

A HYBRIDIZABLE DISCONTINUOUS GALERKIN METHOD FOR THE COUPLED NAVIER–STOKES/BIOT PROBLEM

AYCIL CEMELIOGLU¹, JEONGHUN J. LEE² AND SANDER RHEBERGEN^{3,*}

Abstract. In this paper we present a hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin method for the time-dependent Navier–Stokes equations coupled to the quasi-static poroelasticity equations *via* interface conditions. We determine a bound on the data that guarantees stability and well-posedness of the fully discrete problem and prove *a priori* error estimates. A numerical example confirms our analysis.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 65M12, 65M15, 65M60, 76D05, 76S99.

Received August 22, 2023. Accepted June 4, 2024.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider a system of partial differential equations such that the governing equations of two different physical models on two disjoint subdomains are coupled across an interface. The two models are the time-dependent Navier–Stokes equations of incompressible fluids and the quasi-static poroelasticity (or Biot) equations [6–8]. The interface conditions coupling the two governing equations are derived by fundamental physical laws and experimental data. This fluid and poroelastic structure interaction problem, which we refer to here as the coupled Navier–Stokes/Biot problem, has applications in engineering fields such as hydrogeology, petroleum engineering, and biomechanics.

To the best of our knowledge, the coupled Stokes/Biot model with general interface conditions was first proposed in [50]. Soon after, Badia *et al.* [3] studied conforming finite element methods for the spatial discretization of the coupled Navier–Stokes/Biot problem and monolithic and domain decomposition (partitioned) algorithms to solve the fully discrete problem. A mathematical proof of existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to the fully dynamic coupled Navier–Stokes and Biot problem, under a small data assumption, was given in [17]. In this paper we consider the time-dependent Navier–Stokes equations coupled to the quasi-static Biot equations. Well-posedness of this model is still an open question.

Various finite element methods have been studied for the coupled Stokes/Biot and Navier–Stokes/Biot problems. A Lagrange multiplier method for the coupled stationary Stokes and quasi-static poroelasticity equations was studied in [1], which was extended to a nonlinear model with non-Newtonian fluids in [2]. A conforming/mixed finite element method was studied for the coupled stationary Stokes and quasi-static poroelasticity equations in

Keywords and phrases. Navier–Stokes equations, Biot’s consolidation model, poroelasticity, Beavers–Joseph–Saffman, hybridized methods, discontinuous Galerkin.

¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Oakland University, Rochester, MI, USA.

² Department of Mathematics, Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA.

³ Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada.

*Corresponding author: srheberg@uwaterloo.ca

[9, 45] using the total pressure formulation [34, 38]. Other formulations of this system of equations have also been studied. These include the velocity–pressure (for Stokes) and stress–displacement–velocity–pressure (for poroelasticity) formulation [36] and the stress–velocity–pressure (for Stokes) and stress–displacement–velocity–pressure (for poroelasticity) formulation [16]. A conforming finite element method using Nitsche’s technique for the Stokes/Biot problem is studied in [29]. They consider the velocity–pressure formulation of the Stokes equations and a formulation using displacement, total pressure, and fluid content as primary variables for the poroelasticity equations. For the coupled stationary Navier–Stokes and quasi-static poroelasticity equations, an augmented mixed method using a pseudo-stress formulation of the Navier–Stokes equations and a stress–displacement–velocity–pressure formulation of the poroelasticity model is studied in [37]. They prove existence and uniqueness of a continuous weak and a semidiscrete continuous-in-time formulation of these equations. A conforming finite element method with stabilization for the time-dependent Stokes equations coupled to the dynamic poroelasticity equations was studied in [18]. Furthermore, many partitioned time discretization schemes for efficient time discretization of the (Navier–)Stokes/Biot model have been studied, see, for example, [5, 14, 15, 30, 39].

In our previous work [23], we presented a locking-free hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin (HDG) [27] method for the coupled stationary Stokes equations and quasi-static poroelasticity equations. This HDG method was constructed such that: (i) the discrete velocities and displacement are divergence-conforming; (ii) the compressibility equations are satisfied pointwise on the elements; and (iii) mass is conserved pointwise on the elements for the semi-discrete problem in the absence of source/sink terms. In this paper we expand on our work in [23] and propose and analyze an HDG method for the coupled time-dependent Navier–Stokes and quasi-static Biot equations that inherits the three aforementioned properties of the HDG method for the coupled Stokes/Biot problem. For this, we couple the exactly divergence-free HDG method for the time-dependent Navier–Stokes equations of [41] to the locking-free HDG method for the Biot equations of [22].

We consider Backward Euler time-stepping for the time discretization, lagging the convective velocity in the nonlinear term of the Navier–Stokes equations. To prove stability and well-posedness of our discretization, the convective velocity across the interface must be small enough (this observation was also made in [28] for the stationary Navier–Stokes/Darcy problem). We show this by assuming the data is small and extending the stability and well-posedness analysis of [25] for a discontinuous Galerkin discretization of the Navier–Stokes/Darcy problem to our HDG discretization of the Navier–Stokes/Biot problem. With well-posedness established we proceed with an *a priori* error analysis. Here it is interesting to remark that all error bounds are independent of the fluid pressure analogous to pressure-robust estimates found elsewhere in the literature for divergence-conforming discretizations of incompressible flows (see, for example, [26, 32, 35, 43, 49, 51] and the review paper [31]).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the coupled Navier–Stokes/Biot problem. Notation, definitions, useful preliminary results, and the HDG method are introduced in Section 3. The HDG method is shown to be stable and well-posed in Section 4 and *a priori* error estimates are proven in Section 5. A numerical result is presented in Section 6 and we end this paper with concluding remarks in Section 7.

2. THE COUPLED NAVIER–STOKES/BIOT PROBLEM

Let Ω be a bounded connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , $d = 2, 3$, with polygonal/polyhedral boundaries, and let Ω^f and Ω^b be two disjoint open connected subsets, both with polygonal/polyhedral boundaries, such that $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\Omega^f} \cup \overline{\Omega^b}$. Furthermore, let $J = [0, T]$ denote the time interval of interest.

Let $\sigma^j := 2\mu^j \varepsilon(u^j) - p^j \mathbb{I}$ ($j = f, b$) and $\varepsilon(u) := (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)/2$. The Navier–Stokes equations in $\Omega^f \times J$ are given by

$$\partial_t u^f + \nabla \cdot (u^f \otimes u^f) - \nabla \cdot \sigma^f = f^f, \quad \nabla \cdot u^f = 0, \quad (1)$$

where u^f is the velocity in Ω^f and p^f is the pressure in Ω^f . Furthermore, $\mu^f > 0$ is the fluid viscosity and f^f is a given body force term. Biot’s equations in $\Omega^b \times J$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot u^b + \lambda^{-1}(\alpha p^p - p^b) &= 0, & -\nabla \cdot \sigma^b &= f^b, & \mu^f \kappa^{-1} z + \nabla p^p &= 0, \\ c_0 \partial_t p^p + \alpha \lambda^{-1}(\alpha \partial_t p^p - \partial_t p^b) + \nabla \cdot z &= g^b, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where u^b is the displacement, p^b , which is defined as

$$p^b := \alpha p^p - \lambda \nabla \cdot u^b, \quad (3)$$

is the total pressure, p^p is the pore pressure, and z is the Darcy velocity. Furthermore, μ^b and λ are the Lamé constants, $\kappa > 0$ is the permeability constant, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is the Biot–Willis constant, and $c_0 \geq 0$ is the specific storage coefficient. The body force in Ω^b is denoted by f^b while g^b is a source/sink term.

Various equations are prescribed on the interface $\Gamma_I = \overline{\Omega^f} \cap \overline{\Omega^b}$ that couple the Navier–Stokes and Biot equations. First, mass conservation across the interface is prescribed by

$$u^f \cdot n = (\partial_t u^b + z) \cdot n \text{ on } \Gamma_I \times J. \quad (4a)$$

Here we use the convention that n^j , $j = f, b$ is the unit outward normal to Ω^j and that on Γ_I , $n = n^f = -n^b$. Next, the balance of stresses is prescribed by

$$\sigma^f n = \sigma^b n, \quad -(\sigma^f n) \cdot n = p^p \text{ on } \Gamma_I \times J. \quad (4b)$$

The Beavers–Joseph–Saffmann condition [4, 46] prescribes slip with friction and is given by

$$-2\mu^f (\varepsilon(u^f) n)^t = \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} (u^f - \partial_t u^b)^t \text{ on } \Gamma_I \times J, \quad (4c)$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is an experimentally determined dimensionless constant and where $(w)^t := w - (w \cdot n)n$.

The boundary of the domain is partitioned as follows. On each subdomain we define $\Gamma^j = \partial\Omega \cap \partial\Omega^j$, $j = f, b$. We then partition both Γ^f and Γ^b into Dirichlet Γ_D^j and Neumann Γ_N^j parts such that $\Gamma^j = \Gamma_D^j \cup \Gamma_N^j$. Note that $\Gamma_D^j \cap \Gamma_N^j = \emptyset$ and we will assume that $|\Gamma_D^j|, |\Gamma_N^j| > 0$. It will also be useful to define $\Gamma_{IN}^f := \Gamma_I \cup \Gamma_N^f$. A second partitioning of Γ^b is defined as $\Gamma^b = \Gamma_P^b \cup \Gamma_F^b$ with $\Gamma_P^b \cap \Gamma_F^b = \emptyset$ and $|\Gamma_P^b| > 0$. See Figure 1 for an example domain configuration depicting the notation. We now impose the following boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} u^j &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D^j \times J, & \quad j = f, b, & \quad \sigma^j n &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N^j \times J, & \quad j = f, b, \\ p^p &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_P^b \times J, & & \quad z \cdot n &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_F^b \times J. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Initial conditions are given by

$$u^f(x, 0) = u_0^f(x) \text{ in } \Omega^f, \quad p^p(x, 0) = p_0^p(x) \text{ in } \Omega^b. \quad (6)$$

Initial conditions for u^b , z , and p^b are determined by u_0^f and p_0^p assuming that $u_0^f \in [H^s(\Omega^f)]^d$, $s > 3/2$ and $p_0^p \in H^1(\Omega^b)$. Indeed, first note that $z_0(x) = -(\kappa/\mu^f)\nabla p_0^p(x)$ by the third equation in equation (2). A weak formulation of $-\nabla \cdot \sigma_0^b = f^b(x, 0)$ in equation (2), with $\sigma_0^b = 2\mu^b \varepsilon(u_0^b) + \lambda \nabla \cdot u_0^b \mathbb{I} - \alpha p_0^p \mathbb{I}$, gives

$$\int_{\Omega^b} (2\mu^b \varepsilon(u_0^b) + \lambda \nabla \cdot u_0^b \mathbb{I}) : \varepsilon(v^b) \, dx = \int_{\Omega^b} (\alpha p_0^p \nabla \cdot v^b + f^b(x, 0) \cdot v^b) \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_I} \sigma_0^f n \cdot v^b \, ds \quad \forall v^b \in [H_D^1(\Omega^b)]^d, \quad (7)$$

where $[H_D^1(\Omega^b)]^d := \{v^b \in [H^1(\Omega^b)]^d : v^b|_{\Gamma_D^b} = 0\}$ and the interface integral is by the first equality in equation (4b) and the second boundary condition in equation (5), *i.e.*, $\sigma_0^f n = 0$ on Γ_N^f . Decomposing $\sigma_0^f n$ into its normal and tangential components, using the second equality in equation (4b), and the orthogonality of normal and tangential vectors, equation (7) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega^b} (2\mu^b \varepsilon(u_0^b) + \lambda \nabla \cdot u_0^b \mathbb{I}) : \varepsilon(v^b) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega^b} (\alpha p_0^p \nabla \cdot v^b + f^b(x, 0) \cdot v^b) \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_I} \left(-p_0^p v^b \cdot n + \left(2\mu^f \varepsilon(u_0^f) n \right)^t \cdot (v^b)^t \right) \, ds \quad \forall v^b \in [H_D^1(\Omega^b)]^d. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The integral on Γ_I is well-defined so that, by Korn’s inequality and the Lax–Milgram lemma, equation (8) has a unique solution $u_0^b \in [H_D^1(\Omega^b)]^d$. Finally, using equation (3), $p_0^b(x) = \alpha p_0^p(x) - \lambda \nabla \cdot u_0^b(x)$.

In what follows, we write $u|_{\Omega^j} = u^j$, $p|_{\Omega^j} = p^j$, $f|_{\Omega^j} = f^j$, and $\mu|_{\Omega^j} = \mu^j$ for $j = f, b$ so that u , p , f , and μ are defined on the whole domain Ω .




FIGURE 1. An example domain configuration depicting the domain and boundary notation. Note that $\Gamma_{IN} = \Gamma_I \cup \Gamma_N^f$, $\Gamma^f = \Gamma_D^f \cup \Gamma_N^f$, and $\Gamma^b = \Gamma_D^b \cup \Gamma_N^b = \Gamma_P^b \cup \Gamma_F^b$.

3. NOTATION, THE HDG METHOD, AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

3.1. Mesh and time partitioning

We discretize the domains Ω^j , $j = f, b$, by shape-regular triangulations which we denote by \mathcal{T}^j . We will assume that the triangulations consist of simplices, denoted by K , that match at the interface and that the triangulations are free of hanging nodes. The set of all simplices is denoted by $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}^f \cup \mathcal{T}^b$. The boundary of an element K is denoted by ∂K and we define $\partial\mathcal{T}^j := \{\partial K : K \in \mathcal{T}^j\}$ and $\partial\mathcal{T} := \{\partial K : K \in \mathcal{T}\}$. We also consider various sets of facets. The sets of all facets in $\bar{\Omega}^j$ and Ω^j are denoted by \mathcal{F}^j and \mathcal{F}_{int}^j , respectively. The sets of facets on the Dirichlet Γ_D^j and Neumann Γ_N^j boundaries are denoted by, respectively, \mathcal{F}_D^j and \mathcal{F}_N^j , while on Γ_F^b and Γ_P^b we denote the sets of facets by, respectively, \mathcal{F}_F^b and \mathcal{F}_P^b . The set of facets on the interface is denoted by \mathcal{F}_I and the set of all facets is denoted by \mathcal{F} . The union of facets in \mathcal{F}^j is denoted by Γ_0^j . Furthermore, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(K)$ the set of all facets of K . The diameter of an element K is denoted by h_K and we define $h := \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}} h_K$. On the boundary of an element we denote by n_K the unit outward normal vector, however, we will drop the subscript K where no confusion can occur.

The time interval J is partitioned as follows: $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$. For simplicity, we assume a fixed time step, i.e., $\Delta t = T/N = t_n - t_{n-1}$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$. A function f evaluated at time level n will be denoted by $f^n := f(t_n)$. We further introduce the difference $\delta f^{n+1} := f^{n+1} - f^n$, the first order time derivative $d_t f^{n+1} := (f^{n+1} - f^n)/\Delta t$ for $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$, and the second order time derivative $d_{tt} f^{b,n+1} = (f^{b,n+1} - 2f^{b,n} + f^{b,n-1})/(\Delta t)^2$ for $n = 1, \dots, N - 1$.

3.2. Function spaces and norms

Various function spaces will be used throughout this paper. First, the usual Sobolev spaces are denoted by $W^{k,p}(D)$ for $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ on a Lipschitz domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The norm on $W^{k,p}(D)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{p,k,D}$. As usual, $H^k(D) = W^{k,2}(D)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{k,D} = \|\cdot\|_{2,k,D}$ and $L^p(D) = W^{0,p}(D)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,0,D}$. If $k = 0$ and $p = 2$ we define $L^2(D) = W^{0,2}(D)$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_D = \|\cdot\|_{2,0,D}$. The $L^p(S)$ norm on a surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is defined similarly.

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, then $W^{k,p}(J; X)$ denotes a Bochner space with norm $\|f\|_{W^{k,p}(J;X)}^p := \int_0^T \sum_{i=0}^k \|\partial_t^i f(t)\|_X^p dt$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $k = 0$, then $L^p(J; X) = W^{0,p}(J; X)$. The norm

on $L^\infty(J; X)$, *i.e.*, the Bochner space for $k = 0$ and $p = \infty$, is defined as $\|f\|_{L^\infty(J; X)} := \text{ess sup}_{t \in J} \|f(t)\|_X$. Furthermore, we denote by $\ell^p(J; X)$ the space equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{\ell^\infty(J; X)} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|f^i\|_X$ for $p = \infty$ and $\|f\|_{\ell^p(J; X)}^p := \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^N \|f^i\|_X^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Let us now define the following function spaces (for $j = f, b$):

$$V^j := \left\{ v \in [H^2(\Omega^j)]^d : v|_{\Gamma_D^j} = 0 \right\}, \quad Q^j := H^1(\Omega^j), \quad Q^{b0} := \left\{ q \in H^2(\Omega^b) : q|_{\Gamma_P^b} = 0 \right\},$$

and denote by \bar{V}^j the trace space of V^j restricted to Γ_0^j , \bar{Q}^j is the trace space of Q^j restricted to Γ_0^j , and \bar{Q}^{b0} is the trace space of Q^{b0} restricted to Γ_0^b . For a compact notation, we define $\mathbf{V}^j := V^j \times \bar{V}^j$, $\mathbf{Q}^j := Q^j \times \bar{Q}^j$, and $\mathbf{Q}^{b0} := Q^{b0} \times \bar{Q}^{b0}$. Furthermore, we define

$$Z := \left\{ v \in [H^1(\Omega^b)]^d : v \cdot n|_{\Gamma_F^b} = 0 \right\}.$$

To define the HDG method we require the following element and facet function space pairs on each domain Ω^j , $j = f, b$:

$$\begin{aligned} V_h^j &:= \left\{ v_h \in [L^2(\Omega^j)]^d : v_h \in [P_k(K)]^d, \forall K \in \mathcal{T}^j \right\}, \\ \bar{V}_h^j &:= \left\{ \bar{v}_h \in [L^2(\Gamma_0^j)]^d : \bar{v}_h \in [P_k(F)]^d \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^j, \bar{v}_h = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D^j \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_h^j := \left\{ q_h \in L^2(\Omega^j) : q_h \in P_{k-1}(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}^j \right\}, \quad \bar{Q}_h^j := \left\{ \bar{q}_h \in L^2(\Gamma_0^j) : \bar{q}_h \in P_k(F) \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^j \right\},$$

where $P_r(D)$ denotes the set of polynomials of total degree at most $r \geq 0$ defined on D . We will furthermore require:

$$\begin{aligned} V_h &:= \left\{ v_h \in [L^2(\Omega)]^d : v_h \in [P_k(K)]^d, \forall K \in \mathcal{T} \right\}, \quad Q_h := \left\{ q_h \in L^2(\Omega) : q_h \in P_{k-1}(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T} \right\}, \\ \bar{Q}_h^{b0} &:= \left\{ \bar{q}_h \in \bar{Q}_h^b : \bar{q}_h = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_P^b \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(u_h, p_h) \in V_h \times Q_h$, we will write $u_h|_{\Omega^j} = u_h^j \in V_h^j$ and $p_h|_{\Omega^j} = p_h^j \in Q_h^j$ for $j = f, b$. We group element and facet unknowns together as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_h &= (v_h, \bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b) \in \mathbf{V}_h := V_h \times \bar{V}_h^f \times \bar{V}_h^b, & \mathbf{v}_h^j &= (v_h^j, \bar{v}_h^j) \in \mathbf{V}_h^j := V_h^j \times \bar{V}_h^j, \\ \mathbf{q}_h &= (q_h, \bar{q}_h^f, \bar{q}_h^b) \in \mathbf{Q}_h := Q_h \times \bar{Q}_h^f \times \bar{Q}_h^b, & \mathbf{q}_h^j &= (q_h^j, \bar{q}_h^j) \in \mathbf{Q}_h^j := Q_h^j \times \bar{Q}_h^j, \\ \mathbf{q}_h^p &= (q_h^p, \bar{q}_h^p) \in \mathbf{Q}_h^{b0} := Q_h^b \times \bar{Q}_h^{b0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $j = f, b$, and $(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h, w_h, \mathbf{q}_h^p) \in \mathbf{X}_h := \mathbf{V}_h \times \mathbf{Q}_h \times V_h^b \times \mathbf{Q}_h^{b0}$. We will also require the following two subspaces of \mathbf{V}_h^j and \mathbf{V}_h , respectively:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_h^j := \left\{ \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^j : \bar{v}_h|_{\Gamma_I} = 0 \right\}, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_h := \left\{ \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h : \bar{v}_h^f \cdot n = \bar{v}_h^b \cdot n \text{ on } \Gamma_I \right\}. \tag{9}$$

Extended function spaces are defined as (for $j = f, b$):

$$\begin{aligned} V^j(h) &:= V_h^j + V^j, & \mathbf{V}^j(h) &:= \mathbf{V}_h^j + \mathbf{V}^j, & Z(h) &:= V_h^b + Z, \\ Q^j(h) &:= Q_h^j + Q^j, & \mathbf{Q}^{b0}(h) &:= \mathbf{Q}_h^{b0} + \mathbf{Q}^{b0}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$V^{f,\text{div}}(h) := \{v \in V^f(h) \cap H(\text{div}; \Omega^f) : \nabla \cdot v = 0 \text{ for } x \in K, \forall K \in \mathcal{T}^f\}.$$

We will work with the following norms:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}^j\|_{v,j}^2 &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} \left(\|\varepsilon(v^j)\|_K^2 + h_K^{-1} \|v^j - \bar{v}^j\|_{\partial K}^2 \right) & \forall \mathbf{v}^j \in \mathbf{V}^j(h), & j = f, b, \\ \|\mathbf{v}^j\|_{v',j}^2 &:= \|\mathbf{v}^j\|_{v,j}^2 + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} h_K^2 |v^j|_{2,K}^2 & \forall \mathbf{v}^j \in \mathbf{V}^j(h), & j = f, b, \\ \|\mathbf{q}\|_{q,j}^2 &:= \|q\|_{\Omega^j}^2 + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} h_K \|\bar{q}^j\|_{\partial K}^2 & \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q}^j(h), & j = f, b, \\ \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_v^2 &:= \|\mathbf{v}_h^f\|_{v,f}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_h^b\|_{v,b}^2 + \left\| \left(\bar{v}_h^f - \bar{v}_h^b \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 & \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h, \\ \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^j} &:= \|(v_h, \{\{v_h\}\})\|_{v,j} & \forall v_h \in V_h^j, \\ \|\mathbf{q}_h\|_q^2 &:= \|\mathbf{q}_h^f\|_{q,f}^2 + \|\mathbf{q}_h^b\|_{q,b}^2 & \forall \mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h, \\ \|q_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^b}^2 &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^b} \|\nabla q_h\|_K^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^b \cup \mathcal{F}_P^b} h_F^{-1} \|\llbracket q_h \rrbracket\|_F^2 & \forall q_h \in Q_h^b, \\ \|\mathbf{q}_h\|_{1,h,b}^2 &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^b} \left(\|\nabla q_h\|_K^2 + h_K^{-1} \|q_h - \bar{q}_h\|_{\partial K}^2 \right) & \forall \mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h^{b0}, \end{aligned}$$

where the average operator $\{\{\cdot\}\}$ across an interior facet $F = \partial K^+ \cap \partial K^- \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^j$ is defined as $\{\{v_h\}\} := (v_h|_{K^+} + v_h|_{K^-})$. On $F \in \mathcal{F}_N^j \cup \mathcal{F}_I$ we define $\{\{v_h\}\} := v_h$ and on $F \in \mathcal{F}_D^j$ we define $\{\{v_h\}\} := 0$. Furthermore, the jump operator $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ is defined as $\llbracket v \rrbracket_h := v_h|_{K^+} - v_h|_{K^-}$ across an interior facet and as $\llbracket v \rrbracket_h := v_h|_K$ on a boundary facet.

It is useful to remark that the discrete bilinear form of the diffusion term in the Navier–Stokes equation is continuous on $\mathbf{V}^j(h)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{v',j}$ (see Eq. (11b)). On \mathbf{V}_h^j the norm $\|\cdot\|_{v',j}$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{v,j}$, *i.e.*, there exists a constant $c_e > 0$ independent of h such that $\|\mathbf{v}\|_v \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_{v',j} \leq c_e \|\mathbf{v}\|_{v,j}$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_h^j$ (see [52], Eq. (5.5)).

In Appendix A we prove that there exist constants $c_p, c_{si,r} > 0$, independent of h , such that

$$\|v_h\|_{\Omega^f} \leq c_p \|v_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^f} \leq c_p \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{v,f} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^f, \tag{10a}$$

$$\|v_h\|_{\Omega^b} \leq c_p \|v_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^b} \leq c_p \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{v,b} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^b, \tag{10b}$$

$$\|v_h^f\|_{r,0,\Gamma_{IN}^f} \leq c_{si,r} \|v_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^f} \leq c_{si,r} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{v,f} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^f, \tag{10c}$$

$$\|q_h\|_{\Omega^b} \leq c_{pp} \|q_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^b} \leq c_{pp} \|\mathbf{q}_h\|_{1,h,b} \quad \forall \mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h^{b0}, \tag{10d}$$

where, in equation (10c), $\|v_h^f\|_{r,0,\Gamma_{IN}^f}$ is a trace norm with $1 \leq r < \infty$ if $d = 2$ and $1 \leq r \leq 4$ if $d = 3$.

Consider two scalar functions w and z . We will denote by $(w, z)_D$ the integral of wz over a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and by $\langle w, z \rangle_D$ the integral of wz over a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. We furthermore introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} (w, z)_{\Omega^j} &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} (w, z)_K, & (w, z)_{\Omega} &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} (w, z)_K, & \langle w, z \rangle_{\Gamma_I} &:= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_I} \langle w, z \rangle_F, \\ \langle w, z \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}^j} &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} \langle w, z \rangle_{\partial K}, & \langle w, z \rangle_{\partial \mathcal{T}} &:= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} \langle w, z \rangle_{\partial K}, & \langle w, z \rangle_{\Gamma_{IN}^f} &:= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_I \cup \mathcal{F}_N^f} \langle w, z \rangle_F. \end{aligned}$$

If w and z are vector functions, then $(w, z)_D := \sum_{i=1}^d (w_i, z_i)_D$ and $\langle w, z \rangle_D := \sum_{i=1}^d \langle w_i, z_i \rangle_D$. Similarly, if w and z are matrix functions, then $(w, z)_D := \sum_{i,j=1}^d (w_{ij}, z_{ij})_D$ and $\langle w, z \rangle_D := \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle w_{ij}, z_{ij} \rangle_D$.

3.3. Forms and their properties

For $\mathbf{u}^j, \mathbf{v}^j \in \mathbf{V}^j(h)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} a_h^j(\mathbf{u}^j, \mathbf{v}^j) &:= (2\mu^j \varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(v))_{\Omega^j} + \langle 2\beta^j \mu^j h_K^{-1}(u - \bar{u}^j), v - \bar{v}^j \rangle_{\partial T^j} \\ &\quad - \langle 2\mu^j \varepsilon(u) n^j, v - \bar{v}^j \rangle_{\partial T^j} - \langle 2\mu^j \varepsilon(v) n^j, u - \bar{u}^j \rangle_{\partial T^j}, \\ a_h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &:= a_h^f(\mathbf{u}^f, \mathbf{v}^f) + a_h^b(\mathbf{u}^b, \mathbf{v}^b), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta^j > 0$ is a penalty parameter. It was shown in Lemmas 2 and 3 of [21] and Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 of [40] that there exist constants $\beta_0 > 0$, $c_{ae}^j > 0$, and $c_{ab}^j > 0$, independent of h and Δt , such that

$$a_h^j(\mathbf{v}_h^j, \mathbf{v}_h^j) \geq c_{ae}^j \mu^j \left\| \left\| \mathbf{v}_h^j \right\| \right\|_{v,j}^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h^j \in \mathbf{V}_h^j, \beta > \beta_0, \tag{11a}$$

$$\left| a_h^j(\mathbf{u}^j, \mathbf{v}^j) \right| \leq c_{ac}^j \mu^j \left\| \left\| \mathbf{u}^j \right\| \right\|_{v',j} \left\| \left\| \mathbf{v}^j \right\| \right\|_{v',j} \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}^j(h). \tag{11b}$$

For $\mathbf{v}^j \in \mathbf{V}^j(h)$ and $\mathbf{q}^j \in \mathbf{Q}^j(h)$ we define

$$b_h^j(\mathbf{v}^j, \mathbf{q}^j) := -(q, \nabla \cdot v)_{\Omega^j} + \langle \bar{q}^j, (v - \bar{v}^j) \cdot n^j \rangle_{\partial T^j}, \quad b_h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q}) := b_h^f(\mathbf{v}^f, \mathbf{q}^f) + b_h^b(\mathbf{v}^b, \mathbf{q}^b).$$

The form $b_h^b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is also defined on $(Z(h) \times \{0\}) \times \mathbf{Q}^{b0}(h)$. We have the following inf-sup conditions (see [23], Eqs. (17)–(19) and [42]):

$$\inf_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h^j} \sup_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{v}_h \in \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_h^j} \frac{b_h^j(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h)}{\left\| \left\| \mathbf{v}_h \right\| \right\|_{v,j} \left\| \left\| \mathbf{q}_h \right\| \right\|_{q,j}} \geq c_{bj}, \quad j = f, b, \tag{12a}$$

$$\inf_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h} \sup_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{v}_h \in \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_h} \frac{b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h)}{\left\| \left\| \mathbf{v}_h \right\| \right\|_v \left\| \left\| \mathbf{q}_h \right\| \right\|_q} \geq c_b, \tag{12b}$$

$$\inf_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{q}_h^p \in \mathbf{Q}_h^{b0}} \sup_{\mathbf{0} \neq w_h \in V_h^b} \frac{b_h^b((w_h, 0), \mathbf{q}_h^p)}{\|w_h\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| \left\| \mathbf{q}_h^p \right\| \right\|_{q,b}} \geq c_{bp}, \tag{12c}$$

where c_{bf} , c_{bb} , c_b , and c_{bp} are positive constants independent of h . On $(\mathbf{Q}^{b0}(h) \times \mathbf{Q}^b(h)) \times \mathbf{Q}^b(h)$, we define

$$c_h((p, r), q) := (\lambda^{-1}(\alpha p - r), q)_{\Omega^b},$$

while on the interface we define

$$a_h^I((\bar{u}^f, \bar{u}^b), (\bar{v}^f, \bar{v}^b)) := \left\langle \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} (\bar{u}^f - \bar{u}^b)^t, (\bar{v}^f - \bar{v}^b)^t \right\rangle_{\Gamma_I}, \quad b_h^I((\bar{v}^f, \bar{v}^b), \bar{q}) := \langle \bar{q}, (\bar{v}^f - \bar{v}^b) \cdot n^f \rangle_{\Gamma_I},$$

where a_h^I is defined on $((\bar{V}^f + \bar{V}_h^f) \times (\bar{V}^b + \bar{V}_h^b)) \times ((\bar{V}^f + \bar{V}_h^f) \times (\bar{V}^b + \bar{V}_h^b))$ and b_h^I is defined on $((\bar{V}^f + \bar{V}_h^f) \times (\bar{V}^b + \bar{V}_h^b)) \times (\bar{Q}^{b0} + \bar{Q}_h^{b0})$.

The forms $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$, $a_h^I(\cdot, \cdot)$, $b_h(\cdot, \cdot)$, $b_h^I(\cdot, \cdot)$, and $c_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ discussed above are identical to those considered for the coupled Stokes and Biot problem in [23]. In addition to these forms, we now also require the following discrete convection term for $w \in V^{f,div}(h)$ and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}^f(h)$:

$$\begin{aligned} t_h(w; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &:= -(u \otimes w, \nabla v)_{\Omega^f} + \frac{1}{2} \langle w \cdot n^f (u + \bar{u}), v - \bar{v} \rangle_{\partial T^f} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \langle |w \cdot n^f| (u - \bar{u}), v - \bar{v} \rangle_{\partial T^f} + \langle (w \cdot n^f) \bar{u}, \bar{v} \rangle_{\Gamma_{IN}^f}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following properties for t_h .

Proposition 3.1. For all $w_1, w_2 \in V^{f,div}(h)$, and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}^f(h)$, there exists a $c_w > 0$ such that

$$|t_h(w_1; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - t_h(w_2; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})| \leq c_w \|w_1 - w_2\|_{1,h,\Omega^f} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{v,f} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{v,f}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Using that $\frac{1}{2}(w \cdot n^f + |w \cdot n^f|)u + \frac{1}{2}(w \cdot n^f - |w \cdot n^f|)\bar{u} = w \cdot n^f \bar{u} + S_w(u - \bar{u})$, where $S_w = \max(w \cdot n^f, 0)$, we can write t_h after integration by parts as

$$t_h(w; \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\nabla u, v \otimes w)_{\Omega^f} - \langle ((u - \bar{u}) \otimes w)n^f, v \rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}^f} + \langle S_w(u - \bar{u}), v - \bar{v} \rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}^f}.$$

The remainder of the proof is given by Proposition 3.4 of [20]. □

Proposition 3.2. For $w \in V^{f,div}(h)$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}(h)$ it holds that

$$t_h(w; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle |w \cdot n^f|, |v - \bar{v}|^2 \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}^f} + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle w \cdot n^f, |\bar{v}|^2 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_{IN}^f}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Note that

$$t_h(w; \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = -(v \otimes w, \nabla v)_{\Omega^f} + \frac{1}{2} \langle w \cdot n^f (v + \bar{v}), v - \bar{v} \rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}^f} + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle |w \cdot n^f|, |v - \bar{v}|^2 \right\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}^f} + \left\langle w \cdot n^f, |\bar{v}|^2 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_{IN}^f}.$$

Note that $(v + \bar{v}) \cdot (v - \bar{v}) = |v|^2 - |\bar{v}|^2$. Furthermore, $-(v \otimes w, \nabla v)_{\Omega^f} = -\langle \frac{1}{2}w \cdot n^f, |v|^2 \rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}^f}$ since $-v \otimes w : \nabla v = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot (|v|^2 w)$. Therefore, also using that $\langle \frac{1}{2}w \cdot n^f, |\bar{v}|^2 \rangle_{\partial\mathcal{T}^f} = \langle \frac{1}{2}w \cdot n^f, |\bar{v}|^2 \rangle_{\Gamma_I} + \langle \frac{1}{2}w \cdot n^f, |\bar{v}|^2 \rangle_{\Gamma_N^f}$, the result follows. □

Proposition 3.3. Let $w \in V^{f,div}(h)$ and $\|w \cdot n\|_{\Gamma_{IN}^f} \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu^f c_{ae}^f (c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)^{-1}$. Then, for $\beta > \beta_0$,

$$t_h(w; \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + a_h^f(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) \geq \frac{1}{2}c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \left\| \mathbf{v}_h^f \right\|_{v,f} \right\|^2 \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^f. \tag{15}$$

Proof. By equation (14), we find that

$$t_h(w; \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle |w \cdot n^f|, |\bar{v}_h|^2 \right\rangle_{\Gamma_{IN}^f}.$$

By a scaling identity there exists a constant $c_{pq} > 0$ independent of h such that $\|\bar{v}\|_{4,0,\partial K} \leq c_{pq} h^{(1-d)/4} \|\bar{v}\|_{\partial K}$ for $\bar{v} \in \{q \in L^2(\partial K) : q|_F \in P_k(F), \forall F \in \mathcal{F}(K)\}$. By the identical steps as used in the proof of Lemma 6 from [19] (see also [28], Lem. 2) it then follows that

$$t_h(w; \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) \geq -(c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2) \|w \cdot n\|_{\Gamma_{IN}^f} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{v,f}^2,$$

where $c_{si,4}$ is the constant from equation (10c) with $r = 4$. We find, using equation (11a),

$$t_h(w; \mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + a_h^f(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) \geq \left(c_{ae}^f \mu^f - (c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2) \|w \cdot n\|_{\Gamma_{IN}^f} \right) \left\| \left\| \mathbf{v}_h^f \right\|_{v,f} \right\|^2.$$

The result follows by the assumption on $\|w \cdot n\|_{\Gamma_{IN}^f}$. □

Remark 3.4. In Proposition 3.3, we assume a smallness condition on $\|w \cdot n\|_{\Gamma_{IN}^f}$. If Γ_N^f represents an outflow boundary (on which $w \cdot n > 0$), then the smallness condition only needs to hold on $\|w \cdot n\|_{\Gamma_I}$. Numerically, however, it cannot be guaranteed that $w \cdot n > 0$ on Γ_N^f .

3.4. The HDG method

The semi-discrete HDG method for the coupled Navier–Stokes/Biot problem equations (1), (2), (4) and (5) is given by: For $t \in J$, find $(\mathbf{u}_h(t), \mathbf{p}_h(t), z_h(t), \mathbf{p}_h^p(t)) \in \mathbf{X}_h$ such that for all $(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h, w_h, \mathbf{q}_h^p) \in \mathbf{X}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\partial_t u_h, v_h)_{\Omega^f} + t_h \left(\mathbf{u}_h^f; \mathbf{u}_h^f, \mathbf{v}_h^f \right) + a_h(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{p}_h) \\
 & + a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{u}_h^f, \partial_t \bar{u}_h^b \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) + b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right), \bar{p}_h^p \right) = (f, v_h)_\Omega, \tag{16a}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$b_h(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{q}_h) + c_h((p_h^p, p_h^b), q_h^b) = 0, \tag{16b}$$

$$(c_0 \partial_t p_h^p, q_h^p)_{\Omega^b} + c_h((\partial_t p_h^p, \partial_t p_h^b), \alpha q_h^p) - b_h^b((z_h, 0), \mathbf{q}_h^p) - b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{u}_h^f, \partial_t \bar{u}_h^b \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right) = (g^b, q_h^p)_{\Omega^b}, \tag{16c}$$

$$(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} z_h, w_h)_{\Omega^b} + b_h^b((w_h, 0), \mathbf{p}_h^p) = 0. \tag{16d}$$

Using Backward Euler time-stepping, with lagging of the convective velocity, the fully-discrete HDG method is given by: For $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, find $(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{n+1}, z_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n+1}) \in \mathbf{X}_h$ such that for all $(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h, w_h, \mathbf{q}_h^p) \in \mathbf{X}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (d_t u_h^{n+1}, v_h)_{\Omega^f} + t_h \left(\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f \right) + a_h(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h) + b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{p}_h^{n+1}) \\
 & + a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) + b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right), \bar{p}_h^{p,n+1} \right) = (f^{n+1}, v_h)_\Omega, \tag{17a}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$b_h(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h) + c_h \left((p_h^{p,n+1}, p_h^{b,n+1}), q_h^b \right) = 0, \tag{17b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (c_0 d_t p_h^{p,n+1}, q_h^p)_{\Omega^b} + c_h \left((d_t p_h^{p,n+1}, d_t p_h^{b,n+1}), \alpha q_h^p \right) - b_h^b((z_h^{n+1}, 0), \mathbf{q}_h^p) \\
 & - b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right) = (g^{b,n+1}, q_h^p)_{\Omega^b}, \tag{17c}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} z_h^{n+1}, w_h)_{\Omega^b} + b_h^b((w_h, 0), \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n+1}) = 0. \tag{17d}$$

Note that despite the coupled Navier–Stokes/Biot problem being nonlinear, the fully-discrete HDG method equation (17) is linear at each time step due to lagging of the convective velocity.

Remark 3.5. The HDG method equation (17) is an extension of the HDG method previously presented in [23] for the coupled Stokes/Biot model. For this HDG method, it was proven in Lemma 1 of [23] that the discrete velocities and displacement are divergence-conforming. Furthermore, it was shown that the compressibility equations are satisfied pointwise on the elements and that, for the semi-discrete problem equation (16) and in the absence of source/sink terms, that mass is conserved pointwise on the elements. These properties are inherited by equation (17). The proof is identical to that of Lemma 1 of [23] and therefore not included here.

4. STABILITY AND WELL-POSEDNESS

Before showing well-posedness of the discretization we introduce some definitions and inequalities. First, we define the discrete function spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{V}_h^{div} & := \left\{ \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^f : b_h^f(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h) = 0 \ \forall \mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h^f \right\}, \\
 \mathbf{B}_h^f & := \left\{ \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h^{div} : \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{v,f} \leq \min \left(\frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{2c_{si,2}(c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)}, \frac{c_{ae}^f \mu^f}{4c_w} \right) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we note that if $\mathbf{u}_h^f \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$, then by equation (10c),

$$\|u_h^f \cdot n\|_{\Gamma_{IN}^f} \leq c_{si,2} \left\| \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^f \right\| \right\|_{v,f} \leq \frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{2(c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)}. \quad (18)$$

For $0 \leq j \leq N-1$, we define

$$X^j := \left\| d_t u_h^{f,j+1} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{1}{2} d_h^b \left(d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,j+1}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,j+1} \right) + \lambda^{-1} \left\| \alpha d_t p_h^{p,j+1} - d_t p_h^{b,j+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_0 \left\| d_t p_h^{p,j+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2. \quad (19)$$

Furthermore,

$$F^0 := 3 \left\| f^{f,1} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{3c_p^2}{c_{ae}^b \mu^b} \left\| d_t f^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{3c_{td}^2 \mu^f}{\kappa} \Delta t \left\| d_t g^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2, \quad (20a)$$

$$F^m := \frac{4c_p^2}{c_{ae}^f \mu^f} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^m \left\| d_t f^{f,k+1} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{2c_{td}^2 \mu^f}{\kappa} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^m \left\| d_t g^{b,k+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \quad (20b)$$

$$+ 2c_p^2 (c_{ac}^b \mu^b)^{-1} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left\| d_t f^{b,k+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} + \left\| d_t f^{b,2} \right\|_{\Omega^b} + \Delta t \sum_{k=2}^m \left\| d_{tt} f^{b,k+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \right)^2, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N-1,$$

where $\sum_{k=2}^m \left\| d_{tt} f^{b,k+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}$ in equation (20b) is zero if $m=1$. It will also be useful to define

$$G^0 := F^0 \quad \text{and} \quad G^m := \frac{145}{24} F^0 + F^m \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq m \leq N-1. \quad (21)$$

Finally, let us define

$$H := 2 \left\| f^f \right\|_{\ell^1(J;\Omega^f)} + c_{td} (\mu^f / \kappa)^{1/2} \left\| g^b \right\|_{\ell^2(J;\Omega^b)} + 2c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \left\| d_t f^b \right\|_{\ell^1(J;\Omega^b)} \\ + 2c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \left\| f^b \right\|_{\ell^\infty(J;\Omega^b)}. \quad (22)$$

In this section we will prove well-posedness of the HDG method equation (17) assuming the data satisfies

$$\max \left(H^2, \left\| f^f \right\|_{\ell^\infty(J;\Omega^f)} H + \frac{1}{2} c_{td}^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| g^b \right\|_{\ell^\infty(J;\Omega^b)}^2 \right. \\ \left. + c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \left\| f^b \right\|_{\ell^\infty(J;\Omega^b)} (2G^{N-1})^{1/2} + H (2G^{N-1})^{1/2} \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left[\min \left(\frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{2c_{si,2} (c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)}, \frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{4c_w} \right) \right]^2. \quad (23)$$

In our proofs we will use the following result from Lemma 4.2 of [24]: if $\mathbf{p}_h^{p,n}$ and z_h^n are part of the solution to equation (17) for $n \geq 1$, then there exists a constant c_{pd} , independent of h , such that

$$\left\| p_h^{p,n} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \leq c_{pp} \left\| p_h^{p,n} \right\|_{1,h,\Omega^b} \leq c_{pp} \left\| \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n} \right\|_{1,h,b} \leq c_{td} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| z_h^n \right\|_{\Omega^b}, \quad (24)$$

where $c_{td} = c_{pp} c_{pd}$. We remark that the final inequality in equation (24) follows by using modified local BDM degrees-of-freedom that incorporate HDG facet unknowns and equation (17d).

The main goal of this section is to prove well-posedness under the assumptions that $f^{b,0} = 0$, $g^{b,0} = 0$, $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,0} = 0$ and $\mathbf{p}_h^{p,0} = 0$. These assumptions are necessary to prove well-posedness for the first time-step.

Theorem 4.1 (Well-posedness). *Assume that equation (23) holds, and that $f^{b,0} = 0$ and $g^{b,0} = 0$. Then, starting with $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,0} = 0$ and $\mathbf{p}_h^{p,0} = 0$, a unique solution to equation (17) exists. We furthermore have the following uniform bounds (in n and h) for $1 \leq n \leq N$:*

$$\frac{1}{2}c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f} \right\|^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,n} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^n\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left[\min \left(\frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{2c_{si,2}(c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)}, \frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{4c_w} \right) \right]^2, \quad (25a)$$

$$c_{ae}^b \mu^b \left\| \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right\|_{v,b} \right\|^2 + \lambda^{-1} \left\| \alpha p_h^{p,n} - p_h^{b,n} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_0 \|p_h^{p,n}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left[\min \left(\frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{2c_{si,2}(c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)}, \frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{4c_w} \right) \right]^2. \quad (25b)$$

The pressures are bounded as:

$$\|p_h^{p,n}\|_{1,h,b}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} c_{pd}^2 \mu^f (c_{ae}^f)^2 \kappa^{-1} \min \left(\frac{1}{c_{si,2}(c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)}, \frac{1}{2c_w} \right), \quad (26a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_b \|p_h^{n+1}\|_q &\leq c_p \|f^f\|_{\ell^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega^f))} + c_p \|f^b\|_{\ell^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega^b))} + c_p (G^{N-1})^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} \mu^f c_{ae}^f c_w \\ &\times \min \left(\frac{1}{c_{si,2}(c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)}, \frac{1}{2c_w} \right) + \left(c_{ac}^f \mu^f + c_{ac}^b (c_{ae}^f/c_{ae}^b)^{1/2} (\mu^f/\mu^b)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \gamma \kappa^{-1/2} \right)^{1/2} \mu^f \right) \\ &\times \left[\min \left(\frac{\mu^f c_{ae}^f}{2c_{si,2}(c_{pq}^2 + c_{si,4}^2)}, \frac{c_{ae}^f \mu^f}{4c_w} \right) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (26b)$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is by induction and follows at the end of this section after first proving some intermediate results. The following lemma shows uniqueness of the discrete solution at t_{n+1} assuming that $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$ for some $1 \leq n \leq N-1$.

Lemma 4.2 (Uniqueness). *Let $u_h^{f,0} = 0$ and $p_h^{p,0} = 0$. Assume that $(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{p}_h^n, z_h^n, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n}) \in \mathbf{X}_h$ is the solution to equation (17) for some $1 \leq n \leq N-1$. If $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$, then a unique solution $(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{n+1}, z_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n+1}) \in \mathbf{X}_h$ to equation (17) exists.*

Proof. See Appendix B.1. □

In the following lemma, which extends Lemma 4.5 of [24] for Navier–Stokes/Darcy to Navier–Stokes/Biot, and the following corollary, we obtain bounds on X^n (see Eq. (19) for the definition of X^n). These bounds will be used to prove the pressure bound equation (26b) and to show that if $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,k} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N-1$, then $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$ (see Lem. 4.5 and Rem. 4.6).

Lemma 4.3. *Assume $f^{b,0} = 0$, $g^{b,0} = 0$, $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,0} = 0$, and $\mathbf{p}_h^{p,0} = 0$. If $(\mathbf{u}_h^k, \mathbf{p}_h^k, z_h^k, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,k}) \in \mathbf{X}_h$ is a solution to equation (17) for $1 \leq k \leq n$, then*

$$X^0 \leq F^0, \quad (27a)$$

$$c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\| \left\| d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1} \right\|_{v,f} \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{12} F^0. \quad (27b)$$

Furthermore, if $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,k} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, with $1 \leq n \leq N-1$, then

$$X^n \leq 6X^0 + \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\| \left\| d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1} \right\|_{v,f} \right\|^2 + F^n. \quad (28)$$

Proof. See Appendix B.2. □

An immediate consequence of equations (27) and (28) is the following result.

Corollary 4.4. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.3,*

$$X^n \leq G^n \quad \forall 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \tag{29}$$

where G^n is defined in equation (21).

In the following lemma we obtain results that are used to prove equations (25a), (25b) and (26a).

Lemma 4.5. *For $1 \leq i \leq N$, let*

$$A_i^2 := \frac{1}{2} \|u_h^{f,i}\|_{\Omega_f}^2 + \frac{1}{2} a_h^b(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,i}, \mathbf{u}_h^{b,i}) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-1} \|\alpha p_h^{p,i} - p_h^{b,i}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_0 \|p_h^{p,i}\|_{\Omega^b}^2, \tag{30a}$$

$$B_i^2 := \frac{1}{4} \Delta t c_{ae}^f \mu^f \|\mathbf{u}_h^{f,i}\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,i} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,i} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^i\|_{\Omega^b}^2. \tag{30b}$$

Assume $f^{b,0} = 0, g^{b,0} = 0, \mathbf{u}_h^{f,0} = 0$, and $\mathbf{p}_h^{p,0} = 0$. Let $1 \leq n \leq N - 1$ and assume that $(\mathbf{u}_h^k, \mathbf{p}_h^k, z_h^k, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,k}) \in \mathbf{X}_h$ is a solution to equation (17) for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ such that $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,k} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$. Then

$$\left(A_{n+1}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} H, \tag{31}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \|\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}\|_{v,f}^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^{n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ & \leq \|f^{f,n+1}\|_{\Omega_f} H + \frac{1}{2} c_{td}^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|g^{b,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \|f^{b,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} (2G^n)^{1/2} + H(2G^n)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Proof. See Appendix B.3. □

Remark 4.6. A consequence of equations (32) and (23) is that $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$. This result will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.1 to prove uniqueness.

We end this section with proving Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Equation (25a) follows directly from equations (32) and (23). Furthermore, equation (25a) implies $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$ so that existence and uniqueness follow from Lemma 4.2. The bound equation (25b) follows from equations (31), (11a), and (23). The bound equation (26a) is a direct consequence of equations (24) and (25a). Finally, we consider the pressure bound equation (26b). From equations (12b) and (17) we obtain:

$$c_b \|\mathbf{p}_h^{n+1}\|_q \leq \sup_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{v}_h \in \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_h} \frac{|b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{p}_h^{n+1})|}{\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_v} \leq \sup_{\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{v}_h \in \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_h} \frac{B}{\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_v}, \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B = & |(f^{n+1}, v_h)_{\Omega}| + |(d_t u_h^{n+1}, v_h)_{\Omega_f}| + |t_h(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f)| + |a_h(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h)| \\ & + |a_h^I((\bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1}), (\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b))| + |b_h^I((\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b), \bar{p}_h^{p,n+1})|. \end{aligned}$$

First, note that $b_h^I((\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b), \bar{p}_h^{p,n+1}) = 0$ by the definition of $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_h$. Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, equations (10a), (10b), (13), (11b):

$$\begin{aligned} |(f^{n+1}, v_h)_\Omega| &\leq c_p \|f^{f,n+1}\|_{\Omega^f} \|\mathbf{v}_h^f\|_{v,f} + c_p \|f^{b,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} \|\mathbf{v}_h^b\|_{v,b}, \\ |(d_t u_h^{n+1}, v_h)_{\Omega^f}| &\leq c_p \|d_t u_h^{n+1}\|_{\Omega^f} \|\mathbf{v}_h^f\|_{v,f}, \\ |t_h(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f)| &\leq c_w \|\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}\|_{v,f} \|\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}\|_{v,f} \|\mathbf{v}_h^f\|_{v,f}, \\ |a_h(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h)| &\leq c_{ac}^f \mu^f \|\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}\|_{v,f} \|\mathbf{v}_h^f\|_{v,f} + c_{ac}^b \mu^b \|\mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}\|_{v,b} \|\mathbf{v}_h^b\|_{v,b}, \\ |a_h^I((\bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1}), (\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b))| &\leq \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| (\bar{u}_h^{f,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1}) \right\|_{\Gamma_I} \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_v. \end{aligned}$$

Combined now with equation (33), Corollary 4.4, equations (25a), and (25b) we obtain the result equation (26b). \square

5. ERROR ANALYSIS

For the error analysis we first define interpolation operators to decompose the errors. For scalar functions, we denote by $\Pi_Q^j, \bar{\Pi}_Q^j$, and $\bar{\Pi}_{Q^0}^j$ the L^2 -projection operators onto Q_h^j, \bar{Q}_h^j , and \bar{Q}_h^{b0} , respectively. For vector valued functions, we define $\Pi_V^j : H(\text{div}, \Omega^j) \cap [L^r(\Omega^j)]^d \rightarrow V_h^j$, for $r > 2$ and $j = f, b$, to be the interpolation operator to the Brezzi–Douglas–Marini (BDM) finite element spaces ([12], Sect. III.3) and $\bar{\Pi}_V^j : [L^2(\mathcal{F}^j)]^d \rightarrow \bar{V}_h^j$ to be the L^2 -projection onto \bar{V}_h^j . It is known that

$$\begin{aligned} (q_h, \nabla \cdot \Pi_V^j u^j)_K &= (q_h, \nabla \cdot u^j)_K & \forall q_h \in P_{k-1}(K), K \in \mathcal{T}^j, \\ \langle \bar{q}_h, n \cdot \Pi_V^j u^j \rangle_F &= \langle \bar{q}_h, n \cdot u^j \rangle_F & \forall \bar{q}_h \in P_k(F), F \in \mathcal{F}^j, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } u^j|_K \in [H^{k+1}(K)]^d : \quad & \|u^j - \Pi_V^j u^j\|_{m,K} \leq Ch_K^{l-m} \|u^j\|_{l,K}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \quad \max\{1, m\} \leq l \leq k + 1, \\ \text{if } u^j|_K \in [W_\infty^1(K)]^d : \quad & \|u^j - \Pi_V^j u^j\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq Ch_K |u|_{W_\infty^1(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

For time stepping index n , we introduce the following notation for the errors:

$$u^{j,n} - u_h^{j,n} = (u^{j,n} - \Pi_V^j u^{j,n}) - (u_h^{j,n} - \Pi_V^j u^{j,n}) =: e_{u^j}^{I,n} - e_{u^j}^{h,n}, \tag{34a}$$

$$\bar{u}^{j,n} - \bar{u}_h^{j,n} = (\bar{u}^{j,n} - \bar{\Pi}_V^j \bar{u}^{j,n}) - (\bar{u}_h^{j,n} - \bar{\Pi}_V^j \bar{u}^{j,n}) =: \bar{e}_{u^j}^{I,n} - \bar{e}_{u^j}^{h,n}, \tag{34b}$$

$$z^n - z_h^n = (z^n - \Pi_V^b z^n) - (z_h^n - \Pi_V^b z^n) =: e_z^{I,n} - e_z^{h,n}, \tag{34c}$$

$$p^{j,n} - p_h^{j,n} = (p^{j,n} - \Pi_Q^j p^{j,n}) - (p_h^{j,n} - \Pi_Q^j p^{j,n}) =: e_{p^j}^{I,n} - e_{p^j}^{h,n}, \tag{34d}$$

$$\bar{p}^{j,n} - \bar{p}_h^{j,n} = (\bar{p}^{j,n} - \bar{\Pi}_Q^j \bar{p}^{j,n}) - (\bar{p}_h^{j,n} - \bar{\Pi}_Q^j \bar{p}^{j,n}) =: \bar{e}_{p^j}^{I,n} - \bar{e}_{p^j}^{h,n}, \tag{34e}$$

$$p^{p,n} - p_h^{p,n} = (p^{p,n} - \Pi_Q^b p^{p,n}) - (p_h^{p,n} - \Pi_Q^b p^{p,n}) =: e_{p^p}^{I,n} - e_{p^p}^{h,n}, \tag{34f}$$

$$\bar{p}^{p,n} - \bar{p}_h^{p,n} = (\bar{p}^{p,n} - \bar{\Pi}_{Q^0}^b \bar{p}^{p,n}) - (\bar{p}_h^{p,n} - \bar{\Pi}_{Q^0}^b \bar{p}^{p,n}) =: \bar{e}_{p^p}^{I,n} - \bar{e}_{p^p}^{h,n}, \tag{34g}$$

and define $e_u^{\omega,n}$ and $e_p^{\omega,n}$ such that $e_u^{\omega,n}|_{\Omega^j} = e_{u^j}^{\omega,n}$ and $e_p^{\omega,n}|_{\Omega^j} = e_{p^j}^{\omega,n}$, for $\omega = I, h$.

The following lemma now determines the error equations.

Lemma 5.1 (Error equations). *Suppose that $\{(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{p}_h^n, z_h^n, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n})\}_n$ are the solutions to equation (17). Furthermore, assume that (u, p, z, p^p) is the solution to equations (1), (2) and (4)–(6) on the time interval $J = (0, T]$ with $u_0^f(x) = 0$ and $p_0^p(x) = 0$. Define $\mathbf{u} := (u, u|_{\Gamma_0^f}, u|_{\Gamma_0^b})$, $\mathbf{p} := (p, p|_{\Gamma_0^f}, p|_{\Gamma_0^b})$, and $\mathbf{p}^p := (p^p, p^p|_{\Gamma_0^b})$. Then, for $n \geq 0$ and for all $(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h, w_h, \mathbf{q}_h^p) \in \mathbf{X}_h$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(d_t e_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, v_h^f \right)_{\Omega^f} + t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; e_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, v_h^f \right) + a_h \left(e_u^{h,n+1}, v_h \right) + b_h \left(v_h, e_p^{h,n+1} \right) \\ & + a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) + b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right), \bar{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right) \\ & = \left(\partial_t u^{f,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_V^f u^{f,n+1} - \Pi_V^f u^{f,n} \right), v_h^f \right)_{\Omega^f} + a_h \left(e_u^{I,n+1}, v_h \right) \\ & + a_h^I \left((0, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1}), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) + \left[t_h \left(u^{f,n+1}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, v_h^f \right) - t_h \left(u^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, v_h^f \right) \right] \\ & + t_h \left(u^{f,n}; e_{u^f}^{I,n+1}, v_h^f \right) + \left[t_h \left(u^{f,n}; \Pi_V^f \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, v_h^f \right) - t_h \left(u^{f,n}; \Pi_V^f \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, v_h^f \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (35a)$$

$$b_h^f \left(e_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^f \right) + b_h^b \left(d_t e_{u^b}^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^b \right) + c_h \left(\left(d_t e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, d_t e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \mathbf{q}_h^b \right) = 0, \quad (35b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(c_0 d_t e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b} + c_h \left(\left(d_t e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, d_t e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \alpha \mathbf{q}_h^p \right) - b_h^b \left((e_z^{h,n+1}, 0), \mathbf{q}_h^p \right) - b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right) \\ & = \left(c_0 \left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - d_t p^{p,n+1} \right), \mathbf{q}_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b} + c_h \left(\left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - d_t p^{p,n+1}, \partial_t p^{b,n+1} - d_t p^{b,n+1} \right), \alpha \mathbf{q}_h^p \right) \\ & - b_h^I \left((0, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1}), \bar{q}_h^p \right), \end{aligned} \quad (35c)$$

$$\left(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} e_z^{h,n+1}, w_h \right)_{\Omega^b} + b_h^b \left((w_h, 0), e_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right) = \left(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} e_z^{I,n+1}, w_h \right)_{\Omega^b}. \quad (35d)$$

Proof. It can easily be shown, by standard arguments, that the semi-discrete HDG method equation (16) is consistent. Therefore, substituting the exact solution at time level $t = t_{n+1}$ into equation (16) and subtracting equation (17) from the result, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\partial_t u^{f,n+1} - d_t u_h^{f,n+1}, v_h^f \right)_{\Omega^f} + t_h \left(u^{f,n+1}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, v_h^f \right) - t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, v_h^f \right) \\ & + a_h \left(\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, v_h \right) + b_h \left(v_h, \mathbf{p}^{n+1} - \mathbf{p}_h^{n+1} \right) \\ & + a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{u}^{f,n+1} - \bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) + b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right), \bar{p}^{p,n+1} - \bar{p}_h^{p,n+1} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (36a)$$

$$b_h \left(\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h \right) + c_h \left(\left(p^{p,n+1} - p_h^{p,n+1}, p^{b,n+1} - p_h^{b,n+1} \right), \mathbf{q}_h^b \right) = 0, \quad (36b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(c_0 \left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - d_t p_h^{p,n+1} \right), \mathbf{q}_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b} + c_h \left(\left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - d_t p_h^{p,n+1}, \partial_t p^{b,n+1} - d_t p_h^{b,n+1} \right), \alpha \mathbf{q}_h^p \right) \\ & - b_h^b \left((z^{n+1} - z_h^{n+1}, 0), \mathbf{q}_h^p \right) - b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{u}^{f,n+1} - \bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (36c)$$

$$\mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left(z^{n+1} - z_h^{n+1}, w_h \right)_{\Omega^b} + b_h^b \left((w_h, 0), \mathbf{p}^{p,n+1} - \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n+1} \right) = 0. \quad (36d)$$

Noting that $\partial_t u^{f,n+1} - d_t u_h^{f,n+1} = -d_t e_{u^f}^{h,n+1} + [\partial_t u^{f,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} (\Pi_V^f u^{f,n+1} - \Pi_V^f u^{f,n})]$, and similar for the other time derivative terms, and using the error decomposition equation (34), we can write equation (36) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(d_t e_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, v_h^f \right)_{\Omega^f} - t_h \left(u^{f,n+1}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f \right) + t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f \right) \\
& + a_h \left(\mathbf{e}_u^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h \right) + b_h \left(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{e}_p^{h,n+1} \right) + a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) + b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right), \bar{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right) \\
& = \left(\partial_t u^{f,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_V^f u^{f,n+1} - \Pi_V^f u^{f,n} \right), v_h^f \right)_{\Omega^f} + a_h \left(\mathbf{e}_u^{I,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h \right) + b_h \left(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{e}_p^{I,n+1} \right) \\
& + a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{I,n+1}, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\bar{\Pi}_V^b \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - \bar{\Pi}_V^b \bar{u}^{b,n} \right) \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) + b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right), \bar{e}_{p^p}^{I,n+1} \right), \tag{37a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$b_h \left(\mathbf{e}_u^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h \right) + c_h \left(\left(e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right), q_h^b \right) = b_h \left(\mathbf{e}_u^{I,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h \right) + c_h \left(\left(e_{p^p}^{I,n+1}, e_{p^b}^{I,n+1} \right), q_h^b \right), \tag{37b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_0 d_t e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, q_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b} + c_h \left(\left(d_t e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, d_t e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \alpha q_h^p \right) - b_h^b \left(\left(e_z^{h,n+1}, 0 \right), \mathbf{q}_h^p \right) - b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right) \\
& = \left(c_0 \left[\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_Q^b p^{p,n+1} - \Pi_Q^b p^{p,n} \right) \right], q_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b} \\
& + c_h \left(\left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_Q^b p^{p,n+1} - \Pi_Q^b p^{p,n} \right) \right), \partial_t p^{b,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_Q^b p^{b,n+1} - \Pi_Q^b p^{b,n} \right) \right), \alpha q_h^p \\
& - b_h^b \left(\left(e_z^{I,n+1}, 0 \right), \mathbf{q}_h^p \right) - b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{I,n+1}, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\bar{\Pi}_V^b \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - \bar{\Pi}_V^b \bar{u}^{b,n} \right) \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right), \tag{37c}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} e_z^{h,n+1}, w_h \right)_{\Omega^b} + b_h^b \left((w_h, 0), \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right) = \left(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} e_z^{I,n+1}, w_h \right)_{\Omega^b} + b_h^b \left((w_h, 0), \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{I,n+1} \right). \tag{37d}$$

By properties of Π_V^j and $\bar{\Pi}_V^j$, $j = f, b$, we have that $b_h(\mathbf{e}_u^{I,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h$ and $b_h^b((e_z^{I,n+1}, 0), \mathbf{q}_h^p) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{q}_h^p \in \mathbf{Q}_h^b$. Similarly, $c_h((e_{p^p}^{I,n+1}, e_{p^b}^{I,n+1}), q_h^b) = 0$ for all $q_h^b \in Q_h^b$ because Π_Q^b is the L^2 -projection onto Q_h^b , and $b_h(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{e}_p^{I,n+1}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{V}_h$, $b_h^b((w_h, 0), \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{I,n+1}) = 0$ for all $w_h \in V_h^b$, and $b_h^I((\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b), \bar{e}_{p^p}^{I,n+1}) = 0$ for all $(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b) \in \bar{V}_h^f \times \bar{V}_h^b$ because $\Pi_Q^j, \bar{\Pi}_Q^j, j = f, b$, and $\bar{\Pi}_{Q_0}^j$ are L^2 -projections. Furthermore, since $\bar{\Pi}_V^j, \bar{\Pi}_Q^j, j = f, b, \bar{\Pi}_{Q_0}^j$ are L^2 -projections,

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{I,n+1}, (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\bar{\Pi}_V^b \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - \bar{\Pi}_V^b \bar{u}^{b,n} \right) - \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) = a_h^I \left(\left(0, d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right), \\
& b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{I,n+1}, (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\bar{\Pi}_V^b \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - \bar{\Pi}_V^b \bar{u}^{b,n} \right) - \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right) = b_h^I \left(\left(0, d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right), \\
& \left(c_0 \left((\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_Q^b p^{p,n+1} - \Pi_Q^b p^{p,n} \right) - \partial_t p^{p,n+1} \right), q_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b} = \left(c_0 \left(d_t p^{p,n+1} - \partial_t p^{p,n+1} \right), q_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b}, \\
& c_h \left(\left((\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_Q^b p^{p,n+1} - \Pi_Q^b p^{p,n} \right) - \partial_t p^{p,n+1}, (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_Q^b p^{b,n+1} - \Pi_Q^b p^{b,n} \right) - \partial_t p^{b,n+1} \right), \alpha q_h^p \right) \\
& = c_h \left(\left(d_t p^{p,n+1} - \partial_t p^{p,n+1}, d_t p^{b,n+1} - \partial_t p^{b,n+1} \right), \alpha q_h^p \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can write equation (37) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(d_t e_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, v_h^f \right)_{\Omega^f} - t_h \left(u^{f,n+1}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f \right) + t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f \right) \\
& + a_h \left(\mathbf{e}_u^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h \right) + b_h \left(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{e}_p^{h,n+1} \right) + a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right) \right) + b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right), \bar{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right) \\
& = \left(\partial_t u^{f,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_V^f u^{f,n+1} - \Pi_V^f u^{f,n} \right), v_h^f \right)_{\Omega^f} + a_h \left(\mathbf{e}_u^{I,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h \right) \\
& + a_h^I \left(\left(0, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} \right), \left(\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b \right), \tag{38a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$b_h \left(\mathbf{e}_u^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h \right) + c_h \left(\left(e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right), q_h^b \right) = 0, \tag{38b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_0 d_t e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, q_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b} + c_h \left(\left(d_t e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, d_t e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \alpha q_h^p \right) - b_h^b \left(\left(e_z^{h,n+1}, 0 \right), \mathbf{q}_h^p \right) - b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right) \\
& = \left(c_0 \left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - d_t p^{p,n+1} \right), q_h^p \right)_{\Omega^b} + c_h \left(\left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - d_t p^{p,n+1}, \partial_t p^{b,n+1} - d_t p^{b,n+1} \right), \alpha q_h^p \right) \\
& - b_h^I \left(\left(0, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right), \tag{38c}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} e_z^{h,n+1}, w_h \right)_{\Omega^b} + b_h^b \left((w_h, 0), \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right) = \left(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} e_z^{I,n+1}, w_h \right)_{\Omega^b}. \tag{38d}$$

To simplify this further, note that

$$\begin{aligned} -t_h(u^{f,n+1}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f) + t_h(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f) &= -t_h(u^{f,n+1}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f) + t_h(u^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f) \\ &\quad - t_h(u^{f,n}; \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{I,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f) - t_h(u^{f,n}; \mathbf{\Pi}_V^f \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f) \\ &\quad + t_h(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{\Pi}_V^f \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f) + t_h(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^f). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Furthermore, splitting equation (38b) into its terms on Ω^f and Ω^b and applying the discrete time derivative on Ω^b , we can write equation (38b) as

$$b_h^f(e_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^f) + b_h^b(d_t e_{u^b}^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^b) + c_h((d_t e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}, d_t e_{p^b}^{h,n+1}), \mathbf{q}_h^b) = 0. \quad (40)$$

Combining equations (38a), (38c), (38d), (39) and (40) we find equation (35). \square

The following auxiliary result will be useful to prove the error estimate in Theorem 5.3.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathbf{p}_h^{p,n}$, z_h^n , p^p , and z^n be as defined in Lemma 5.1. There exists a $C > 0$, independent of h , Δt , and n , such that for $n \geq 0$:*

$$\|e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} \leq C\mu^f \kappa^{-1} (\|e_z^{h,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} + \|e_z^{I,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}), \quad (41a)$$

$$\|e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}\|_{\Gamma_I} \leq C\mu^f \kappa^{-1} (\|e_z^{h,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} + \|e_z^{I,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}). \quad (41b)$$

Proof. In equation (42) of [23] we proved that $\|e_{p^p}^{h,n+1}\|_{1,h,\Omega^b} \leq C\mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z - z_h\|_{\Omega^b}$. Equation (41a) now follows by equation (10d) and a triangle inequality. The proof of equation (41b) is given by Lemma 4 of [23]. \square

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that $\{(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{p}_h^n, z_h^n, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n})\}_n$ are the solutions to equation (17), that the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 hold and that (u, p, z, p^p) is the solution to equations (1), (2) (4)–(6) on the time interval $J = (0, T]$ with $f^b(x, 0) = 0$, $g^b(x, 0) = 0$, $u_0^f(x) = 0$, and $p_0^p(x) = 0$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{u} := (u, u|_{\Gamma_0^f}, u|_{\Gamma_0^b})$, $\mathbf{p} := (p, p|_{\Gamma_0^f}, p|_{\Gamma_0^b})$, and $\mathbf{p}^p := (p^p, p^p|_{\Gamma_0^b})$. If*

$$\begin{aligned} u^f &\in H^1(J, [H^k(\Omega^f)]^d) \cap H^2(J, [L^2(\Omega^f)]^d) \cap \ell^\infty(J, [W^{1,3}(\Omega^f) \cap H^{k+1}(\Omega^f)]^d), \\ u^b &\in H^2(J, [H^1(\Omega^b)]^d) \cap W^{2,1}(J, [H^{k+1}(\Omega^b)]^d), \\ z &\in \ell^\infty(J, H^k(\Omega^b)), \quad p^p, p^b \in H^2(J, L^2(\Omega^b)), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e_{u^f}^{h,m}\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + a_h^b(e_{u^b}^{h,m}, e_{u^b}^{h,m}) + \lambda^{-1} \|\alpha e_{p^p}^{h,m} - e_{p^b}^{h,m}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_0 \|e_{p^p}^{h,m}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ &\quad + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu^f \|e_{u^f}^{h,i}\|_{v,f}^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \|\alpha e_{u^f}^{h,i} - d_t e_{u^b}^{h,i}\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|e_z^{h,i}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \right] \leq C_G [h^{2k} + (\Delta t)^2], \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

with C_G a constant resulting from a discrete Grönwall inequality that depends on T and the norms of u^f , u^b , z , p^p , and p^b in equation (42). Moreover,

$$\|e_{p^p}^{h,m}\|_{q,b} \leq C(\mu^b)^{1/2} a_h^b(e_{u^b}^{h,m}, e_{u^b}^{h,m})^{1/2} + C\mu^b h^k \|u^{b,m}\|_{k+1,\Omega^b}. \quad (44)$$

Proof. Set $\mathbf{v}_h^f = \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}$, $\mathbf{v}_h^b = d_t \mathbf{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^f = -\mathbf{e}_{p^f}^{h,n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^b = -\mathbf{e}_{p^b}^{h,n+1}$, $w_h = e_z^{h,n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^p = \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1}$ in equation (35), sum the equations, use the algebraic inequality $a(a-b) \geq (a^2 - b^2)/2$ for the time-derivative terms, and use equation (15), to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\Delta t} \left(\left\| \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 - \left\| \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{1}{2\Delta t} \left(a_h^b \left(\mathbf{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right) - a_h^b \left(\mathbf{e}_{u^b}^{h,n}, \mathbf{e}_{u^b}^{h,n} \right) \right) \\ & + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} - d_t \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_f}^2 + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2\Delta t} \left(\left\| \alpha \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} - \mathbf{e}_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 - \left\| \alpha \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n} - \mathbf{e}_{p^b}^{h,n} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{c_0}{2\Delta t} \left(\left\| \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 - \left\| \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \right) + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| e_z^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ & \leq I_1^n + I_2^n + I_3^n + I_4^n, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $e_{u^f}^n = u^{f,n} - u_h^{f,n}$ and where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^n & := I_{1a}^n + I_{1b}^n + I_{1c}^n + I_{1d}^n + I_{1e}^n + I_{1f}^n \\ & := \left(\partial_t u^{f,n+1} - (\Delta t)^{-1} \left(\Pi_V^f u^{f,n+1} - \Pi_V^f u^{f,n} \right), \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^f} \\ & \quad + \left[t_h \left(u^{f,n+1}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right) - t_h \left(u^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + t_h \left(u^{f,n}; \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{I,n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right) + \left[t_h \left(u^{f,n}; \Pi_V^f u^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right) - t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \Pi_V^f \mathbf{u}^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + a_h^f \left(\mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{I,n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right) + a_h^b \left(\mathbf{e}_{u^b}^{I,n+1}, d_t \mathbf{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right), \\ I_2^n & := a_h^I \left((0, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1}), \left(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{u^f}^{h,n+1}, d_t \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right) \right), \\ I_3^n & := I_{3a}^n + I_{3b}^n + I_{3c}^n \\ & := \left(c_0 \left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - d_t p^{p,n+1} \right), \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} + c_h \left(\left(\partial_t p^{p,n+1} - d_t p^{p,n+1}, \partial_t p^{b,n+1} - d_t p^{b,n+1} \right), \alpha \mathbf{e}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right) \\ & \quad - b_h^I \left((0, \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1}), \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right), \\ I_4^n & := \left(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} e_z^{I,n+1}, \mathbf{e}_z^{h,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of I_{1a}^n , the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, equation (10a), the triangle inequality, approximation properties of the BDM interpolation operator (Π_V^f) , Taylor’s theorem, and Young’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{1a}^n| & \leq C \left\| -d_t \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{I,n+1} + d_t u^{f,n+1} - \partial_t u^{f,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^f} \left\| \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} \\ & \leq 2\psi \left\| \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{C}{\psi} h^{2k} (\Delta t)^{-1} \left\| \partial_t u^f \right\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; H^k(\Omega^f))}^2 + \frac{C}{\psi} \Delta t \left\| \partial_{tt} u^f \right\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; L^2(\Omega^f))}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $\psi > 0$ will be chosen later. Next, by equation (13) and Young’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{1b}^n| & \leq C \left\| u^{f,n+1} - u^{f,n} \right\|_{1,h,\Omega^f} \left\| u^{f,n+1} \right\|_{1,\Omega^f} \left\| \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} \\ & \leq C (\Delta t)^{1/2} \left\| \partial_t u^f \right\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; H^1(\Omega^f))} \left\| u^{f,n+1} \right\|_{1,\Omega^f} \left\| \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} \\ & \leq \psi \left\| \mathbf{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{C}{\psi} \Delta t \left\| \partial_t u^f \right\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; H^1(\Omega^f))}^2 \left\| u^{f,n+1} \right\|_{1,\Omega^f}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

By equation (13), approximation properties of the BDM interpolation operator (Π_V^f) and facet L^2 -projection $(\bar{\Pi}_V^f)$, and Young’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_{1c}^n| &\leq C \|u^{f,n}\|_{1,h,\Omega^f} \left\| e_{u^f}^{I,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} \\
 &\leq Ch^k \|u^{f,n}\|_{1,\Omega^f} \|u^{f,n+1}\|_{k+1,\Omega^f} \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} \\
 &\leq \psi \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{C}{\psi} h^{2k} \|u^{f,n}\|_{1,\Omega^f}^2 \|u^{f,n+1}\|_{k+1,\Omega^f}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

For I_{1d}^n we have for $\psi > 0$ (see [24], Appendix C):

$$|I_{1d}^n| \leq 2\psi \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{C}{\psi} h^{2k} \|u^{f,n+1}\|_{k+1,\Omega^f}^2 \|u^{f,n}\|_{k+1,\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{C}{\psi} \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,n} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 \|u^{f,n+1}\|_{W_3^1(\Omega^f)}^2. \tag{49}$$

For I_{1e}^n , using equation (11b), Young’s inequalities, and interpolation properties, we find

$$|I_{1e}^n| \leq C\mu^f h^{2k} \|u^{f,n+1}\|_{k+1,\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{1}{8} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2. \tag{50}$$

We postpone estimating I_{1f}^n until later and proceed with estimating I_2^n . By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, Young’s inequality, and the trace inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2^n| &\leq \gamma\mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \|d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1}\|_{\Gamma_I} \left\| \bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} - d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Gamma_I} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \gamma\mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} - d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma\mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \|d_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1} - \partial_t \bar{u}^{b,n+1}\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \gamma\mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} - d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + C\mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \Delta t \|\partial_{tt} \bar{u}^b\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; L^2(\Gamma_I))}^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \gamma\mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,n+1} - d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + C\mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \Delta t \|\partial_{tt} u^b\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; H^1(\Omega^b))}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, Lemma 5.2, Young’s inequality, and an argument similar to the estimate in equation (46),

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_{3a}^n| &\leq c_0 \|d_t p^{p,n+1} - \partial_t p^{p,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| e_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \\
 &\leq c_0 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} C \|d_t p^{p,n+1} - \partial_t p^{p,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} (\|e_z^{h,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} + \|e_z^{I,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{5} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|e_z^{h,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + C\mu^f \kappa^{-1} h^{2k} \|z^{n+1}\|_{H^k(\Omega^b)}^2 + Cc_0^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \Delta t \|\partial_{tt} p^p\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; L^2(\Omega^b))}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Likewise, and using that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we find for I_{3b}^n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_{3b}^n| &\leq \lambda^{-1} \|\alpha(d_t p^{p,n+1} - \partial_t p^{p,n+1}) - (d_t p^{b,n+1} - \partial_t p^{b,n+1})\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| e_{p^p}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{5} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|e_z^{h,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + C\mu^f \kappa^{-1} h^{2k} \|z^{n+1}\|_{H^k(\Omega^b)}^2 \\
 &\quad + C\lambda^{-2} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \Delta t \left(\|\partial_{tt} p^p\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; L^2(\Omega^b))}^2 + \|\partial_{tt} p^b\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; L^2(\Omega^b))}^2 \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

For I_{3c}^n , by equation (41b) and an argument similar to the estimate equation (51),

$$|I_{3c}^n| \leq \frac{1}{5} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|e_z^{h,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + C\mu^f \kappa^{-1} h^{2k} \|z^{n+1}\|_{H^k(\Omega^b)}^2 + C\mu^f \kappa^{-1} \Delta t \|\partial_{tt} u^b\|_{L^2(t_n, t_{n+1}; H^1(\Omega^b))}^2. \tag{54}$$

For I_4^n , using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, Young’s inequality, and the approximation properties of the BDM interpolant, we find

$$|I_4^n| \leq \frac{1}{5} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|e_z^{h,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + C\mu^f \kappa^{-1} h^{2k} \|z^{n+1}\|_{H^k(\Omega^b)}^2. \tag{55}$$

Combining the estimates in equations (46)–(55) with equation (45), choosing $\psi = c_{ae}^f \mu^f / 24$, summing for $n = 0$ to $n = m - 1$, multiplying both sides of the inequality by Δt , and taking into account the vanishing initial data, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,m} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{8} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,i} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{1}{2} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,m}, e_{u^b}^{h,m} \right) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| \left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,i} - d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,i} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 \\
 & + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2} \left\| \alpha e_{p^p}^{h,m} - e_{p^b}^{h,m} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{c_0}{2} \left\| e_{p^p}^{h,m} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{5} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| e_z^{h,i} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\
 & \leq C(\mu^f)^{-1} h^{2k} \left\| \partial_t u^f \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;H^k(\Omega^f))}^2 + C(\mu^f)^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_{tt} u^f \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;L^2(\Omega^f))}^2 \\
 & + C(\mu^f)^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| \partial_t u^f \right\|_{L^2(t_{i-1},t_i;H^1(\Omega^f))}^2 \left\| u^{f,i} \right\|_{1,\Omega^f}^2 \\
 & + C(\mu^f)^{-1} \Delta t h^{2k} \sum_{i=1}^m \left((\mu^f)^2 + \left\| u^{f,i-1} \right\|_{1,\Omega^f}^2 + \left\| u^{f,i-1} \right\|_{k+1,\Omega^f}^2 \right) \left\| u^{f,i} \right\|_{k+1,\Omega^f}^2 + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^m I_{1f}^{i-1} \\
 & + C(\mu^f)^{-1} \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,i-1} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 \left\| u^{f,i} \right\|_{W_3^1(\Omega^f)}^2 + C \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_{tt} u^b \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;H^1(\Omega^b))}^2 \\
 & + C \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \Delta t h^{2k} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| z^i \right\|_{H^k(\Omega^b)}^2 + C c_0^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_{tt} p^p \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;L^2(\Omega^b))}^2 \\
 & + C \lambda^{-2} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left(\left\| \partial_{tt} p^p \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;L^2(\Omega^b))}^2 + \left\| \partial_{tt} p^b \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;L^2(\Omega^b))}^2 \right) \\
 & + C \mu^f \kappa^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_{tt} u^b \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;H^1(\Omega^b))}^2. \tag{56}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the term I_{1f} . Using summation-by-parts and that the initial data vanishes,

$$\Delta t \sum_{i=1}^m I_{1f}^{i-1} = a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{I,m}, e_{u^b}^{h,m} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^m a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{I,i-1} - e_{u^b}^{I,i}, e_{u^b}^{h,i-1} \right).$$

Note also that, by the Cauchy–Schwarz and Young’s inequalities, equation (11b), and approximation properties of the BDM interpolant,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{I,i-1} - e_{u^b}^{I,i}, e_{u^b}^{h,i-1} \right) & \leq a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{I,i-1} - e_{u^b}^{I,i}, e_{u^b}^{I,i-1} - e_{u^b}^{I,i} \right)^{1/2} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,i-1}, e_{u^b}^{h,i-1} \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq (\Delta t)^{-1} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{I,i-1} - e_{u^b}^{I,i}, e_{u^b}^{I,i-1} - e_{u^b}^{I,i} \right) + \frac{\Delta t}{4} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,i-1}, e_{u^b}^{h,i-1} \right) \\
 & \leq (\Delta t)^{-1} c_{ac}^b \mu^b \left\| e_{u^b}^{I,i-1} - e_{u^b}^{I,i} \right\|_{v',j}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{4} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,i-1}, e_{u^b}^{h,i-1} \right) \\
 & \leq (\Delta t)^{-1} C \mu^b h^{2k} \left\| u^{b,i-1} - u^{b,i} \right\|_{k+1,\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{4} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,i-1}, e_{u^b}^{h,i-1} \right) \\
 & \leq C \mu^b h^{2k} \left\| \partial_t u^b \right\|_{L^2(t_{i-1},t_i;H^{k+1}(\Omega^b))}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{4} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,i-1}, e_{u^b}^{h,i-1} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{I,m}, e_{u^b}^{h,m} \right) \leq C \mu^b h^{2k} \left\| u^{b,m} \right\|_{k+1,\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{1}{4} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,m}, e_{u^b}^{h,m} \right).$$

The above inequalities together with equation (56) result in:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,m} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{8} c_a^f \mu^f \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,i} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{1}{4} a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,m}, e_{u^b}^{h,m} \right) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| \left(\bar{e}_{u^f}^{h,i} - d_t \bar{e}_{u^b}^{h,i} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 \\
& + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2} \left\| \alpha e_{p^p}^{h,m} - e_{p^b}^{h,m} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{c_0}{2} \left\| e_{p^p}^{h,m} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{5} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| e_z^{h,i} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\
& \leq C (\mu^f)^{-1} h^{2k} \left\| \partial_t u^f \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;H^k(\Omega^f))}^2 + C (\mu^f)^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_{tt} u^f \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;L^2(\Omega^f))}^2 \\
& + CT (\mu^f)^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_t u^f \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;H^1(\Omega^f))}^2 \left\| u^f \right\|_{\ell^\infty(0,t_m;H^1(\Omega^f))}^2 \\
& + CT (\mu^f)^{-1} h^{2k} \left((\mu^f)^2 + \left\| u^f \right\|_{\ell^\infty(0,t_m;H^1(\Omega^f))}^2 + \left\| u^f \right\|_{\ell^\infty(0,t_m;H^{k+1}(\Omega^f))}^2 \right) \left\| u^f \right\|_{\ell^\infty(0,t_m;H^{k+1}(\Omega^f))}^2 \\
& + C \mu^b h^{2k} \left\| \partial_t u^b \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;H^{k+1}(\Omega^b))}^2 + C \mu^b h^{2k} \left\| u^b \right\|_{\ell^\infty(0,t_m;H^{k+1}(\Omega^b))}^2 \\
& + C (\mu^f)^{-1} \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| e_{u^f}^{h,i-1} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 \left\| u^{f,i} \right\|_{W_3^1(\Omega^f)}^2 + C \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_{tt} u^b \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;H^1(\Omega^b))}^2 \\
& + \frac{\Delta t}{4} \sum_{i=2}^m a_h^b \left(e_{u^b}^{h,i-1}, e_{u^b}^{h,i-1} \right) + C \mu^f \kappa^{-1} T h^{2k} \left\| z \right\|_{\ell^\infty(0,t_m;H^k(\Omega^b))}^2 \\
& + C c_0^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_{tt} p^p \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;L^2(\Omega^b))}^2 + C \mu^f \kappa^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left\| \partial_{tt} u^b \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;H^1(\Omega^b))}^2 \\
& + C \lambda^{-2} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} (\Delta t)^2 \left(\left\| \partial_{tt} p^p \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;L^2(\Omega^b))}^2 + \left\| \partial_{tt} p^b \right\|_{L^2(0,t_m;L^2(\Omega^b))}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (43) follows by a discrete Grönwall inequality (see, e.g., [33], Lem. 28).

We next prove equation (44). For this, let us first note that by equation (12a) there exists a $\tilde{v}_h^b \in \tilde{V}_h^b$, with \tilde{V}_h^b defined in equation (9), such that $b_h^b(\tilde{v}_h^b, e_{p^b}^{h,n+1}) = \left\| e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{q,b}^2$ and $\left\| \tilde{v}_h^b \right\|_{v,b} \leq C \left\| e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{q,b}$. Take $v_h^f = \mathbf{0}$ and $v_h^b = \tilde{v}_h^b$ in equation (35a) which then reduces to $a_h^b(e_{u^b}^{h,n+1}, \tilde{v}_h^b) + \left\| e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{q,b}^2 = a_h^b(e_{u^b}^{I,n+1}, \tilde{v}_h^b)$. By equation (11b) we obtain:

$$\left\| e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{q,b}^2 \leq \left| a_h^b(e_{u^b}^{h,n+1}, \tilde{v}_h^b) \right| + \left| a_h^b(e_{u^b}^{I,n+1}, \tilde{v}_h^b) \right| \leq C \mu^b \left(\left\| e_{u^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{v,b} + \left\| e_{u^b}^{I,n+1} \right\|_{v',b} \right) \left\| e_{p^b}^{h,n+1} \right\|_{q,b},$$

so that equation (44) follows by using equation (11a) and approximation properties of the interpolant. \square

An immediate consequence of Theorem 5.3, the triangle inequality, and approximation properties of the different interpolants is the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *Suppose that all the assumptions in Theorem 5.3 hold. Then:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| u^{f,m} - u_h^{f,m} \right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \mu^b \left\| \mathbf{u}^{b,m} - \mathbf{u}_h^{b,m} \right\|_{v,b}^2 + \lambda^{-1} \left\| \alpha (p^{p,m} - p_h^{p,m}) - (p^{b,m} - p_h^{b,m}) \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\
& + c_0 \left\| p^{p,m} - p_h^{p,m} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{p}^{b,m} - \mathbf{p}_h^{b,m} \right\|_{q,b}^2 \\
& + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\mu^f \left\| \mathbf{u}^{f,i} - \mathbf{u}_h^{f,i} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \alpha \left(\bar{u}^{f,i} - \bar{u}_h^{f,i} \right) - d_t \left(\bar{u}^{b,i} - \bar{u}_h^{b,i} \right) \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| z^i - z_h^i \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \right] \\
& \leq C'_G \left[h^{2k} + (\Delta t)^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

with C'_G depending on C_G (see Thm. 5.3), the norms of the exact solutions, the constants of the approximation properties of the interpolation operators Π_V^j , $\bar{\Pi}_V^j$, Π_Q^j , $\bar{\Pi}_Q^j$, and the different model parameters.

6. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this final section, we present a numerical example to confirm our analysis. For this, we consider the time-dependent manufactured solution of Section 6.2 of [23]. We consider the domain $\Omega := (0, 1)^2$ with $\Omega^f := (0, 1) \times (0.5, 1)$ and $\Omega^b := (0, 1) \times (0, 0.5)$. The boundaries of the domain are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_D^f &:= \{x \in \Gamma^f : x_1 = 0 \text{ or } x_2 = 1\}, & \Gamma_N^f &:= \{x \in \Gamma^f : x_1 = 1\}, \\ \Gamma_P^b = \Gamma_D^b &:= \{x \in \Gamma^b : x_0 = 0 \text{ or } x_2 = 0\}, & \Gamma_F^b = \Gamma_N^b &:= \{x \in \Gamma^b : x_1 = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the Navier–Stokes/Biot problem equations (1) and (2) with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u^f = U^f & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D^f \times J, & u^b = U^b & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D^b \times J, & p^p = P^p & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_P^b \times J, \\ \sigma^f n = S^f & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_N^f \times J, & \sigma^b n = S^b & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_N^b \times J, & z \cdot n = Z^d & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_F^b \times J, \end{aligned}$$

and interface conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u^f \cdot n &= (\partial_t u^b + z) \cdot n + M^u & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_I \times J, \\ \sigma^f n &= \sigma^b n + M^s & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_I \times J, \\ (\sigma^f n) \cdot n &= p^p + M^p & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_I \times J, \\ -2\mu^f (\varepsilon(u^f)n)^t &= \gamma\mu^f \kappa^{-1/2}(u^f - \partial_t u^b)^t + M^e & \quad \text{on } \Gamma_I \times J. \end{aligned}$$

The functions M^u , M^s , M^p , and M^e in the aforementioned modified interface conditions, as well as the boundary data, U^f , U^b , P^p , S^f , S^b , and Z^d , body forces f^f and f^b , source/sink term g^b , and the initial conditions are chosen such that the exact solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u^f &= \begin{bmatrix} \pi x_1 \cos(\pi(x_1 x_2 - t)) + 1 \\ -\pi x_2 \cos(\pi(x_1 x_2 - t)) + 2x_1 \end{bmatrix}, & u^b &= \begin{bmatrix} \sin(10\pi t) \cos(4(x_1 - t)) \cos(3x_2) \\ \sin(10\pi t) \sin(5x_1) \cos(2(x_2 - t)) \end{bmatrix}, \\ p^f &= \sin(3x_1) \cos(4(x_2 - t)), & p^p &= \sin(3(x_1 x_2 - t)). \end{aligned}$$

The model parameters are chosen as follows: $\mu^f = 10^{-2}$, $\mu^b = 10^{-3}$, $\alpha = 0.2$, $\lambda = 10^2$, $\kappa = 10^{-2}$, $c_0 = 10^{-2}$, and $\gamma = 0.3$, while the HDG penalty parameters are chosen as $\beta^f = \beta^b = 8k^2$, where k is the polynomial degree. We consider the time interval $J = [0, 0.01]$ and implement the HDG method in the Netgen/NGSolve finite element library [47, 48].

We first consider the spatial rates of convergence. For this, we compute the solution using $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ and list the errors measured in the L^2 -norm and rates of convergence of the unknowns in Ω^f in Table 1 and in Ω^b in Table 2. We use a time step of $\Delta t = \frac{1}{10} h^{k+2}$. From both tables we observe that $\|u_h^f - u^f\|_{\Omega^f}$, $\|u_h^b - u^b\|_{\Omega^b}$, and $\|z_h - z\|_{\Omega^b}$ are $\mathcal{O}(h^{k+1})$ while $\|p_h^f - p^f\|_{\Omega^f}$, $\|p_h^b - p^b\|_{\Omega^b}$, and $\|p_h^p - p^p\|_{\Omega^b}$ are $\mathcal{O}(h^k)$.

We next consider the temporal rates of convergence. The errors, measured in the L^2 -norm, and temporal rates of convergence for the unknowns in Ω^f are given in Table 3 and in Ω^b are given in Table 4. To compute these results we choose $k = 4$ and compute on the solution on a mesh consisting of 37 548 cells. We observe that the error for all unknowns is $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t)$.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we introduced and analyzed an HDG discretization for the time-dependent Navier–Stokes equations coupled to the Biot equations. Appealing properties of the discretization include that the velocities and displacement are divergence-conforming, that the compressibility equations are satisfied pointwise on the elements, and that mass is conserved pointwise for the semi-discrete problem when source and sink terms are ignored. We proved stability and well-posedness under a small data assumption and presented an *a priori* error analysis of the method.

TABLE 1. Errors and spatial rates of convergence r for the solution in Ω^f for the test case described in Section 6.

Cells	$\ u_h^f - u^f\ _{\Omega^f}$	r	$\ p_h^f - p^f\ _{\Omega^f}$	r	$\ \nabla \cdot u_h^f\ _{\Omega^f}$
$k = 1$					
8	2.4e-01	-	6.0e-01	-	6.3e-16
28	5.8e-02	2.0	1.2e-01	2.4	5.3e-16
152	1.5e-02	2.0	4.7e-02	1.3	9.6e-16
576	3.2e-03	2.2	2.2e-02	1.1	8.5e-16
2348	6.8e-04	2.2	1.1e-02	1.1	8.4e-16
$k = 2$					
8	5.8e-02	-	1.1e-01	-	1.1e-15
28	9.6e-03	2.6	2.6e-02	2.0	1.2e-15
152	1.5e-03	2.7	4.7e-03	2.5	1.5e-15
576	1.6e-04	3.2	9.5e-04	2.3	1.3e-15
2348	1.5e-05	3.4	2.2e-04	2.1	1.2e-15

TABLE 2. Errors and spatial rates of convergence r for the solution in Ω^b for the test case described in Section 6.

Cells	$\ u_h^b - u^b\ _{\Omega^b}$	r	$\ p_h^b - p^b\ _{\Omega^b}$	r	$\ z_h - z\ _{\Omega^b}$	r	$\ p_h^p - p^p\ _{\Omega^b}$	r
$k = 1$								
8	6.9e-02	-	3.2e+01	-	1.6e-01	-	1.4e-01	-
28	1.8e-02	2.0	1.6e+01	0.9	4.0e-02	2.0	6.8e-02	1.0
152	2.8e-03	2.6	6.6e+00	1.3	6.6e-03	2.6	2.9e-02	1.2
576	7.2e-04	2.0	3.4e+00	1.0	1.9e-03	1.8	1.5e-02	1.0
2348	1.7e-04	2.1	1.7e+00	1.0	5.2e-04	1.9	7.3e-03	1.0
$k = 2$								
8	9.7e-03	-	6.8e+00	-	4.5e-02	-	2.0e-02	-
28	2.2e-03	2.1	2.3e+00	1.5	4.9e-03	3.2	5.3e-03	1.9
152	1.9e-04	3.6	4.4e-01	2.4	4.7e-04	3.4	1.2e-03	2.2
576	2.2e-05	3.1	1.0e-01	2.1	1.0e-04	2.2	2.8e-04	2.1
2348	2.3e-06	3.2	2.6e-02	2.0	1.7e-05	2.7	6.6e-05	2.1

TABLE 3. Errors and temporal rates of convergence r for the solution in Ω^f for the test case described in Section 6.

Δt	$\ u_h^f - u^f\ _{\Omega^f}$	r	$\ p_h^f - p^f\ _{\Omega^f}$	r	$\ \nabla \cdot u_h^f\ _{\Omega^f}$
$T/8$	6.0e-02	-	8.2e-02	-	6.1e-12
$T/16$	3.6e-02	0.7	5.3e-02	0.6	5.9e-12
$T/32$	2.1e-02	0.8	3.2e-02	0.7	5.7e-12
$T/64$	1.1e-02	0.9	1.8e-02	0.8	4.3e-12
$T/128$	6.0e-03	0.9	9.8e-03	0.9	3.5e-12

TABLE 4. Errors and temporal rates of convergence r for the solution in Ω^b for the test case described in Section 6.

Δt	$\ u_h^b - u^b\ _{\Omega^b}$	r	$\ p_h^b - p^b\ _{\Omega^b}$	r	$\ z_h - z\ _{\Omega^b}$	r	$\ p_h^p - p^p\ _{\Omega^b}$	r
$T/8$	5.5e-04	-	9.5e-06	-	1.1e-02	-	1.4e-03	-
$T/16$	3.0e-04	0.9	4.5e-06	1.1	5.7e-03	1.0	7.1e-04	1.0
$T/32$	1.6e-04	0.9	2.2e-06	1.0	2.9e-03	1.0	3.6e-04	1.0
$T/64$	8.7e-05	0.9	1.1e-06	1.0	1.4e-03	1.0	1.8e-04	1.0
$T/128$	4.6e-05	0.9	5.6e-07	1.0	7.2e-04	1.0	8.9e-05	1.0

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF THE INEQUALITIES IN EQUATION (10)

Before proving equation (10) we present a few useful results. First, we have the following discrete Poincaré and Korn’s inequalities (see [10, 11] and [44], Eqs. (3.4) and (5.4)):

$$\|v_h\|_{\Omega^j} \leq C \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} \|\nabla v_h\|_K^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^j \cup \mathcal{F}_D^j} h_F^{-1} \|[[v_h]]\|_F^2 \right) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^j, \quad j = f, b, \quad (\text{A.1a})$$

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} \|\nabla v_h\|_K^2 \leq C_{Korn} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} \|\varepsilon(v_h)\|_K^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^j \cup \mathcal{F}_D^j} h_F^{-1} \|[[v_h]]\|_F^2 \right) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^j, \quad j = f, b. \quad (\text{A.1b})$$

Next, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^j \cup \mathcal{F}_D^j} h_F^{-1} \|[[v_h]]\|_F^2 &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^j} h_F^{-1} \|(v_h^+ - \{v_h\}) - (v_h^- - \{v_h\})\|_F^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_D^j} h_F^{-1} \|v_h\|_F^2 \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^j} (h_{K^+}^{-1} \|v_h^+ - \{v_h\}\|_F^2 + h_{K^-}^{-1} \|v_h^- - \{v_h\}\|_F^2) + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_D^j} (h_{K^-}^{-1} \|v_h\|_F^2) \right) \\ &= C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} h_K^{-1} \|v_h - \{v_h\}\|_{\partial K}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where we assumed shape regularity of the mesh. This result is used to show the second inequality in the following equivalence result:

$$c_1 \|v_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^j}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} \|\varepsilon(v_h)\|_K^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^j \cup \mathcal{F}_D^j} h_F^{-1} \|[[v_h]]\|_F^2 \leq c_2 \|v_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^j}^2. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The first inequality in (A.3) was shown in Appendix A of [23]. Finally, let us note that we have the following inequalities between different norms:

$$c_1 \|v_h\|_{1,h,\Omega^j}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^j} \|\varepsilon(v_h)\|_K^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^j \cup \mathcal{F}_D^j} h_F^{-1} \|[[v_h]]\|_F^2 \leq C \|v_h\|_{v,j}^2. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The first inequality is by equation (A.3) while the second inequality follows by identical steps as in equation (A.2) but with $\{v_h\}$ replaced by \bar{v}_h .

We now prove equation (10). The first inequalities in equations (10a) and (10b) are a consequence of equations (A.1a), (A.1b) and (A.3). The second inequalities in equations (10a) and (10b) are a consequence of equation

(A.4). The proof of equation (10d) is similar to equations (10a) and (10b). Finally, Theorem 4.4 of [13] implies that for $1 \leq r < \infty$ when $d = 2$ and $1 \leq r \leq 4$ when $d = 3$

$$\|v_h^f\|_{r,0,\Gamma_{int}^f}^2 \leq C \left(\|v_h^f\|_{1,0,\Omega^f}^2 + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}^f} \|\nabla v_h\|_K^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{int}^f} h_F^{-1} \|[[v_h^f]]\|_F^2 \right).$$

By Hölder’s inequality, equations (A.1a), (A.1b) and (A.3) we obtain the first inequality of equation (10c). The second inequality is a consequence of equation (A.4).

APPENDIX B. PROOFS OF LEMMA’S IN SECTION 4

B.1. Proof of Lemma 4.2

We first show uniqueness. Assume that both $(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{n+1}, z_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n+1})$ and $(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n+1}, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_h^{n+1}, \widehat{z}_h^{n+1}, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_h^{p,n+1})$ are solutions to the fully discrete system equation (17). Let us define their difference by $(\mathbf{x}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{r}_h^{n+1}, y_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{r}_h^{p,n+1}) = (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{n+1} - \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_h^{n+1}, z_h^{n+1} - \widehat{z}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n+1} - \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_h^{p,n+1})$. We need to show that $(\mathbf{x}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{r}_h^{n+1}, y_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{r}_h^{p,n+1}) = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{0})$. Let us first note that $(\mathbf{x}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{r}_h^{n+1}, y_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{r}_h^{p,n+1})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta t} (\mathbf{x}_h^{n+1}, v_h^f)_{\Omega^f} + t_h (u_h^{f,n}, \mathbf{x}_h^{f,n+1}, v_h^f) + a_h^f (\mathbf{x}_h^{f,n+1}, v_h^f) + a_h^b (\mathbf{x}_h^{b,n+1}, v_h^b) + b_h^f (v_h^f, \mathbf{r}_h^{f,n+1}) \\ + b_h^b (v_h^b, \mathbf{r}_h^{b,n+1}) + a_h^I \left(\left(\bar{x}_h^{f,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \bar{x}_h^{b,n+1} \right), (\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b) \right) + b_h^I \left((\bar{v}_h^f, \bar{v}_h^b), \bar{r}_h^{p,n+1} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{B.1a}$$

$$b_h^f (\mathbf{x}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^f) + b_h^b (\mathbf{x}_h^{b,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^b) + c_h \left((r_h^{p,n+1}, r_h^{b,n+1}), q_h^b \right) = 0, \tag{B.1b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta t} (c_0 r_h^{p,n+1}, q_h^p)_{\Omega^b} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_h \left((r_h^{p,n+1}, r_h^{b,n+1}), \alpha q_h^p \right) - b_h^b ((y_h^{n+1}, 0), \mathbf{q}_h^p) \\ - b_h^I \left(\left(\bar{x}_h^{f,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \bar{x}_h^{b,n+1} \right), \bar{q}_h^p \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{B.1c}$$

$$(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} y_h^{n+1}, w_h)_{\Omega^b} + b_h^b ((w_h, 0), \mathbf{r}_h^{p,n+1}) = 0, \tag{B.1d}$$

for all $(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{q}_h, w_h, \mathbf{q}_h^p) \in \mathbf{X}_h$. Add the above equations, choose $\mathbf{v}_h^f = \mathbf{x}_h^{f,n+1}$, $\mathbf{v}_h^b = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{x}_h^{b,n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^f = -\mathbf{r}_h^{f,n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^b = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{r}_h^{b,n+1}$, $w_h = y_h^{n+1}$, and $\mathbf{q}_h^p = \mathbf{r}_h^{p,n+1}$. Since $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$ we find, using Proposition 3.3 and equation (11a), that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \|\mathbf{x}_h^{f,n+1}\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \|\mathbf{x}_h^{f,n+1}\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_{ae}^b \mu^b \|\mathbf{x}_h^{b,n+1}\|_{v,b}^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{x}_h^{f,n+1} - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \bar{x}_h^{b,n+1} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 \\ + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \lambda^{-1} \|\alpha r_h^{p,n+1} - r_h^{b,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{c_0}{\Delta t} \|r_h^{p,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|y_h^{n+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathbf{x}_h^{n+1} = \mathbf{0}$ and $y_h^{n+1} = 0$. We are left to show that \mathbf{r}_h^{n+1} and $\mathbf{r}_h^{p,n+1}$ are zero. To show that $\mathbf{r}_h^{n+1} = \mathbf{0}$, substitute $\mathbf{x}_h^{n+1} = \mathbf{0}$ into equation (B.1a) and choose $\bar{v}_h^f = \bar{v}_h^b = 0$ on Γ_I to find $b_h^j (\mathbf{v}_h^j, \mathbf{r}_h^{j,n+1}) = 0 \forall \mathbf{v}_h^j \in \widetilde{V}_h^j$, $j = f, b$. The result follows by the inf-sup condition equation (12a). Similarly, to show that $\mathbf{r}_h^{p,n+1} = \mathbf{0}$, substitute $y_h^{n+1} = 0$ into equation (B.1d) to find $b_h^b ((w_h, 0), \mathbf{r}_h^{p,n+1}) = 0 \forall w_h \in V_h^b$. The result follows by the inf-sup condition equation (12c). Finally, existence of a solution is a consequence of uniqueness since equation (17) is a linear and finite dimensional problem.

B.2. Proof of Lemma 4.3

Step 1: proof of equation (27a). Let $n = 0$ in equation (17). Use that the initial conditions are zero and choose $\mathbf{v}_h^f = \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1}$, $\mathbf{v}_h^b = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^f = -\mathbf{p}_h^{f,1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^b = \mathbf{p}_h^{b,1}$, and $w_h = z_h^1$. Furthermore, choose $\mathbf{q}_h^b = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{p}_h^{b,1}$ and note that $b_h^b(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{p}_h^{b,1}) = -b_h^b(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{b,1})$ and $c_h((\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{p}_h^{p,1}, \mathbf{p}_h^{b,1}), -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{p}_h^{b,1}) = -c_h((\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{p}_h^{p,1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{p}_h^{b,1}), \mathbf{p}_h^{b,1})$. Equation (17) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left\| u_h^{f,1} \right\|_{\Omega_f}^2 + a_h^f(\mathbf{u}_h^{f,1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1}) + a_h^b(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}) + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,1} - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \bar{u}_h^{b,1} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\Delta t} \left\| \alpha p_h^{p,1} - p_h^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ + \frac{c_0}{\Delta t} \left\| p_h^{p,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| z_h^1 \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 = \left(f^{f,1}, u_h^{f,1} \right)_{\Omega_f} + \left(f^{b,1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} u_h^{b,1} \right)_{\Omega^b} + \left(g^{b,1}, p_h^{p,1} \right)_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Coercivity of a_h^f (see Eq. (11a)), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, and using equation (24) so that $\|p_h^{p,1}\|_{\Omega^b} \leq c_{td} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^1\|_{\Omega^b}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left\| u_h^{f,1} \right\|_{\Omega_f}^2 + c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \left\| u_h^{f,1} \right\| \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta t} a_h^b(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}) + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,1} - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \bar{u}_h^{b,1} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\Delta t} \left\| \alpha p_h^{p,1} - p_h^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ + \frac{c_0}{\Delta t} \left\| p_h^{p,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| z_h^1 \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \leq \|f^{f,1}\|_{\Omega_f} \left\| u_h^{f,1} \right\|_{\Omega_f} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \|f^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| u_h^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} + c_{td} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|g^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} \|z_h^1\|_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Using equations (10b) and (11a) so that

$$\left\| u_h^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \leq c_p \left\| \left\| u_h^{b,1} \right\| \right\|_{v,b} \leq c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} a_h^b(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1})^{1/2}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

and noting the nonnegativity of the second and the fourth terms of equation (B.2), we further have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left\| u_h^{f,1} \right\|_{\Omega_f}^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta t} a_h^b(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}) + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\Delta t} \left\| \alpha p_h^{p,1} - p_h^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{c_0}{\Delta t} \left\| p_h^{p,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| z_h^1 \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ \leq \|f^{f,1}\|_{\Omega_f} \left\| u_h^{f,1} \right\|_{\Omega_f} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \|f^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} a_h^b(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1})^{1/2} \\ + c_{td} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|g^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} \|z_h^1\|_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Let us define

$$\mathcal{Z}^2 := \left\| u_h^{f,1} \right\|_{\Omega_f}^2 + a_h^b(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}) + \lambda^{-1} \left\| \alpha p_h^{p,1} - p_h^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_0 \left\| p_h^{p,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \Delta t \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| z_h^1 \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2,$$

and write equation (B.4) as:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathcal{Z}^2 \leq \left(\|f^{f,1}\|_{\Omega_f} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \|f^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} + c_{td} \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^{1/2}} (\mu^f \kappa^{-1})^{1/2} \|g^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} \right) \mathcal{Z}.$$

This immediately implies, using that $(X^0)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathcal{Z}$ and that $\frac{1}{\Delta t} \|f^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} = \|d_t f^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b}$ and $\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^{1/2}} \|g^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} = (\Delta t)^{1/2} \|d_t g^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b}$ because $f^{b,0} = 0$ and $g^{b,0} = 0$,

$$(X^0)^{1/2} \leq \|f^{f,1}\|_{\Omega_f} + c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \|d_t f^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b} + c_{td} (\Delta t)^{1/2} (\mu^f \kappa^{-1})^{1/2} \|d_t g^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b},$$

so that equation (27a) follows after squaring.

Step 2: proof of equation (27b). We return to equation (B.2). Applying Young's inequality $ab \leq a^2/(2\psi) + \psi b^2/2$ to each term on the right hand side, using equation (B.3) for the second term, choosing $\psi = 2/\Delta t$, $\psi = 2/\Delta t$, and $\psi = 2\mu^f \kappa^{-1}$ for the first, second, and third terms, respectively, and dividing both sides by Δt , we obtain, using that $f^{b,0} = 0$ and $g^{b,0} = 0$,

$$c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\| d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f^{f,1}\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{1}{4} c_p^2 (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1} \|d_t f^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{1}{4} c_{td}^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \Delta t \|d_t g^{b,1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2.$$

This proves equation (27b).

Step 3: proof of equation (28). Let $1 \leq n \leq N - 1$. Subtract equation (17) for the solution at time-level t_n from equation (17) for the solution at time-level t_{n+1} , choose $\mathbf{v}_h^f = \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}$, $\mathbf{v}_h^b = \frac{1}{\Delta t} (\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n})$, $\mathbf{q}_h^f = -\delta \mathbf{p}_h^{f,n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^b = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1}$, $w_h = \delta z_h^{n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^p = \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n+1}$ and add the resulting equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta u_h^{f,n+1} - \delta u_h^{f,n}, \delta u_h^{f,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^f} + t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) - t_h \left(u_h^{f,n-1}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) \\ & + a_h^f \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) + a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \right) \\ & + b_h^b \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right), \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1} \right) - b_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1} \right) \\ & + a_h^I \left(\left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \right), \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \right) \right) \\ & - c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1}, \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right), \frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right) + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{b,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n} \right), \alpha \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right) \\ & + \frac{c_0}{\Delta t} \left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} + (\mu^f \kappa^{-1} \delta z_h^{n+1}, \delta z_h^{n+1})_{\Omega^b} \\ & = \left(\delta f^{f,n+1}, \delta u_h^{f,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^f} + \left(\delta f^{b,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta u_h^{b,n+1} - \delta u_h^{b,n} \right) \right)_{\Omega^b} + \left(\delta g^{b,n+1}, \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

We simplify next the sum of the 6th, 7th, 9th, and 10th terms on the left hand side of equation (B.5) as follows. First,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[b_h^b \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right), \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1} \right) - b_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1} \right) \right] - c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1}, \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right), \frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{b,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n} \right), \alpha \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right) =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= b_h^b \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1} \right) - b_h^b \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1} \right) - b_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1} \right) = -b_h^b \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1} \right) \\ &= c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{b,n} \right), \frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by subtracting equation (17b) at time-level t_n from equation (17b) at time-level t_{n+1} and choosing $\mathbf{q}_h^f = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^b = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \delta \mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1}$. We immediately find that

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 = \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{b,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n} \right), \alpha \delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right).$$

Noting also that $t_h(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}) = t_h(u_h^{f,n}; \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}) + t_h(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1})$, we write equation (B.5) as:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta u_h^{f,n+1} - \delta u_h^{f,n}, \delta u_h^{f,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^f} + t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) + a_h^f \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) \\
& + a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \right) \\
& + a_h^I \left(\left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \right), \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \right) \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{b,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n} \right), \alpha \delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right) \\
& + \frac{c_0}{\Delta t} \left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} + \left(\mu^f \kappa^{-1} \delta z_h^{n+1}, \delta z_h^{n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} \\
& = \left(\delta f^{f,n+1}, \delta u_h^{f,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^f} + \left(\delta f^{b,n+1}, \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta u_h^{b,n+1} - \delta u_h^{b,n} \right) \right)_{\Omega^b} + \left(\delta g^{b,n+1}, \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} \\
& - \left[t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) - t_h \left(u_h^{f,n-1}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) \right]. \tag{B.6}
\end{aligned}$$

For the left hand side of equation (B.6), since we assumed that $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$, we have by equation (15),

$$t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) + a_h^f \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2.$$

For the right hand side of equation (B.6) (see also Proof of Lem. 4.5 from [24]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) - t_h \left(u_h^{f,n-1}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) \right| & \leq c_w \left\| u_h^{f,n} - u_h^{f,n-1} \right\|_{1,h,\Omega^f} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} \\
& = c_w \left\| \delta u_h^{f,n} \right\|_{1,h,\Omega^f} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} \\
& \leq c_w \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying also the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to the terms on the right hand side of equation (B.6) and using $\left\| \delta u_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^f} \leq c_p \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}$ (by Eq. (10a)), and $\left\| \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \leq c_{td} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| \delta z_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}$ (by a simple modification of the proof to equation (24)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta u_h^{f,n+1} - \delta u_h^{f,n}, \delta u_h^{f,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^f} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{b,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n} \right), \alpha \delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right) \\
& + \frac{c_0}{\Delta t} \left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} + \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 \\
& + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| \delta z_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{f,n+1} - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 \\
& \leq c_p \left\| \delta f^{f,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^f} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta f^{b,n+1}, \delta u_h^{b,n+1} - \delta u_h^{b,n} \right)_{\Omega^b} \\
& + c_{td} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| \delta g^{b,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| \delta z_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} + c_w \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}. \tag{B.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Apply Young's inequality $ab \leq a^2/(2\psi) + \psi b^2/2$ to the first, third, and fourth terms on the right hand side of equation (B.7), choose $\psi = \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f$, $\psi = \mu^f \kappa^{-1}$, and $\psi = 1$ for the first, third, and fourth terms,

respectively, and note that since we assumed that $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$ that $\frac{1}{2}c_{ae}^f\mu^f - c_w\|\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}\|_{v,f} \geq \frac{1}{4}c_{ae}^f\mu^f$. We find from equation (B.7):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta u_h^{f,n+1} - \delta u_h^{f,n}, \delta u_h^{f,n+1} \right)_{\Omega_f} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\Delta t} c_h \left(\left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{b,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n} \right), \alpha \delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{b,n+1} \right) \\ & + \frac{c_0}{\Delta t} \left(\delta p_h^{p,n+1} - \delta p_h^{p,n}, \delta p_h^{p,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} + \frac{1}{8} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| \delta z_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{f,n+1} - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right)^t \right) \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{c_p^2}{c_{ae}^f \mu^f} \left\| \delta f^{f,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega_f}^2 + \frac{c_{td}^2 \mu^f}{2\kappa} \left\| \delta g^{b,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(\delta f^{b,n+1}, \delta u_h^{b,n+1} - \delta u_h^{b,n} \right)_{\Omega^b} \\ & + \frac{c_w}{2} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

For the last term on the right hand side of equation (B.8) use $\|\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}\| \leq c_{ae}^f \mu^f / (4c_w)$ (assumption $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$). Furthermore, by $2a(a-b) = a^2 - b^2 + (a-b)^2$, coercivity of a_h^b (see Eq. (11a)), and equation (10b), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) & = a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right) - a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \\ & \quad + a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \\ & \geq a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right) - a_h^b \left(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) + c_{ae}^b \mu^b c_p^{-1} \left\| \delta u_h^{b,n+1} - \delta u_h^{b,n} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also using $2a(a-b) \geq a^2 - b^2$, we obtain after multiplying equation (B.8) by $2/\Delta t$, replacing n by k , using that $d_t f^{k+1} = \Delta t^{-1} \delta f^{k+1}$, and summing for $k = 1$ to $k = n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| d_t u_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega_f}^2 + a_h^b \left(d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right) + c_{ae}^b \mu^b c_p^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| d_t u_h^{b,k+1} - d_t u_h^{b,k} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ & + \lambda^{-1} \left\| \alpha d_t p_h^{p,n+1} - d_t p_h^{b,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_0 \left\| d_t p_h^{p,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{1}{4} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\| d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 \\ & + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| d_t z_h^{k+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + 2\gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| d_t \bar{u}_h^{f,k+1} - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left(d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,k+1} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,k} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 \\ & \leq \left\| d_t u_h^{f,1} \right\|_{\Omega_f}^2 + a_h^b \left(d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,1} \right) + \lambda^{-1} \left\| \alpha d_t p_h^{p,1} - d_t p_h^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_0 \left\| d_t p_h^{p,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{4} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\| d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{2c_p^2}{c_{ae}^f \mu^f} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| d_t f^{f,k+1} \right\|_{\Omega_f}^2 \\ & + \frac{c_{td}^2 \mu^f}{\kappa} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| d_t g^{b,k+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{2}{(\Delta t)^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\delta f^{b,k+1}, \delta u_h^{b,k+1} - \delta u_h^{b,k} \right)_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

Apply summation-by-parts to the last term on the right hand side of equation (B.9):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\delta f^{b,k+1}, \delta u_h^{b,k+1} - \delta u_h^{b,k} \right)_{\Omega^b} \\ & = \left(d_t f^{b,n+1}, d_t u_h^{b,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} - \left(d_t f^{b,2}, d_t u_h^{b,1} \right)_{\Omega^b} - \Delta t \sum_{k=2}^n \left(d_{tt} f^{b,k+1}, d_t u_h^{b,k} \right)_{\Omega^b} \\ & \leq \left\| d_t f^{b,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| d_t u_h^{b,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} + \left\| d_t f^{b,2} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| d_t u_h^{b,1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} + \Delta t \sum_{k=2}^n \left\| d_{tt} f^{b,k+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| d_t u_h^{b,k} \right\|_{\Omega^b}, \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

where the summation term on the right hand side is zero if $n = 1$. Note that by equations (10b) and (11a) we have

$$\left\|d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,k}\right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \leq c_p^2 \left\|d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,k}\right\|_{v,b} \leq c_p^2 (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1} a_h^b \left(d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,k}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,k}\right). \tag{B.11}$$

Combining equations (B.9)–(B.11), and using the definition of X^n (see Eq. (19)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X^n \leq & 3X^0 + \frac{1}{4} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\|d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1}\right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{2c_p^2}{c_{ae}^f \mu^f} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n \left\|d_t f^{f,k+1}\right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{c_{td}^2 \mu^f}{\kappa} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n \left\|d_t g^{b,k+1}\right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ & + c_p (c_{ac}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \left(\left\|d_t f^{b,n+1}\right\|_{\Omega^b} (2X^n)^{1/2} + \left\|d_t f^{b,2}\right\|_{\Omega^b} (2X^0)^{1/2} + \Delta t \sum_{k=2}^n \left\|d_{tt} f^{b,k+1}\right\|_{\Omega^b} (2X^{k-1})^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} X^k = X^n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} X^n \leq & 3X^0 + \frac{1}{4} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\|d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1}\right\|_{v,f}^2 + \frac{2c_p^2}{c_{ae}^f \mu^f} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n \left\|d_t f^{f,k+1}\right\|_{\Omega^f}^2 + \frac{c_{td}^2 \mu^f}{\kappa} \Delta t \sum_{k=1}^n \left\|d_t g^{b,k+1}\right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ & + \sqrt{2} c_p (c_{ac}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \left(\left\|d_t f^{b,n+1}\right\|_{\Omega^b} + \left\|d_t f^{b,2}\right\|_{\Omega^b} + \Delta t \sum_{k=2}^n \left\|d_{tt} f^{b,k+1}\right\|_{\Omega^b} \right) (X^n)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

For nonnegative A and B the following holds:

$$X^n \leq A + B(X^n)^{1/2} \Leftrightarrow \left((X^n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} B \right)^2 \leq A + \frac{1}{4} B^2 \Rightarrow X^n \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} B + \left(A + \frac{1}{4} B^2 \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 \leq 2A + B^2.$$

Applying this to equation (B.12) and using the definition of F^n (see Eq. (20b)),

$$X^n \leq 6X^0 + \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\|d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1}\right\|_{v,f}^2 + F^n. \tag{B.13}$$

Note that if the assumption $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} X^k = X^n$ does not hold, then there exists $0 \leq m < n$ such that $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} X^k = X^m$. In this case, equation (B.13) holds with n replaced by m and we find:

$$X^n < X^m \leq 6X^0 + \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\|d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1}\right\|_{v,f}^2 + F^m \leq 6X^0 + \frac{1}{2} c_{ae}^f \mu^f \Delta t \left\|d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{f,1}\right\|_{v,f}^2 + F^n.$$

This completes the proof of equation (28).

B.3. Proof of Lemma 4.5

Before proving Lemma 4.5 we first prove the following minor modification of Lemma 2 from [22].

Lemma B.1. *Let $\{A_i\}_i, \{B_i\}_i, \{E_i\}_i, \{\bar{E}_i\}_i, \{\tilde{E}_i\}_i$, and $\{D_i\}_i$ be nonnegative sequences. Suppose these sequences satisfy*

$$A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{E}_i A_i + \tilde{E}_n A_n + \sum_{i=1}^n D_i, \tag{B.14}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Then for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\left(A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{E}_i + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \tilde{E}_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_i \right)^{1/2} \tag{B.15}$$

with $C > 0$ independent of n .

Proof. Suppose that

$$A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 = \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq n} \left\{ A_\ell^2 + \sum_{i=1}^\ell B_i^2 \right\}. \tag{B.16}$$

If $A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$, then equation (B.15) naturally holds. If $A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 > \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$, then equation (B.14) and equation (B.16) imply

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{E}_i + \tilde{E}_n \right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_i \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_i \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{E}_i + \tilde{E}_n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_i \right)^{1/2} \right) \left(A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (B.15) follows from dividing this by $(A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2)^{1/2}$.

If equation (B.16) is not true, then there exists $1 \leq n_0 < n$ such that

$$A_{n_0}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} B_i^2 = \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq n} \left\{ A_\ell^2 + \sum_{i=0}^\ell B_i^2 \right\}.$$

By the same argument as above, we have

$$\left(A_{n_0}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} B_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} E_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \bar{E}_i + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n_0} \tilde{E}_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} D_i \right)^{1/2}. \tag{B.17}$$

Then, equation (B.15) follows by equation (B.17), $n_0 < n$, and the nonnegativities of $E_i, \bar{E}_i, \tilde{E}_i, D_i$. □

We now proceed with the proof of Lemma 4.5.

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Step 1: proof of equation (31). Split equation (17b) as

$$b_h^b \left(d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^b \right) + c_h \left(\left(d_t p_h^{p,n+1}, d_t p_h^{b,n+1} \right), q_h^b \right) = 0, \tag{B.18a}$$

$$b_h^f \left(\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{q}_h^f \right) = 0, \tag{B.18b}$$

where we applied d_t to the terms in the Biot region to obtain equation (B.18a). Now, choose $\mathbf{v}_h^f = \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}$, $\mathbf{v}_h^b = d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^f = -\mathbf{p}_h^{f,n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^b = -\mathbf{p}_h^{b,n+1}$, $w_h = z_h^{n+1}$, $\mathbf{q}_h^p = \mathbf{p}_h^{p,n+1}$ in equations (17) and (B.18) and add the resulting equations to find:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(d_t u_h^{f,n+1}, u_h^{f,n+1} \right)_{\Omega_f} + t_h \left(u_h^{f,n}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) + a_h^f \left(\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1}, \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n+1} \right) + a_h^b \left(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right) \\ &+ \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,n+1} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + c_h \left(\left(d_t p_h^{p,n+1}, d_t p_h^{b,n+1} \right), \alpha p_h^{p,n+1} - p_h^{b,n+1} \right) \\ &+ \left(c_0 d_t p_h^{p,n+1}, p_h^{p,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \left\| z_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ &= \left(f^{f,n+1}, u_h^{f,n+1} \right)_{\Omega_f} + \left(f^{b,n+1}, d_t u_h^{b,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} + \left(g^{b,n+1}, p_h^{p,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

Using the algebraic inequality $a(a - b) \geq (a^2 - b^2)/2$ for the discrete time-derivative terms, equation (15) (which holds by the assumption that $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality applied to the first and third term on the right hand side of equations (B.19), and (24), we find, using the definitions of A_i and B_i :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+1}^2 - A_n^2 + 2B_{n+1}^2 &\leq \sqrt{2} \Delta t \left\| f^{f,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega_f} A_{n+1} + c_{td} \left(2 \Delta t \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \right)^{1/2} \left\| g^{b,n+1} \right\|_{\Omega^b} B_{n+1} \\ &\quad + \Delta t \left(f^{b,n+1}, d_t u_h^{b,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

Let us pause to note that, by summation-by-parts, using that $u_h^{b,0} = 0$, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, equation (10b), the coercivity result equation (11a), and the definition of A_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t \sum_{i=0}^n \left(f^{b,i+1}, d_t u_h^{b,i+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} &= \left(f^{b,n+1}, u_h^{b,n+1} \right)_{\Omega^b} - \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^n \left(d_t f^{b,i+1}, u_h^{b,i} \right)_{\Omega^b} \\ &\leq c_p \|f^{b,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n+1} \right\|_{v,b} + c_p \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_t f^{b,i+1}\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{b,i} \right\|_{v,b} \\ &\leq c_p \|f^{b,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \sqrt{2} A_{n+1} + c_p \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_t f^{b,i+1}\|_{\Omega^b} (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \sqrt{2} A_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, replacing n by i in equation (B.20) and summing for i from 0 to n , and Young’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+1}^2 + \sum_{i=0}^n B_{i+1}^2 &\leq A_0^2 + \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=0}^n \Delta t \|f^{f,i+1}\|_{\Omega^f} A_{i+1} + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_t f^{b,i+1}\|_{\Omega^b} c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \sqrt{2} A_i \\ &\quad + \|f^{b,n+1}\|_{\Omega^b} c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \sqrt{2} A_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^n c_{td}^2 \Delta t \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|g^{b,i+1}\|_{\Omega^b}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &= \sqrt{2} \Delta t \|f^{f,i}\|_{\Omega^f}, & \bar{E}_i &= \Delta t \|d_t f^{b,i+1}\|_{\Omega^b} c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \sqrt{2}, \\ \tilde{E}_i &= \|f^{b,i}\|_{\Omega^b} c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \sqrt{2}, & D_i &= \frac{1}{2} c_{td}^2 \Delta t \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|g^{b,i}\|_{\Omega^b}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and noting that $A_0 = 0$ by our assumption on the initial conditions, we can write equation (B.21), for all $n \geq 1$, as

$$A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{E}_i A_i + \tilde{E}_n A_n + \sum_{i=1}^n D_i.$$

Therefore, by Lemma B.1, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(A_n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq \sqrt{2} \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^n \|f^{f,i}\|_{\Omega^f} + c_{td} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu^f / \kappa \right)^{1/2} \left(\Delta t \sum_{i=1}^n \|g^{b,i}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2} c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|d_t f^{b,i+1}\|_{\Omega^b} + \sqrt{2} c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|f^{b,i}\|_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of A_i and B_i (see Eq. (30)) and H (see Eq. (22)) we conclude equation (31) (since we consider $1 \leq n \leq N$).

Step 2: proof of equation (32). Let us start with equation (B.19). For $1 \leq n \leq N$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(d_t u_h^{f,n}, u_h^{f,n} \right)_{\Omega^f} + t_h \left(u_h^{f,n-1}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right) + a_h^f \left(\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right) + a_h^b \left(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right) \\ &\quad + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,n} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_f}^2 + c_h \left(\left(d_t p_h^{p,n}, d_t p_h^{b,n} \right), \alpha p_h^{p,n} - p_h^{b,n} \right) \\ &\quad + (c_0 d_t p_h^{p,n}, p_h^{p,n})_{\Omega^b} + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^n\|_{\Omega^b}^2 = \left(f^{f,n}, u_h^{f,n} \right)_{\Omega^f} + \left(f^{b,n}, d_t u_h^{b,n} \right)_{\Omega^b} + \left(g^{b,n}, p_h^{p,n} \right)_{\Omega^b}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that $\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n-1} \in \mathbf{B}_h^f$ we know that

$$t_h\left(\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n-1}; \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}\right) + a_h^f\left(\mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}, \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f}^2,$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,n} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^n\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ & \leq \left| (f^{f,n}, u_h^{f,n})_{\Omega^f} + (f^{b,n}, d_t u_h^{b,n})_{\Omega^b} + (g^{b,n}, p_h^{p,n})_{\Omega^b} - (d_t u_h^{f,n}, u_h^{f,n})_{\Omega^f} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - a_h^b\left(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}\right) - c_h\left(\left(d_t p_h^{p,n}, d_t p_h^{b,n}\right), \alpha p_h^{p,n} - p_h^{b,n}\right) - (c_0 d_t p_h^{p,n}, p_h^{p,n})_{\Omega^b} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{B.22}$$

The Cauchy–Schwarz and Young’s inequalities, equations (10b), (11a), (24), and the definitions of X^n equation (19) and A_n equation (30a) yields

$$\left| (f^{f,n}, u_h^{f,n})_{\Omega^f} \right| \leq \|f^{f,n}\|_{\Omega^f} \left\| u_h^{f,n} \right\|_{\Omega^f} \leq \|f^{f,n}\|_{\Omega^f} \sqrt{2}A_n, \tag{B.23a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (g^{b,n}, p_h^{p,n})_{\Omega^b} \right| & \leq \|g^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b} c_{td} \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^n\|_{\Omega^b} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}c_{td}^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|g^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^n\|_{\Omega^b}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{B.23b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (f^{b,n}, d_t u_h^{b,n})_{\Omega^b} \right| & \leq \|f^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| d_t u_h^{b,n} \right\|_{\Omega^b} \leq c_p \|f^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b} \left\| d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n} \right\|_{v,b} \\ & \leq c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \|f^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b} a_h^b\left(d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}\right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \|f^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b} (2X^{n-1})^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{B.23c}$$

$$\left| (d_t u_h^{f,n}, u_h^{f,n})_{\Omega^f} \right| \leq \left\| d_t u_h^{f,n} \right\|_{\Omega^f} \left\| u_h^{f,n} \right\|_{\Omega^f}, \tag{B.23d}$$

$$\left| a_h^b\left(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}\right) \right| \leq a_h^b\left(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}\right)^{1/2} a_h^b\left(d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{B.23e}$$

$$\left| c_h\left(\left(d_t p_h^{p,n}, d_t p_h^{b,n}\right), \alpha p_h^{p,n} - p_h^{b,n}\right) \right| \leq \lambda^{-1/2} \left\| d_t\left(\alpha p_h^{p,n} - p_h^{b,n}\right) \right\|_{\Omega^b} \lambda^{-1/2} \left\| \alpha p_h^{p,n} - p_h^{b,n} \right\|_{\Omega^b}, \tag{B.23f}$$

$$\left| (c_0 d_t p_h^{p,n}, p_h^{p,n})_{\Omega^b} \right| \leq c_0^{1/2} \|p_h^{p,n}\|_{\Omega^b} c_0^{1/2} \|d_t p_h^{p,n}\|_{\Omega^b}. \tag{B.23g}$$

Furthermore, combining equations (B.23d)–(B.23g) and using the definitions of A_n and X^n , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (d_t u_h^{f,n}, u_h^{f,n})_{\Omega^f} \right| + \left| a_h^b\left(\mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}, d_t \mathbf{u}_h^{b,n}\right) \right| + \left| c_h\left(\left(d_t p_h^{p,n}, d_t p_h^{b,n}\right), \alpha p_h^{p,n} - p_h^{b,n}\right) \right| + \left| (c_0 d_t p_h^{p,n}, p_h^{p,n})_{\Omega^b} \right| \\ & \leq 2A_n (X^{n-1})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequality, equations (B.23a)–(B.23c) with equation (B.22), and using equations (31) and (29),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}c_{ae}^f \mu^f \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{f,n} \right\|_{v,f}^2 + \gamma \mu^f \kappa^{-1/2} \left\| \left(\bar{u}_h^{f,n} - d_t \bar{u}_h^{b,n} \right)^t \right\|_{\Gamma_I}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|z_h^n\|_{\Omega^b}^2 \\ & \leq \|f^{f,n}\|_{\Omega^f} \sqrt{2}A_n + \frac{1}{2}c_{td}^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|g^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \|f^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b} (2X^{n-1})^{1/2} + 2A_n (X^{n-1})^{1/2} \\ & \leq \|f^{f,n}\|_{\Omega^f} H + \frac{1}{2}c_{td}^2 \mu^f \kappa^{-1} \|g^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b}^2 + c_p (c_{ae}^b \mu^b)^{-1/2} \|f^{b,n}\|_{\Omega^b} (2G^{n-1})^{1/2} + H (2G^{n-1})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore conclude equation (32).

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Aycil Cesmelioglu and Jeonghun J. Lee acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation through grant numbers DMS-2110782 and DMS-2110781. Sander Rhebergen acknowledges support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada through the Discovery Grant program (RGPIN-2023-03237).

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Ambartsumyan, E. Khattatov, I. Yotov and P. Zunino, A Lagrange multiplier method for a Stokes–Biot fluid–poroelastic structure interaction model. *Numer. Math.* **140** (2018) 513–553.
- [2] I. Ambartsumyan, V.J. Ervin, T. Nguyen and I. Yotov, A nonlinear Stokes–Biot model for the interaction of a non-Newtonian fluid with poroelastic media. *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **53** (2019) 1915–1955.
- [3] S. Badia, A. Quaini and A. Quarteroni, Coupling Biot and Navier–Stokes equations for modelling fluid–poroelastic media interaction. *J. Comput. Phys.* **228** (2009) 7986–8014.
- [4] G.S. Beavers and D.D. Joseph, Boundary conditions at a naturally impermeable wall. *J. Fluid. Mech.* **30** (1967) 197–207.
- [5] E.A. Bergkamp, C.V. Verhoosel, J.J.C. Remmers and D.M.J. Smeulders, A staggered finite element procedure for the coupled Stokes–Biot system with fluid entry resistance. *Comput. Geosci.* **24** (2020) 1497–1522.
- [6] M.A. Biot, General theory of three-dimensional consolidation. *J. Appl. Phys.* **12** (1941) 155–164.
- [7] M.A. Biot, Theory of elasticity and consolidation for a porous anisotropic solid. *J. Appl. Phys.* **26** (1955) 182–185.
- [8] M.A. Biot and D.G. Willis, The elastic coefficients of the theory of consolidation. *J. Appl. Mech.* **24** (1957) 594–601.
- [9] W.M. Boon, M. Hornkjøl, M. Kuchta, K.-A. Mardal and R. Ruiz-Baier, Parameter-robust methods for the Biot–Stokes interfacial coupling without Lagrange multipliers. *J. Comput. Phys.* **467** (2022) 111464.
- [10] S.C. Brenner, Poincaré–Friedrichs inequalities for piecewise H^1 functions. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **41** (2003) 306–324.
- [11] S.C. Brenner, Korn’s inequalities for piecewise H^1 vector fields. *Math. Comput.* **73** (2004) 1067–1087.
- [12] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods. Vol. 15 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer–Verlag New York Inc. (1991).
- [13] A. Buffa and C. Ortner, Compact embeddings of broken Sobolev spaces and applications. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **29** (2009) 827–855.
- [14] M. Bukač, A loosely-coupled scheme for the interaction between a fluid, elastic structure and poroelastic material. *J. Comput. Phys.* **313** (2016) 377–399.
- [15] M. Bukač, I. Yotov, R. Zakerzadeh and P. Zunino, Partitioning strategies for the interaction of a fluid with a poroelastic material based on a Nitsche’s coupling approach. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **292** (2015) 138–170.
- [16] S. Caucao, T. Li and I. Yotov, A multipoint stress-flux mixed finite element method for the Stokes–Biot model. *Numer. Math.* **152** (2022) 411–473.
- [17] A. Cesmelioglu, Analysis of the coupled Navier–Stokes/Biot problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **456** (2017) 970–991.
- [18] A. Cesmelioglu and P. Chidyagwai, Numerical analysis of the coupling of free fluid with a poroelastic material. *Numer. Methods Part. Differ. Equ.* **36** (2020) 463–494.
- [19] A. Cesmelioglu and S. Rhebergen, A hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin method for the coupled Navier–Stokes and Darcy problem. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **422** (2023) 114923.
- [20] A. Cesmelioglu, B. Cockburn and W. Qiu, Analysis of a hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin method for the steady-state incompressible Navier–Stokes equations. *Math. Comp.* **86** (2017) 1643–1670.
- [21] A. Cesmelioglu, S. Rhebergen and G.N. Wells, An embedded–hybridized discontinuous Galerkin method for the coupled Stokes–Darcy system. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **367** (2020) 112476.
- [22] A. Cesmelioglu, J.J. Lee and S. Rhebergen, Analysis of an embedded–hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin method for Biot’s consolidation model. *J. Sci. Comput.* **97** (2023) 60.
- [23] A. Cesmelioglu, J.J. Lee and S. Rhebergen, Hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin methods for the coupled Stokes–Biot problem. *Comput. Math. App.* **144** (2023) 12–33.
- [24] A. Cesmelioglu, J.J. Lee and S. Rhebergen, A strongly conservative hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin method for the coupled time-dependent Navier–Stokes and Darcy problem. *ESAIM: Math. Modell. Numer. Anal.* **58** (2024) 273–302.

- [25] N. Chaabane, V. Girault, C. Puelz and B. Riviere, Convergence of IPDG for coupled time-dependent Navier–Stokes and Darcy equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **324** (2017) 25–48.
- [26] B. Cockburn, G. Kanschat and D. Schötzau, A note on discontinuous Galerkin divergence-free solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations. *J. Sci. Comput.* **31** (2007) 61–73.
- [27] B. Cockburn, J. Gopalakrishnan and R. Lazarov, Unified hybridization of discontinuous Galerkin, mixed, and continuous Galerkin methods for second order elliptic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **47** (2009) 1319–1365.
- [28] M. Discacciati and R. Oyarzúa, A conforming mixed finite element method for the Navier–Stokes/Darcy coupled problem. *Numer. Math.* **135** (2017) 571–606.
- [29] Z. Ge, J. Pang and J. Cao, Multiphysics mixed finite element method with Nitsche’s technique for Stokes-poroelasticity problem. *Numer. Methods Part. Differ. Equ.* **39** (2023) 544–576.
- [30] L. Guo and W. Chen, Decoupled modified characteristic finite element method for the time-dependent Navier–Stokes/Biot problem. *Numer. Methods Part. Differ. Equ.* **38** (2022) 1684–1712.
- [31] V. John, A. Linke, C. Merdon, M. Neilan and L.G. Rebholz, On the divergence constraint in mixed finite element methods for incompressible flows. *SIAM Rev.* **59** (2017) 492–544.
- [32] G. Kanschat and B. Rivière, A strongly conservative finite element method for the coupling of Stokes and Darcy flow. *J. Comput. Phys.* **229** (2010) 5933–5943.
- [33] W. Layton, Introduction to the Numerical Analysis of Incompressible Viscous Flows. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA (2008).
- [34] J.J. Lee, K. Mardal and R. Winther, Parameter-robust discretization and preconditioning of Biot’s consolidation model. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **39** (2017) A1–A24.
- [35] C. Lehrenfeld and J. Schöberl, High order exactly divergence-free hybrid discontinuous Galerkin methods for unsteady incompressible flows. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **307** (2016) 339–361.
- [36] T. Li and I. Yotov, A mixed elasticity formulation for fluid-poroelastic structure interaction. *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **56** (2022) 1–40.
- [37] T. Li, S. Caucao and I. Yotov, An augmented fully-mixed formulation for the quasistatic Navier–Stokes–Biot model. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **44** (2024) 1153–1210.
- [38] R. Oyarzúa and R. Ruiz-Baier, Locking-free finite element methods for poroelasticity. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **54** (2016) 2951–2973.
- [39] O. Oyekole and M. Bukač, Second-order, loosely coupled methods for fluid-poroelastic material interaction. *Numer. Methods Part. Differ. Equ.* **36** (2020) 800–822.
- [40] S. Rhebergen and G.N. Wells, Analysis of a hybridized/interface stabilized finite element method for the Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **55** (2017) 1982–2003.
- [41] S. Rhebergen and G.N. Wells, A hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin method for the Navier–Stokes equations with pointwise divergence-free velocity field. *J. Sci. Comput.* **76** (2018) 1484–1501.
- [42] S. Rhebergen and G.N. Wells, Preconditioning of a hybridized discontinuous Galerkin finite element method for the Stokes equations. *J. Sci. Comput.* **77** (2018) 1936–1952.
- [43] S. Rhebergen and G.N. Wells, An embedded–hybridized discontinuous Galerkin finite element method for the Stokes equations. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **358** (2020) 112619.
- [44] B. Rivière, Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Solving Elliptic and Parabolic Equations: Theory and Implementation. SIAM (2008).
- [45] R. Ruiz-Baier, M. Taffetani, H.D. Westermeyer and I. Yotov, The Biot–Stokes coupling using total pressure: formulation, analysis and application to interfacial flow in the eye. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **389** (2022) 114384.
- [46] P. Saffman, On the boundary condition at the surface of a porous media. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **50** (1971) 292–315.
- [47] J. Schöberl, An advancing front 2D/3D-mesh generator based on abstract rules. *J. Comput. Visual Sci.* **1** (1997) 41–52.
- [48] J. Schöberl, C++11 implementation of finite elements in NGSolve. Technical Report ASC Report 30/2014, Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing, Vienna University of Technology (2014).
- [49] P. Schroeder and G. Lube, Divergence-free H(div)-FEM for time-dependent incompressible flows with applications to high Reynolds number vortex dynamics. *J. Sci. Comput.* **75** (2018) 830–858.
- [50] R.E. Showalter, Poroelastic filtration coupled to Stokes flow, in Control Theory of Partial Differential Equations. Vol. 242 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.* Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL (2005) 229–241.
- [51] J. Wang and X. Ye, New finite element methods in computational fluid dynamics by H(div) elements. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **45** (2007) 1269–1286.

- [52] G.N. Wells, Analysis of an interface stabilized finite element method: the advection–diffusion–reaction equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **49** (2011) 87–109.



Please help to maintain this journal in open access!

This journal is currently published in open access under the Subscribe to Open model (S2O). We are thankful to our subscribers and supporters for making it possible to publish this journal in open access in the current year, free of charge for authors and readers.

Check with your library that it subscribes to the journal, or consider making a personal donation to the S2O programme by contacting subscribers@edpsciences.org.

More information, including a list of supporters and financial transparency reports, is available at <https://edpsciences.org/en/subscribe-to-open-s2o>.