde{f}w \, dx + \iint_{T^{1d}-T^*} f\bar{w} \, dx \\
& = \iint_{T^{1d}} (\hat{w} - \bar{w}) f \, dx - \iint_{T^*-T^{1d}} \tilde{f}w \, dx + \iint_{T^{1d}-T^*} f\bar{w} \, dx. \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
a(u, \hat{w}) - \tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w) &= \iint_{\omega_2} k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_j} \, dx - \\
& - \iint_{\omega_+} \tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \, dx + \sum_{T^* \Gamma_1} \left\{ \iint_{T^{1d}} k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial (\hat{w} - \bar{w})}{\partial x_j} \, dx \right. \\
& \left. - \iint_{T^*-T^{1d}} \tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \, dx + \iint_{T^{1d}-T^*} k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_j} \, dx \right\}. \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

Relations (55)-(59) together with (24) and with the identities

$$\omega_+^1 = \bigcup_{T^* \Gamma_1} (T^* - T^{1d}), \quad \omega_-^1 = \bigcup_{T^* \Gamma_1} (T^{1d} - T^*) \tag{60}$$

imply the following lemma :

LEMMA 1 : We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_m(w) - \tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w)| &\leq |L_m^\Omega(w) - \tilde{L}_m^\Omega(w)| + \\
& + |L_m^\Gamma(w) - \tilde{L}_m^\Gamma(w)| + \left| \int_{\Gamma_{m2}} q_m w \, ds - \int_{\Gamma_2} q \bar{w} \, ds \right| \\
& + \left| \sum_{T^{1d} \Gamma_1} \iint_{T^{1d}} \left[-(\hat{w} - \bar{w}) f + k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial (\hat{w} - \bar{w})}{\partial x_j} \right] dx \right| \\
& + \left| \iint_{\omega_+} \left\{ \tilde{f}w - \tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \right\} dx \right| \\
& + \left| \iint_{\omega_-} \left\{ -f\bar{w} + k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_j} \right\} dx \right|. \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can prove the main result of this paper :

THEOREM 9 : *Let the assumptions of Theorems 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 be satisfied. Then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \| \tilde{u} - u_m \|_{1, \Omega_m} = 0 \tag{62}$$

where $\tilde{u} \in H^1(\tilde{\Omega})$ is the Calderon's extension of the solution $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ of Problem P and u_m is the solution of Problem P_m .

Proof : Using Theorem 7 we find

$$\inf_{v \in W_m} \| \tilde{u} - v \|_{1, \Omega_m} \leq \| \tilde{u} - v_m \|_{1, \Omega_m} \rightarrow 0. \tag{63}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 8 we have

$$\inf_{v \in W_m} \sup_{w \in V_m} \{ | \tilde{a}_m(v, w) - a_m(v, w) | \cdot \| w \|_{1, \Omega_m}^{-1} \} = O(h_m). \tag{64}$$

It remains to prove

$$\sup_{w \in V_m} \{ | L_m(w) - \tilde{a}_m(\tilde{u}, w) | \cdot \| w \|_{1, \Omega_m}^{-1} \} \rightarrow 0. \tag{65}$$

Assertion (62) follows then from (63)-(65) and Theorem 4. The proof of (65) is divided into five parts A)-E) :

A) Using Theorems 5 and 6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} | L_m^\Omega(w) - \tilde{L}_m^\Omega(w) | + | L_m^\Gamma(w) - \tilde{L}_m^\Gamma(w) | &\leq \\ &\leq Ch_m^r \| w \|_{1, \Omega_m} \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \quad \forall w \in V_m, \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where the constant C does not depend on h_m and w .

B) Let us denote for the sake of brevity

$$D_1 = \sum_{T^{ia}; \Gamma_1} \iint_{T^{ia}} \left(k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial(\hat{w} - \bar{w})}{\partial x_j} - (\hat{w} - \bar{w}) f \right) dx. \tag{67}$$

Using the assumptions $\tilde{f} \in W_\infty^{(r)}(\tilde{\Omega})$, $\tilde{k}_{ij} \in W_\infty^{(n)}(\tilde{\Omega})$ and the Cauchy inequalities we easily find

$$| D_1 | \leq (\| f \|_{0, \Omega} + \tilde{B}_n \| u \|_{1, \Omega}) \left\{ \sum_{T^{ia}; \Gamma_1} \| \hat{w} - \bar{w} \|_{1, T^{ia}}^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{68}$$

According to (52)-(54), the function \hat{w} is an ideal interpolate of the function \bar{w}

on T^{id} (because $\bar{w}(P_k) = w(P_k)$). Thus using the result proved in the proof of [7, Theorem 2] we obtain

$$\| \hat{w} - \bar{w} \|_{1, T^{id}} \leq Ch_m^n \| \bar{w} \|_{n+1, T^{id}}. \tag{69}$$

Inequalities (68) and (69) imply

$$| D_1 | \leq Ch_m^n \left\{ \sum_{T^* \in \Gamma_1} (\| w \|_{n+1, T^*}^2 + \| \bar{w} \|_{n+1, T^{id-T^*}}^2) \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{70}$$

(In (69), (70) and in what follows the symbol C denotes a generic constant, not necessarily the same in any two places.) Let

$$x_1 = x_1^*(\xi_1, \xi_2), \quad x_2 = x_2^*(\xi_1, \xi_2) \tag{71}$$

be a mapping which maps one-to-one the curved triangle T^* onto the standard triangle T_0 lying in the ξ_1, ξ_2 -plane and having the vertices $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)$. According to the definition of the function $w \in X_m^n$ we have (see also [9, p. 269])

$$w |_{T^*} (x_1^*(\xi_1, \xi_2), x_2^*(\xi_1, \xi_2)) = p(\xi_1, \xi_2), \tag{72}$$

where $p(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is a polynomial of degree n . Using the theorem on transformation of multiple integrals and the properties of the mapping (71) (see [9, Lemma 1]) we find (because $|p|_{n+1, T_0} = 0$):

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n+1} |w|_{k, T^*}^2 \leq Ch_m^{2-2n} \sum_{k=1}^n |p|_{k, T_0}^2. \tag{73}$$

Using [8, Lemma 5] and the transformation from T_0 on T^* we obtain

$$|p|_{k, T_0}^2 \leq C |p|_{1, T_0}^2 \leq C \|w\|_{1, T^*}^2 \quad (k \geq 1). \tag{74}$$

Relations (73), (74) imply

$$h_m^{2n} \|w\|_{n+1, T^*}^2 \leq Ch_m^2 \|w\|_{1, T^*}^2. \tag{75}$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (70) can be estimated by the technique developed in [9]. Thus the proof is only sketched. Let N_1 be the number of curved triangles along Γ_1 . Let us denote them by the symbols $T_1^*, T_2^*, \dots, T_{N_1}^*$ and the corresponding ideal curved triangles by the symbols $T_1^{id}, T_2^{id}, \dots, T_{N_1}^{id}$. According to the properties of transformations (71) (see [9, Lemma 1]), we have

$$| \bar{w} |_{k, T_j^{id-T_j^*}}^2 \leq C \sum_{r=1}^k |p_j|_{r, \sigma}^2 h_m^{2-2r} \quad (k \geq 1),$$

where in accordance with (72) (see also [9, (27)])

$$p_j(\xi_1, \xi_2) = w|_{T_j^*}(x_1^*(\xi_1, \xi_2), x_2^*(\xi_1, \xi_2))$$

and where σ is a quadrilateral lying in the ξ_1, ξ_2 -plane and having vertices $A_1(1 - \delta, 0), A_2(1 + \delta, 0), A_3(0, 1 + \delta), A_4(0, 1 - \delta)$; δ is so small that (see [9, p. 275])

$$\text{mes } \sigma = O(h_m^n). \tag{76}$$

As p_j is a polynomial of degree n the last inequality gives

$$\| \bar{w} \|_{n+1, T_j^{d-T_j^*}}^2 \leq C \left\{ |p_j|_{0, \sigma}^2 h_m^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n |p_j|_{k, \sigma}^2 h_m^{2-2k} \right\}. \tag{77}$$

Each polynomial $p_j(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ can be written in the form

$$p_j(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^j b_i(\xi_1, \xi_2), \tag{78}$$

where $d = (n + 1)(n + 2)/2, b_i(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ are fixed basis functions and $\alpha_i^j = w(P_i^j), P_i^j (i = 1, \dots, d)$ being the nodal points of T_j^* in the local notation. Similarly as [9, (39)] we can prove

$$|w|_{0, \Omega_m}^2 \geq Ch_m^2 A(\alpha_i^j), \quad |w|_{1, \Omega_m}^2 \geq CB(\alpha_i^j), \tag{79}$$

where

$$A(\alpha_i^j) = \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} \sum_{i=1}^d (\alpha_i^j)^2, \quad B(\alpha_i^j) = \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} \sum_{i=1}^d (\alpha_i^j - \alpha_0^j)^2, \tag{80}$$

$$\alpha_0^j = (\alpha_1^j + \alpha_2^j + \dots + \alpha_d^j)/d; \tag{81}$$

$N^*(\geq N_1)$ denotes the total number of curved boundary triangles. (If $n = 1$ then N^* is the number of boundary triangles lying along the curved part of Γ .) As $|p_j|_{k, \sigma} = |p_j - \alpha_0^j|_{k, \sigma} (k \geq 1)$ we have, according to (77) and (79),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{T^*: \Gamma_1} \| \bar{w} \|_{n+1, T_j^{d-T_j^*}}^2 \| w \|_{1, \Omega_m}^{-2} &\leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} |p_j|_{0, \sigma}^2 \right) / A(\alpha_i^j) + \\ &+ C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \sum_{k=1}^n |p_j - \alpha_0^j|_{k, \sigma}^2 h_m^{2-2k} \right) / B(\alpha_i^j). \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

As $b_1 + \dots + b_d = 1$ we can write

$$p_j - \alpha_0^j = \sum_{i=1}^d (\alpha_i^j - \alpha_0^j) b_i.$$

Thus

$$|p_j|_{0,\sigma}^2 \leq C \max_{i=1,\dots,d} |\alpha_i^j|^2 \text{mes } \sigma, \quad (83)$$

$$|p_j - \alpha_0^j|_{k,\sigma}^2 \leq C \max_{i=1,\dots,d} |\alpha_i^j - \alpha_0^j|^2 \text{mes } \sigma. \quad (84)$$

We see from (76), (80), (83) and (84) that the right-hand side of (82) is bounded by Ch_m^{2-n} . Using this result together with (75) and

$$\sum_{T^* \in \Gamma_1} \|w\|_{1,T^*}^2 \leq \|w\|_{1,\Omega_m}^2$$

we obtain from (70) that

$$|D_1 \cdot \|w\|_{1,\Omega_m}^{-1} \leq Ch_m. \quad (85)$$

C) Similarly as in part B) we have

$$\left| \iint_{\omega_-} \left(\tilde{f}w - \tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \right) dx \right| \leq \\ \leq (\|\tilde{f}\|_{0,\tilde{\Omega}} + \tilde{B}_n \|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}}) \left\{ \sum_{T^* \in \Gamma} \|w\|_{1,T^* - T^i}^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (86)$$

$$\left| \iint_{\omega_-} \left(k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_j} - f\bar{w} \right) dx \right| \leq \\ \leq (\|f\|_{0,\Omega} + \tilde{B}_n \|u\|_{1,\Omega}) \left\{ \sum_{T^* \in \Gamma} \|\bar{w}\|_{1,T^i - T^*}^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (87)$$

$$\|w\|_{1,T^* - T^j}^2 \leq Ch_m^2 |p_j|_{0,\sigma}^2 + C |p_j - \alpha_0^j|_{1,\sigma}^2, \quad (88)$$

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{1,T^j - T^*}^2 \leq Ch_m^2 |p_j|_{0,\sigma}^2 + C |p_j - \alpha_0^j|_{1,\sigma}^2. \quad (89)$$

Relations (76), (79)-(84), (86)-(89) imply

$$\left| \iint_{\omega_+} \left(\tilde{f}w - \tilde{k}_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \right) dx \right| \cdot \|w\|_{1,\Omega_m}^{-1} \leq Ch_m^{n/2} \quad (90)$$

$$\left| \iint_{\omega_-} \left(k_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_j} - f\bar{w} \right) dx \right| \cdot \|w\|_{1,\Omega_m}^{-1} \leq Ch_m^{n/2} \quad (91)$$

D) As $\bar{w} = w$ on \bar{T}_j^* we have, according to (25), (26),

$$\int_{\Gamma_2} q(x_1, x_2) \bar{w}(x_1, x_2) ds - \int_{\Gamma_{m2}} q_m(x_1, x_2) w(x_1, x_2) ds =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} \left\{ \int_0^1 q(\varphi_j(t), \psi_j(t)) \bar{w}(\varphi_j(t), \psi_j(t)) \rho_j(t) dt - \int_0^1 q(\varphi_j(t), \psi_j(t)) \bar{w}(\varphi_j^*(t), \psi_j^*(t)) \rho_j^*(t) dt \right\},$$

where N_2 is the number of boundary triangles lying along the curved part of Γ_2 . Let us set for the sake of brevity

$$\Delta_{j1} = \varphi_j(t) - \varphi_j^*(t), \quad \Delta_{j2} = \psi_j(t) - \psi_j^*(t).$$

We have, according to [9, Lemma 2] :

$$\Delta_{j1} = \mathbf{O}(h_m^{n+1}), \quad \Delta_{j2} = \mathbf{O}(h_m^{n+1}),$$

$$\rho_j(t) = \rho_j^*(t) [1 + \mathbf{O}(h_m^n)], \quad \rho_j^*(t) = \mathbf{O}(h_m).$$

Using Taylor's theorem we can write

$$\bar{w}(\varphi_j(t), \psi_j(t)) = \bar{w}(\varphi_j^*(t), \psi_j^*(t)) + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_1} (S_j) \Delta_{j1} + \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_2} (S_j) \Delta_{j2},$$

where

$$S_j = (\varphi_j^*(t) + \vartheta_j \Delta_{j1}, \psi_j^*(t) + \vartheta_j \Delta_{j2}), \quad 0 < \vartheta_j < 1.$$

Thus $S_j \in \bar{T}_j^* \cup \bar{T}_j^{id}$. Using (72) and (78) we can find

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |\bar{w}(\varphi_j^*(t), \psi_j^*(t))| \leq Cm_j$$

where

$$m_j = \max_{i=1, \dots, d} |\alpha_i^j|.$$

Finally, relations $\partial \bar{w} / \partial x_k = (\partial p_j / \partial \xi_i) (\partial \xi_i / \partial x_k)$ and (13)₂ together with [9, Lemma 1] give

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_i} (S_j) \right| \leq Ch_m^{-1} m_j.$$

Combining all relations introduced here with (79)₁, (80)₁ and taking into account that $N_2 = \mathbf{O}(h_m^{-1})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma_2} q \bar{w} \, ds - \int_{\Gamma_{m2}} q_m w \, ds \right| \cdot \|w\|_{1, \Omega_m}^{-1} &\leq \\ &\leq Ch_m^n \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} m_j \{A(\alpha_j^k)\}^{-1/2} \\ &\leq Ch_m^n \left\{ N_2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} m_j^2 / \sum_{j=1}^{N^*} \sum_{i=1}^d (\alpha_j^i)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq Ch^{n-1/2}. \quad (92) \end{aligned}$$

E) Relations (66), (67), (85), (90), (91) and (92) together with Lemma 1 imply relation (65). Theorem 9 is proved.

Remark 5 : We proved more than relation (65) : Under the assumptions of Theorem 9 the rate of convergence of the first term on the right-hand side of (35) is $\mathbf{O}(h_m^{1/2})$ in the case $n = 1$ and $\mathbf{O}(h_m)$ in the case $n \geq 2$.

Remark 6 : For a greater simplicity we restricted our considerations to the case of triangular finite elements of the Lagrange type. Using results of [9] we can prove theorems analogous to Theorems 7 and 9 also in the case of triangular finite C^0 -elements of the Hermite type. The proofs follow the same lines as the proofs of Theorems 7 and 9.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. G. CIARLET, P. A. RAVIART, *The combined effect of curved boundaries and numerical integration in isoparametric finite element methods*. In : The Mathematical Foundations of the Finite Element Method with Applications to Partial Differential Equations (A. K. Aziz, Editor), Academic Press, New York, 1972, pp. 409-474.
- [2] P. G. CIARLET, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [3] P. DOKTOR, *On the density of smooth functions in certain subspaces of Sobolev space*. Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 14 (1973), 609-622.
- [4] G. STRANG, *Variational crimes in the finite element method*. In : The Mathematical Foundations of the Finite Element Method with Applications to Partial Differential Equations (A. K. Aziz, Editor), Academic Press, New York, 1972, pp. 689-710.
- [5] G. STRANG, G. FIX, *An Analysis of the Finite Element Method*. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1973.
- [6] M. ZLÁMAL, *The finite element method in domains with curved boundaries*. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng. 5 (1973), 367-373.

- [7] M. ZLÁMAL, *Curved elements in the finite element method. I.* SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 10 (1973), 229-240.
- [8] A. ŽENÍŠEK, *Curved triangular finite C^m -elements.* Apl. Mat. 23 (1978), 346-377.
- [9] A. ŽENÍŠEK, *Discrete forms of Friedrichs' inequalities in the finite element method.* R.A.I.R.O. Anal. num. 15 (1981), 265-286.
- [10] A. ŽENÍŠEK, *Nonhomogeneous boundary conditions and curved triangular finite elements.* Apl. Mat. 26 (1981), 121-141.